

Jessica Mackinlay

From: marizts@xtra.co.nz
Sent: Sunday, 14 February 2021 08:29 a.m.
To: District Plan Submissions
Subject: Variation 2 submission

Submission Form Submitted

Reference number 806101

Submitter name
Marita Ansin-Johnson

Organisation

Contact person/agent

Postal address
384 Kaikorai Valley Road Bradford Dunedin 9011

Email
marizts@xtra.co.nz

Contact phone number
0273252390

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please select an answer

Variation 2 change ID
To all

Provision name and number, or address and map layer name
To all Variations..

My submission seeks the following decision from the Council
Reject the change

Details
To all changes...

Reasons for my views

Dunedin has been my home for the last 16 years...in those years I have seen growth,in various forms,education, Hospital build,both current, new subdivisions out in Mosgiel...what I don't like is changes to suit the new subdivisions developments,like smaller sites,home sizes,land,green land...I came to Dunedin because it offered what I call a good environment, for communities, families,space... now to change for the sake of earning more money for the developers ,...lots of history here in Dunedin..architecture in just the building..homes...alone....I would hate to see this gone....I have seen what it has done to Auckland...Auckland...isolate it's people...slum areas?...what is it that Dunedin wants for it people...this is my 5 cents worth...

Supporting documents (file name/s)

No file uploaded

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at a hearing

Yes

If others make a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case at a hearing

Yes

Roxanne Davies

From: Marita Johnson <marizts@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 28 March 2021 02:26 p.m.
To: Paul Freeland
Subject: RE: Variation 2 submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Paul,

Thanks for taking the time to send this....to add too my busy Sunday afternoon, i sit here and browse through what you have sent...

Ok,to break it down I have put into x3 categories...Against,Protection,Support...so here goes...my 5 cents worth...

Against-....

A1,a2,a3,B1,be.b4,b6,E9,e6,e5,e7

NDMA2-15ES

NDMA02-15

GF01,02,03,04,05,06,07,08,09,10.11,12,14,15,16,17.

RTZ1

1N04,05,06,07,08,09,10,11,13.

E6,e5,e7.

Protection-...

D1,d4,d5,d6,d7,d8

Support-...

F1/7,8,5,F1-2 F2/7,2,,3,6, E2,1,3,4.

Ok,so here it is...I am against mainly the density housing, good agriculture land being used,as food production will be a issue in years to come...so protecting these fertile lands is a must...as you need to feed the people?...and protecting the fragile environment of wildlife....with the encroaching subdivisions?...then you have climate change,with the erosion of coastal areas...we have x3 problems/issues here?...not sure how this will be incorporated into the plan,for the next 20 to 30 years from now...?...

Thanks Paul,

Kind Regards,

MaritaAnsin-Johnson.

On 24 March 2021 at 15:40 Paul Freeland <Paul.Freeland@dcc.govt.nz> wrote:

Hi Marita,

We spoke on the phone some time ago. Sorry for taking so long to get back to you.

As discussed there are a large number of changes proposed in Variation 2, some of which I think you will oppose but others that you may not care so much about.

Please consider the following types of changes, and indicate whether you are opposed to them, support them or don't care one way or another.

As you will see, some changes provide for increased density of housing, whereas others correct errors, provide for social housing and do other things which you may or not be opposed to.

Change ID	Topic
A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9	Changes to minimum site size and density standards
A2	Duplexes and two units in a single building in the General Residential 1 (serviced) zones
A3	Minimum site size and minimum site area in General Residential 1 (GR1) (serviced) zones
B1	Minimum site size averaging
B3	Density and units on existing sites of any size
B4	Counting of access legs towards minimum site area and minimum site size
B6	Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development
E9	Clarification of density performance standard activity status (Rule 15.5)
A1	Family flat provisions
B5	Management of density for character and amenity
C1	Better provide for social housing
D1, D4, D5, D6, D7, D8, NDMA 2-15, E5	Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas
D1	Broad changes linked to new development mapped area (NDMA) provisions
NDMA02-15	Mapping new development mapped area (NDMA) over existing greenfield areas
E5	Strategic direction policies related to structure plans
D4	Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions
D5	Solar access in large greenfield subdivisions

Change ID	Topic
D6	Protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions
D7	Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions
D8	Providing for efficient use of land in large greenfield subdivisions
D2	Transportation Connections in Subdivisions
E1	Residential zone descriptions
E2	Clarify the Residential Transition Overlay Zone, Harbourside Edge Transition Overlay Zone provisions
E3	Correct errors in Residential Transition Overlay Zone, Harbourside Edge Transition Overlay Zone assessment rules
E4	Duplication between Objective 2.2.2 and Objective 2.2.5
E6	Policy on the application of overlays at the time of plan changes to rezoning
E7	Long stay areas for people living in transportable homes
E10	Corrections to assessment of structure plan standards
F1-2	Review of 3 waters Policy 9.2.1.1 , Policy 9.2.1.4 and Policy 9.2.1.6 .
F2-6	Provision of 3 waters connections to adjacent land
F5	3-waters management for visitor accommodation
F2-1, F2-2, F2-3, F2-5, F2-7	Stormwater package
F2-1	Performance standard for connections to stormwater
F2-2	Add rules for stormwater management in large greenfield areas
F2-3	Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas
F2-5	Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline
F2-7	Stormwater constraint mapped area method
Alternative F2-Alt1	Impermeable surfaces standard
Alternative F2-Alt-2	Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention
F3-2	Wastewater detention in selected large greenfield areas
F3-4	Health and safety effects from wastewater
E8, F1-1, F1-3, F1-4, F1-5, F1-6, F1-7, F1-8, F2-4, F3-1/NWRA1-7 and GF09, F3-3, F4-1	Minor 3 waters changes
E8	Policy 2.7.1.2.a on structure plans
F1-1	Rule 9.3.7 Service Connections layout
F1-3	Policy 9.2.1.3 on service connections
F1-4	Policy 2.2.4.5 on where connections to public infrastructure networks are made
F1-5	Rule 9.3.3 Firefighting
F1-6	Policy 2.2.5.2 on on-site stormwater and wastewater management
F1-7	Policy 2.7.1.2.b on Development Contributions Policy and requirements
F1-8	Policy 2.7.1.2.c on consideration of the long-term costs to the DCC of new infrastructure
F2-4	Stormwater methods outside the Plan
F3-1 NWRA1-7 GF09	Location of available public wastewater infrastructure
F3-3	Renaming the infrastructure constraint mapped area (ICMA)
F4-1	Reference to the DCC Water Bylaw
WCMA1-4	Errors in infrastructure constraint mapped area (ICMA) mapping
H1	Capacity and demand criteria in Policy 2.6.2.1.a
H2	Housing capacity release trigger for residential transition overlay zone

Change ID	Topic
RC1	Notification rules

Feel free to ring me or call into discuss.

We'll look to refine your submission based upon your response, which should mean you are involved in those parts of Variation 2 that interest you, and mean you can avoid being invited to hearings etc on matters which you have little interest in.

Kind regards

Paul Freeland

**SENIOR PLANNER
CITY DEVELOPMENT**

P 03 477 4000 | **DD** 03 474 3325 | **M** 021 806 578 | **E** Paul.Freeland@dcc.govt.nz

Dunedin City Council, 50 The Octagon, Dunedin

PO Box 5045, Dunedin 9054

New Zealand

www.dunedin.govt.nz



If this message is not intended for you please delete it and notify us immediately; you are warned that any further use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this material by you is prohibited..

From: marizts@xtra.co.nz <marizts@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: 14 February 2021 08:29
To: District Plan Submissions <DistrictPlanSubmissions@oa.dcc.govt.nz>
Subject: Variation 2 submission

Submission Form Submitted

Reference number 806101

Submitter name
Marita Ansin-Johnson

Organisation

Contact person/agent

Postal address
384 Kaikorai Valley Road Bradford Dunedin 9011

Email
marizts@xtra.co.nz

Contact phone number
0273252390

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please select an answer

Variation 2 change ID
To all

Provision name and number, or address and map layer name
To all Variations..

My submission seeks the following decision from the Council
Reject the change

Details
To all changes...

Reasons for my views
Dunedin has been my home for the last 16 years...in those years I have seen growth,in various forms,education, Hospital build,both current, new subdivisions out in Mosgiel...what I don't like is changes to suit the new subdivisions developments,like smaller sites,home sizes,land,green land...I came to Dunedin because it offered what I call a good environment, for communities, families,space... now to change for the sake of earning more money for the developers ,..lots of history here in Dunedin..architecture in just the building..homes...alone....I would hate to see this gone....I have seen what it has done to Auckland...Auckland...isolate it's people...slum areas?...what is it that Dunedin wants for it people...this is my 5 cents worth...

Supporting documents (file name/s)

No file uploaded

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at a hearing

Yes

If others make a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case at a hearing

Yes