

I prefer Option Two  
– Keep Aurora Energy

Just to be clear, because I don't want to be misunderstood.

# NO ASSET SALES

# NO TO THE SALE OF AURORA ENERGY LIMITED

Council to keep Aurora Energy. If Council keeps Aurora Energy, then it will likely increase in value over time, but a regular income to Council is uncertain. If Aurora Energy was to pay dividends (income) to Council, this would probably be funded by debt.

---

I am disgusted by the wording of this so called “Have your say” “consultation”.

The wording of the preferences on offer is objectionable, and extremely biased towards favouring an asset sale into private ownership. The **preferred option** and the **alternate option**, are misleading in the extreme. I am incredulous this was deemed acceptable for public debate. It smacks of that innocuous seeming card sent out to survey the possibility of a new stadium, that was then used against the massive opposition to its construction.

I would ask, is this even legal, the bias is so openly obvious to be corrupting any pretence at public participation? This whole so called Proposal should be shut down, and the lines company kept in public ownership.

I have absolutely no confidence in this council running this so called “diversified investment fund”. With no information about who, or how this fund would be managed? I totally **oppose** the council operating an investment fund.

Joanna Wernham