

## Michaela Groenewegen

---

**From:** Leanne Stenhouse  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 2 March 2021 01:46 p.m.  
**To:** District Plan Submissions  
**Subject:** Variation 2 submission  
**Attachments:** SHCB-Submission-to-2-GP-variation-2.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Submission Form Submitted

Reference number 808146

**Submitter name**  
Leanne Stenhouse

**Organisation**  
Saddle Hill Community Board

**Contact person/agent**

**Postal address**

**Email**  
stensmith@xtra.co.nz

**Contact phone number**  
0211175195

**I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission**  
No

**If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please select an answer**

**Variation 2 change ID**

**Provision name and number, or address and map layer name**

**My submission seeks the following decision from the Council**  
Multiple submission points/decisions outlined below

**Details**

**Reasons for my views**

**Supporting documents** (file name/s)  
SHCB-Submission-to-2-GP-variation-2.pdf, type application/pdf, 422.8 KB

**Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at a hearing**  
No

**If others make a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case at a hearing**

No


Change GF04: Rezoning from Rural to General Residential 1 – 127a Main Road Fairfield



Change GF05: Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 to General Residential 1 – 353 Main South Road, Fairfield



Change GF03: Rezoning from Rural Residential to Township and Settlement –16 Hare Road



Change GF01: Rezoning from Rural Residential to Large Lot Residential 1 – 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road







## SADDLE HILL COMMUNITY BOARD

50 The Octagon | Dunedin 9015 | PO Box 5045 | Dunedin 9054 | New Zealand  
E [dec@dec.govt.nz](mailto:dec@dec.govt.nz) P +64 3 477 4000 [www.dunedin.govt.nz](http://www.dunedin.govt.nz)

Dunedin City Council,  
PO Box 5045,  
Dunedin 9054

1 March 2021

### Submission: Plan change - DIS-2021-1 (Variation 2)

The Saddle Hill Community Board generally supports the changes proposed in Variation 2 to the Second Generation District Plan.

We understand the need to allow for more housing to meet the population growth and understand that the changes made should allow for the types of accommodation most required.

We are pleased to see that the amendment has been carried out in conjunction with Three waters, roading, landowners and other infrastructure to make sure that development is feasible and should not put pressure on existing services.

That said we have the below requests:

- We insist that there needs to be a robust process for consents to be notified, heard and open to scrutiny.
- We seek for any changes to the district plan and potential for development is identified on the immediate and surrounding residents LIM's.
- Any consent should take into account the effect on current residential landscape, effect on views and environment. As an example Removing trees can have a huge effect on natural drainage or the water table in land. In Waldronville the trees were removed and as a result the golf course started to flood more often and lifestyle blocks drained into bare land.

We have no specific concerns with the green field areas proposed in the Saddle Hill Community Board area (shown below) providing that these developments do not put pressure on the infrastructure of existing residential dwellings and surroundings.

Thank you for your consideration

Leanne Stenhouse  
Keith McFadyen  
On behalf of the Saddle Hill Community Board