



marla Pacific <mpacific100@gmail.com>

160 Beach Street

13 messages

Josh Von Pein <Josh.VonPein@dcc.govt.nz>

Wed, Mar 11, 2020 at 2:22 PM

To: marla Pacific <mpacific100@gmail.com>

Cc: Jeanine Benson <Jeanine.Benson@dcc.govt.nz>, Kieran Trainor <Kieran.Trainor@dcc.govt.nz>, Andrew Lord <Andrew.Lord@dcc.govt.nz>, Lyz Harvey <Lyz.Harvey@dcc.govt.nz>, Michael Tannock <Michael.Tannock@dcc.govt.nz>

Hi Maria

The boundary flagging shows the start of the watercourse adjacent to Beach Street is in boundary of #162. The stream then flows into your property after approximately 20 m. I understood that you had issue with ingress / egress into your property so the philosophy behind constructing a wall for the first 20 m adjacent to your property boundary was to provide the same amount of land as previous.

The excavator has not meaningfully affected the previous ground levels further downstream, as was agreed with you prior to works commencing. However to facilitate an agreeable outcome we can construct a rock facing wall right through to the end of your property. Please note that the maintenance of the rock facing in your property once handed over will be your responsibility.

The maintenance of the water course within private property remains responsibility of the property owner. We will work with the downstream property owners as a priority and encourage them to undertake some necessary routine maintenance as soon as practical. We can help with clearing any blockages downstream of your property while on site, however note that after we demobilise responsibility for maintenance of this watercourse remains with the property owners.

Please let me know if you are agreeable with this, and we will look to construct the culvert, and rock stacked wall as soon as practical, tentatively April.

Kind Regards

Josh



If this message is not intended for you please delete it and notify us immediately; you are warned that any further use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this material by you is prohibited..



marla Pacific <mpacific100@gmail.com>

160D Beach Street

4 messages

Josh Von Pein <Josh.VonPein@dcc.govt.nz>
To: "mpacific100@gmail.com" <mpacific100@gmail.com>

Tue, Dec 10, 2019 at 7:13 PM

Kia Ora Ms Driver

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you, it is due to a miscommunication on my behalf. I apologise and hope this email provides you with some reassurance of a positive outcome.

As discussed with you last week, more work has occurred on your property than you had expected. Our contractor undertook work outside of the scope that had been agreed with DCC and without appropriate supervision from DCC and ORC respectively. I am sincerely sorry for the stress this may have caused you, and we are committed to remediating your land to ensure you do not suffer any ongoing detrimental effects from this work. With your permission I would like to action the following steps to remediate damage to your property.

First, I would like to engage a topographic surveyor to confirm your south-eastern boundary. This will enable us to view how much of the watercourse is within your property, and where it has been artificially widened past your boundary line.

Once the boundary line is established, or where work is needed to reinstate the previous ground levels, I proposed construction of a 'large stone stacked wall' adjacent to your boundary for approximately 15 to 20 lineal meters (the length of wall will be dependent on the actual boundary line, as determined by the surveyor). This stone stack wall can then be constructed and filled in behind with localised earthworks to re-establish the previous ground levels in your property. This stone stack wall may also provide some protection against scour from the watercourse under high flows and some lateral support to the ground within your property.

I also understand from our conversation the depth of the standing water now the vegetation is cleared is visually unattractive, and not as you had expected to see. To reduce depth of the standing water I propose we clear (or slightly deepen) the channel downstream from your property. This will in effect remove the weir producing the build-up of fresh water adjacent to your property.

To start this process, I would appreciate your permission to engage a surveyor to establish the existing boundary line. As already agreed, once the wall is reconstructed, we can reconstruct the previously in place fence.

I am very sorry for any stress this may have caused, it was far from our intention to do so. I am hopeful that the above proposal will remediate any damage to your property and your remaining concerns. Please let me know if you have any comments or questions on the above proposal, or if your happy for us to engage a surveyor to start the remediation process.

Kind Regards

Josh von Pein

Josh von Pein ME (Civil), CPEng, CMEngNZ

TRANSPORT DELIVERY MANAGER

TRANSPORTATION GROUP

P 03 477 4000 | **E** Josh.vonPein@dcc.govt.nz

Dunedin City Council, 50 The Octagon, Dunedin

12/9/24, 1:01 PM

Gmail - 160D Beach Street

PO Box 5045, Dunedin 9054

New Zealand

www.dunedin.govt.nz



If this message is not intended for you please delete it and notify us immediately; you are warned that any further use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this material by you is prohibited..

marla Pacific <mpacific100@gmail.com>
To: Josh Von Pein <Josh.VonPein@dcc.govt.nz>

Wed, Dec 11, 2019 at 1:40 PM

Hello Josh

thank you for taking the time to respond to our previous query

We have read your email and we welcome the councils views in how best to fix the section

we have carefully considered your view points and we have decided that

before any works commence on the section to remediate the damaged watercourse

we require a **full survey** on the section not just the south eastern boundary but all boundaries

this will establish how much land has been lost due to the widened watercourse (in its full length/width)

When this is completed then we require that the DCC building/planning and any other relevant authorities investigates how much land we actually have left (in width) for a future dwelling

because we understand from the builders that it must be a considerable distance away from the watercourse (and any disturbed soil)

and also we need to know how deep the piles must now have to be (due to the watercourse having a new ground level) also Beach st is a collector road and it is council requirement that we have to have enough width to be able to turn a vehicle within the section and drive out.

When we have established the "new" rules according to council requirements then we can look at working with you as to best methods to fix the section as per your email, or council may want to consider running pipes right through and back filling.

I cannot stress enough that it is imperative that the DCC try its best to rectify this terrible mess and we hope that this will not affect the current QV value and also prospect of insurance.

Kindly let me know your thoughts

regards

Maria and Michael Driver

[Quoted text hidden]

Josh Von Pein <Josh.VonPein@dcc.govt.nz>
To: marla Pacific <mpacific100@gmail.com>
Cc: Simon Drew <Simon.Drew@dcc.govt.nz>, Andrew Lord <Andrew.Lord@dcc.govt.nz>

Wed, Dec 11, 2019 at 2:21 PM

Thank you Marla. I will ask the surveyor to identify all 4 corners of your property on site.

As described below once we have established the south-eastern boundary we will be able to reinstate the level of the ground within your property. The width available for you to construct a dwelling will then be the same as before these works were undertaken.