
From: Darryl Sycamore <darryl@terramark.co.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 22 May 2025 9:40 a.m.
To: Emma Christmas [REDACTED]
Cc: Peter Rawson <Peter.Rawson@dcc.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Plan Change 1 hearing - 62 Queen Street

Hi Emma and Peter

The applicants have indicated to me that the house needs significant maintenance work, so they are balancing up whether to retain the dwelling and spend the money or not. The Plan Change has expedited that discussion and in my client's view, has forced their arm to make that decision which is why they obtained consent enabling the demolition and new dwelling. They don't want to demolish the house (and economically that may be the most prudent option), but they want to retain their options. Not scheduling the dwelling will increase its chances of being retained hence the submission which I urge the Panel to adopt. Hope that helps
Darryl

From: Emma Christmas [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, 22 May 2025 9:13 am
To: Darryl Sycamore <darryl@terramark.co.nz>
Cc: Peter Rawson <Peter.Rawson@dcc.govt.nz>
Subject: Plan Change 1 hearing - 62 Queen Street

Kia ora Darryl, you put in a submission opposing scheduling of 62 Queen St. The Panel have asked if we can find out the owners intention with respect to the building (eg. demolition or retention). Are you able to help? Otherwise, I'll try and contact the owner.

Thanks
Emma

Emma Christmas
**TEAM LEADER PLANNING
CITY DEVELOPMENT**

P 03 477 4000 | E [REDACTED]
Dunedin City Council, 50 The Octagon, Dunedin
PO Box 5045, Dunedin 9054
New Zealand
www.dunedin.govt.nz

If this message is not intended for you please delete it and notify us immediately; you are warned that any further use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this material by you is prohibited..
