

## Roxanne Davies

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 15 March 2021 07:25 p.m.  
**To:** Peter Rawson  
**Subject:** Re: Submission to Variation 2 - Additional Housing Capacity of the 2GP - query

Hello Peter,

1. We reject the sizing and high density rule
2. We reject the rezoning from rural residential 2 zone to general residential 1 zone.
3. We reject the wastewater package as the council struggles with wastewater so having high density housing will seriously impact should there be heavy rain.

I would like to take this opportunity to give a bit of feed back for people who don't work in this area it is very complicated to even understand the content provided as it's not written in layman's terms when it comes to ID numbers etc however for us in a nutshell. We oppose the new sub development/rezoning of GF05.

Regards,

[REDACTED]  
Sent from my iPhone

On 15/03/2021, at 4:47 PM, Peter Rawson <Peter.Rawson@dcc.govt.nz> wrote:

[REDACTED]

I am looking at your submission (attached to this email) to Variation 2 - Additional Housing Capacity of the 2GP.

I just wanted to make sure that I have understood correctly what you are seeking in your submission.

As you can see in your submission you reference the following three parts of Variation 2:

1. ID numbers A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9. These include changes to the minimum site size (Rule 15.7.4) and density (Rule 15.5.2) performance standards for the General Residential 1 (GR1) and serviced Township and Settlement (T&S) zones;
2. ID number GF05 - rezoning part of 353 Main South Road, Sunnyvale, Fairfield from Rural Residential 2 Zone to General Residential 1 Zone
3. ID number F3 – related to the wastewater package of changes

My query is, do you reject all three of these above changes, or just some of them?

Please email me back to confirm which of these changes you reject.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call me at the number below.

Thanks

Regards

Peter

Peter Rawson

**SENIOR PLANNER  
CITY DEVELOPMENT**

P 03 477 4000 | DD 03 474 3331 | E [peter.rawson@dcc.govt.nz](mailto:peter.rawson@dcc.govt.nz)

Dunedin City Council, 50 The Octagon, Dunedin

PO Box 5045, Dunedin 9054

New Zealand

[www.dunedin.govt.nz](http://www.dunedin.govt.nz)

[<0.png>](#)

---

If this message is not intended for you please delete it and notify us immediately; you are warned that any further use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this material by you is prohibited..

---



## Michaela Groenewegen

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 1 March 2021 09:07 p.m.  
**To:** District Plan Submissions  
**Subject:** Variation 2 submission

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Submission Form Submitted

Reference number 808027

**Submitter name**  
[REDACTED] Name Withheld C

**Organisation**

**Contact person/agent**

**Postal address**  
[REDACTED]

**Email**  
[REDACTED] districtplan@dcc.govt.nz

**Contact phone number**  
[REDACTED]

**I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission**  
No

**If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please select an answer**  
No

**Variation 2 change ID**  
A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9, 15.7.4 & 15.5.2

**Provision name and number, or address and map layer name**  
GF05 353 Main South Road

**My submission seeks the following decision from the Council**  
Reject the change

**Details**  
F3 reject the change.

**Reasons for my views**  
We have A diverse range of native birds living in the area. With the increased housing even with the round a bouts at green island the traffic does not flow well due to the increased traffic in the area as Abbotsford has two new sub divisions already. We got told by the council prior to purchasing our section the farm land adjacent to our section would not be fit to be built on and we paid premium for our section as a result. We would lose afternoon sun if two

story housing was allowed. We have not seen where roading would go and what would be acceptable housing i.e section sizes how close they would be built to us is the road near our section? we are not in a position to accept the rezoning from rural residential 2 to general residential 1. Our house prices would go down if social housing was allowed in the area. There is insufficient infrastructure in Green Island to cope with dense housing. The local school is already at capacity. More light pollution. We moved to this area as it was quite. We are working class citizens who don't want anymore noise or busy as crime will likely increase if social housing is allowed in the area.

**Supporting documents** (file name/s)

No file uploaded

**Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at a hearing**

No

**If others make a similar submission, would you consider presenting a joint case at a hearing**

No