### IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

#### I TE KÕTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

ENV-2018-CHC-247

BETWEEN PORT OTAGO LTD

**Appellant** 

AND DUNEDIN CITY COUNCIL

Respondent

# NOTICE OF PARTIES' WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS KĀTI HUIRAPA RŪNAKA KI PUKETERAKI AND TE RŪNANGA O ŌTĀKOU

Dated 31 January 2019

Solicitor acting: Shelley Chadwick

Webb Farry Solicitors 79 Stuart Street PO Box 5541 Dunedin

Telephone: (03) 477 1078 Facsimile: (03) 477 5754

## Notice of wish to be party to proceedings Under section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991

- To The Registrar
  Environment Court
  Christchurch
- 1 Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga O Ōtākou ("Manawhenua"), wish to be parties to the following proceedings concerning the Proposed Second Generation Dunedin District Plan ("2GP"):

ENV-2018-CHC-247 Port Otago Ltd v Dunedin City Council

- 2 Manawhenua made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 The Kāi Tahu Rūnanga are Manawhenua within the Dunedin district and therefore also have an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the general public.
- 4 Manawhenua are not trade competitors.
- 5 Manawhenua are interested in all of the proceedings.
- The ability of Kāi Tahu to provide for their cultural wellbeing is dependent on the protection of valued places, resources and landscapes, including wāhi tūpuna, and therefore Manawhenua are particularly interested in the following issues:
  - a Any changes proposed in this appeal that have the potential to impact how the 2GP provides for and protects Kāi Tahu values in the Dunedin district.
  - b Any changes to the 2GP relating to the 20m setback from coast rule for Port Activities.
  - c Any changes to the 2GP relating to the activity status of earthworks in the Dunedin Port.
- 7 Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga O Ōtākou oppose the relief sought by the Appellant for the following reasons:

ERM-461181-11-124-3:TNJ Page 1

- a Kāi Tahu have interests in the protection of wāhi tūpuna areas and the wider district.
- b Manawhenua are particularly interested in the protection of waterways, mahika kai and access to the coast and freshwater.
- c The Dunedin harbour is a mapped wāhi tūpuna area.
- d Threats to wāhi tūpuna include structures, sedimentation created by earthworks and the earthworks themselves.
- e Strengthening the policy and assessment provisions in favour of port activities may have the effect of weakening protection of Manawhenua values and Manawhenua wish to ensure an appropriate policy balance is struck.
- f It is important that Manawhenua values are fully considered in consenting decisions, both through appropriate provisions in the rules and policy of the 2GP and through Manawhenua involvement in consenting processes.
- g Otago harbour is a mapped wāhi tūpuna area. There are high cultural values in the harbour and mahika kai is gathered there. Sedimentation from earthworks can affect water quality which could adversely affect Kāi Tahu values in the harbour.
- h The Appellant's proposed amendments do not promote sustainable management and do not adequately reflect or take account of the important matters in Part II of the Resource Management Act 1991, including sections 6(b), (e), 6(g), 7(a), 7(aa) and 8.
- 8 Manawhenua agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution.

S Chadwick

Counsel for Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga O Ōtākou

ERM-461181-11-124-3:TNJ

### Address for service of Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga O Ōtākou:

Webb Farry Lawyers

79 Stuart Street

Dunedin 9016

PO Box 5541

Dunedin 9054

Telephone: (03) 477 1078

Email: schadwick@webbfarry.co.nz

ERM-461181-11-124-3:TNJ Page 3