

Appendix B - Summary of decisions requested (by change groups)

Change Group 1: Changes to minimum site size, density & family flats standards

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S271.002	Otago Regional Council	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) by making any consequential amendments that may be required for clarity and consistency.
S233.002	Garry & Bronwyn Applegarth	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) to apply to the General Residential 2 zone.
S8.004	John and Christine Burton	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) to ensure that greenspaces are maintained.
S59.011	Rebecca Post	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) to ensure there is adequate infrastructure (3-Waters) provided, and communal, outdoor children's play areas are provided within each larger (4 or more dwelling units) development, in addition to private, individual outdoor amenity spaces.
S189.027	Ryman Healthcare limited	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) to remove the focus on 'maintaining' the character and amenity of the area and better reflect that 'amenity' is a dynamic concept that will change over time.
S205.027	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A1 (Family flat provisions) to remove the focus on 'maintaining' the character and amenity of the area and better reflect that 'amenity' is a dynamic concept that will change over time.
S12.003	Mathew Zacharias	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes that provide for additional housing in Mosgiel to manage increased traffic and congestion through better road networks and ensure a plentiful supply of clean water. This point relates to Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S187.002	Dunedin City Council	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.6.1.2.b, to not replace "family flats" with "ancillary residential units", rather just add "ancillary residential units".
S107.003	Penny Turner	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition of Ancillary Residential Units (Change A1) by either: a. defining "ancillary residential units" as part of the primary residential activity (not as a separate residential unit), or, b. exempt "ancillary residential units" from the residential unit definition.
S187.001	Dunedin City Council	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition of gross floor area as it relates to Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S201.003	Zig Zag Trust	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Change A1 (Family flat provisions) within Mosgiel until such time as an agreed approach is implemented to address traffic safety issues at the intersection of State Highway 87 (Gordon Road and Quarry Road) with Burns Street, Hagart-Alexander Drive, and Gladstone Road. If not removed amend to include alternative, low cost, short term interventions to address the issue and to maintain safety and efficiency, whilst a longer term and likely more costly remedy is developed and approved for implementation.
S9.003	Marita Ansin-Johnson	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S95.001	Kate Hall	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S97.003	Name Withheld B	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S176.004	Liz Angelo	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S69.006	Name Withheld C	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S110.005	Brian Miller	A1	Accept the change	Remove Change A1 (family flats provisions).
S151.002	Paul Mooney	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S155.002	Malgosia Szukiel	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S157.002	Ola Szukiel	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S306.005	Megan Goodwin	A1	Reject the change	Remove Change A1 (Family flats provisions).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S289.005	Emmanuelle Joanna and Simon Charles Spencer Gomez	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions) as it relates to 22 Hunt Street
S252.005	Michael McQueen	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions) as it relates to 96 Somerville Street.
S60.001	Cameron Grindlay	A1	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions), subject to the required infrastructure (3-Waters) being adequately funded, so it is capable of handling the existing and new development.
S66.001	Conrad Anderson	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S78.002	Trevor Scott	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S128.001	Mark Geddes	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S163.001	William McSweeney	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S229.008	Ron & Sue Balchin	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S250.005	Meghan Mills	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S259.005	Midas Limited	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S260.005	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S265.004	Michael and Louise Lawrence	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S273.006	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S275.005	Maree Scott	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S279.006	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S284.005	Robert Mathieson	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S285.004	Christopher and Mark Lawrence	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S294.005	Paul and Michelle Barron	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S302.003	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S310.002	Melissa Shipman	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat provisions).
S76.028	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S159.001	Nancy Earth	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S230.016	BA Building Ltd	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S264.017	D N Innovations Ltd	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S270.023	Doug Hall	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S278.003	Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S282.028	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S283.014	Max Hope Trust	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S286.016	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S288.005	Flat Iron Group	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S290.003	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S291.003	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S292.004	Ian Chapman	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions) as it relates to 20 Hunt Street.
S293.007	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S295.022	HWH Properties Ltd	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S296.005	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S298.003	Bill Hamilton	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S300.029	Kurt Bowen	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S303.003	Jason and Bronwyn Cockerill (Seaview Ridges Limited)	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S304.003	Craig James and Kirsten Jane Duncan (Duncan Clan Family Trust)	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential unit provisions) in the General Residential 1 Zone and Township & Settlement Zone.
S203.024	Tom and Loretta Richardson	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flat/ancillary residential units' provisions).
S39.002	Nikolai Stapleton	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S79.021	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S123.007	Fletcher Glass	A1	Add a change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S129.006	Alex King	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S177.011	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S206.030	Paterson Pitts Group	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S261.003	Hans Joachim & Renate Scholz	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S301.003	Blue Sky Property Group Ltd	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats provisions).
S150.022	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	A1	Accept the change	Retain Change A1 (Family flats/ancillary residential unit provisions).
S310.004	Melissa Shipman	A1-Alt1	Add a change	Add Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units) to include design controls to support existing bulk and location controls in order to maintain streetscape amenity.
S206.006	Paterson Pitts Group	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S229.003	Ron & Sue Balchin	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S233.003	Garry & Bronwyn Applegarth	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S250.003	Meghan Mills	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S252.002	Michael McQueen	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S259.003	Midas Limited	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S265.002	Michael and Louise Lawrence	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S273.004	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S275.003	Maree Scott	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S279.002	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S282.004	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S283.004	Max Hope Trust	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S284.002	Robert Mathieson	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S288.003	Flat Iron Group	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S289.002	Emmanuelle Joanna and Simon Charles Spencer Gomez	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S290.005	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S292.002	Ian Chapman	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S293.004	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S294.003	Paul and Michelle Barron	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S296.006	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S298.005	Bill Hamilton	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S302.005	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	A1-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A1-Alt1 (Controls for design of family flats/ancillary residential units).
S75.001	Jeremy Callander	A2	Reject the change	Amend 15.1.1.1 (General Residential 1 zone description) to remove mention of "'terrace style' developments".
S298.002	Bill Hamilton	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) so that: 1. There should be a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed to avoid subdivisions being undertaken on empty land, and owners then attempting to seek consent for stand-alone houses on the subsequent sites (which may be as small as 200m ²). The submitter suggests that there is a provision inserted into Variation 2 that requires the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision. 2. Duplex developments should be enabled through a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common). Without this provision it may be difficult to obtain a building consent for the duplex unit construction (in a duplex pair) while the site is still a single site (i.e. prior to the subdivision being completed). A drain in common between two duplex units is consistent with certain types of subdivision (e.g. Unit Title) and has the benefit of reducing house construction costs.
S302.002	Alan David and David Eric Geeves	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) so that: 1. There should be a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
	& Nicola Jane Algie			units have been constructed to avoid subdivisions being undertaken on empty land, and owners then attempting to seek consent for stand-alone houses on the subsequent sites (which may be as small as 200m ²). The submitter suggests that there is a provision inserted into Variation 2 that requires the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision. 2. Duplex developments should be enabled through a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common). Without this provision it may be difficult to obtain a building consent for the duplex unit construction (in a duplex pair) while the site is still a single site (i.e. prior to the subdivision being completed). A drain in common between two duplex units is consistent with certain types of subdivision (e.g. Unit Title) and has the benefit of reducing house construction costs.
S128.002	Mark Geddes	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to require that subdivision consent can only be applied for when the code of compliance is issued for the building construction to prevent detached buildings on separate titles being built.
S187.004	Dunedin City Council	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to ensure that the new provisions for duplexes and two residential units in a single residential building are clear as to when a development constitutes one residential building or two residential buildings. Ensure that a physical connection between two residential buildings cannot be relied on to consider them a single residential building for the purposes of the density performance standard in Rule 15.5.2.
S187.005	Dunedin City Council	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to review policy direction on why duplexes and 2 units in a single residential building are provided for on sites as small as 500m ² but not two standalone residential units is necessary to assist in consideration of applications for over-dense development or undersized site subdivisions.
S206.005	Paterson Pitts Group	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to allow for: 1. A requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed; and 2. A provision to allow for shared foul and stormwater drains for duplexes (e.g. a drain in common prior to subdivision).
S260.008	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	A2	Accept the change	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to allow for: 1. A requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed; and 2. A provision to allow for shared foul and stormwater drains for duplexes (e.g a drain in common prior to subdivision).
S273.005	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to include these changes; 1. There should be a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed. This will avoid subdivisions being undertaken on empty land, and owners then attempting to seek consent for stand-alone houses on the subsequent sites (which may be as small as 200m ²). The submitter suggests that there is a provision inserted into Variation 2 that requires the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision. 2. Duplex developments should be enabled through a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common). Without this provision it may be difficult to obtain a building consent for the duplex unit construction (in a duplex pair) while the site is still a single site (i.e. prior to the subdivision being completed). A drain in common between two duplex units is consistent with certain types of subdivision (e.g. Unit Title) and has the benefit of reducing house construction costs. Christchurch City Council allows shared drains for up to 4 residential units, and this seems to operate reasonably well.
S279.005	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to include these changes; 1. There should be a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed. This will avoid subdivisions being undertaken on empty land, and owners then attempting to seek consent for stand-alone houses on the subsequent sites (which may be as small as 200m ²). The submitter suggests that there is a provision inserted into Variation 2 that requires the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision. 2. Duplex developments should be enabled through a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common). Without this provision it may be difficult to obtain a building consent for the duplex unit construction (in a duplex pair) while the site is still a single site (i.e. prior to the subdivision being completed). A

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				drain in common between two duplex units is consistent with certain types of subdivision (e.g. Unit Title) and has the benefit of reducing house construction costs. Christchurch City Council allows shared drains for up to 4 residential units, and this seems to operate reasonably well.
S282.003	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to allow for: 1. A requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed; and 2. A provision to allow for shared foul and stormwater drains for duplexes (e.g a drain in common prior to subdivision).
S283.003	Max Hope Trust	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to include a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed and a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common).
S290.004	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to allow for: 1. A requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed; and 2. A provision to allow for shared foul and stormwater drains for duplexes (e.g. a drain in common prior to subdivision).
S293.003	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to include provisions to ensure that; require the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision. Duplex developments should be enabled through a suitable planning provision to share foul and stormwater drains.
S296.004	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to allow for: 1. A requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed; and 2. A provision to allow for shared foul and stormwater drains for duplexes (e.g. a drain in common prior to subdivision).
S187.003	Dunedin City Council	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition of Common Wall as it relates to Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building), as follows, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission: Common Wall A wall, or two abutting walls, that form the dividing partition between two adjoining buildings. For the sake of clarity, this includes party walls.
S187.009	Dunedin City Council	A2	Accept the change with amendments	Make any amendments required to Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building) to ensure safe, accessible storage, movement and collection of solid waste and recycling bins is provided for all existing and future residential units where intensification of residential activity is provided for.
S176.003	Liz Angelo	A2	Reject the change	Remove Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S64.001	Tony Bishop	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S66.002	Conrad Anderson	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S174.001	Bruce Cloughley	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S230.024	BA Building Ltd	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S264.023	D N Innovations Ltd	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S295.020	HWH Properties Ltd	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes and two units in a single building).
S300.022	Kurt Bowen	A2	Accept the change	Retain Change A2 (Duplexes).
S170.002	Shay Dewey	A2-Alt1	Add a change	Add Alternative A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site).
S283.005	Max Hope Trust	A2-Alt1	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site).
S170.003	Shay Dewey	A2-Alt2	Add a change	Add Alternative A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density).
S283.006	Max Hope Trust	A2-Alt2	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density).
S310.003	Melissa Shipman	A2-Alt3	Add a change	Add Alternative A2-Alt 3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3) to include design controls to support existing bulk and location controls in order to maintain streetscape amenity.
S176.002	Liz Angelo	A2-Alt3	Add a change	Add Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3) to ensure that infill suburban homes are acoustically designed to minimise noise for occupants.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S314.002	Lucille Taneatualua	A2-Alt3	Add a change	Add Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S187.006	Dunedin City Council	A2-Alt3	Add a change	As an alternative to rejecting any changes that provide for intensification or new residential zoning in response to submissions opposing them, consider the need for additional plan provisions to better manage any adverse effects as an alternative.
S229.005	Ron & Sue Balchin	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S252.004	Michael McQueen	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S259.004	Midas Limited	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S265.003	Michael and Louise Lawrence	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S275.004	Maree Scott	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S283.007	Max Hope Trust	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S284.004	Robert Mathieson	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S288.004	Flat Iron Group	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S289.004	Emmanuelle Joanna and Simon Charles Spencer Gomez	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S292.005	Ian Chapman	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S294.004	Paul and Michelle Barron	A2-Alt3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S260.004	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternative A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 & Change A3).
S206.007	Paterson Pitts Group	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S250.004	Meghan Mills	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S260.003	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S273.003	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S279.004	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S282.006	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S290.006	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S293.005	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S296.007	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S298.004	Bill Hamilton	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S302.004	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	A2-Alts 1, 2 & 3	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Alternatives A2-Alt1 (Two standalone residential units per site), A2-Alt2 (Habitable room approach to density) and A2-Alt3 (Controls for infill housing enabled by Change A2 and Change A3).
S187.034	Dunedin City Council	A3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area) to ensure safe, accessible storage, movement and collection of solid waste and recycling bins is provided for all existing and future residential units where intensification of residential activity is provided for.
S107.001	Penny Turner	A3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area), so that the minimum lot size in the General Residential 1 zone and Township & Settlement zone is further reduced to 350m².
S104.002	Barry Timmings	A3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.5.2 (Density) so that the maximum development potential rule for General Residential 1 Zone is one habitable room per 75m², instead of one habitable room per 100m².
S194.002	Barry James Douglas	A3	Reject the change	Remove Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S2.001	David Campbell	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S64.002	Tony Bishop	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S85.001	Eric Duff	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S106.001	Michael Allen-Duff	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S128.003	Mark Geddes	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S174.003	Bruce Cloughley	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S192.002	Campbell Family Trust	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S273.007	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S283.002	Max Hope Trust	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S300.021	Kurt Bowen	A3	Accept the change	Retain Change A3 (Minimum site size and minimum site area).
S194.003	Barry James Douglas	B1	Reject the change	Remove Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S63.001	Caroline Gin	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S85.002	Eric Duff	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S106.002	Michael Allen-Duff	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S128.004	Mark Geddes	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S206.004	Paterson Pitts Group	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S282.002	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S295.021	HWH Properties Ltd	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S300.023	Kurt Bowen	B1	Accept the change	Retain Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging).
S230.023	BA Building Ltd	B1	Accept the change	Retain Rule 15.7.4.2 (site size averaging).
S187.012	Dunedin City Council	B1	Accept the change with amendments	Review Change B1 (Minimum site size averaging) at Rule 15.7.4.2 to consider whether non-developable sites should be excluded from the minimum site size averaging calculation.
S187.013	Dunedin City Council	B3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.5.2.1.k.i so that it is clear that the other performance standards in the Plan continue to apply in their own right and that this rule does not provide a blanket exception to those performance standards.
S128.005	Mark Geddes	B3	Accept the change	Retain Change B3 (Density and units on existing sites of any size).
S194.004	Barry James Douglas	B4	Reject the change	Remove Change B4 (Counting of access legs towards minimum site area and minimum site size).
S128.012	Mark Geddes	B4	Accept the change	Retain Change B4 (Counting of access legs towards minimum site area and minimum site size).
S246.001	TGC Holdings Limited	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development) to include the following changes: a) the minimum site size exemptions under rule 15.7.4.2 be amended to apply to subdivision in accordance with an

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				existing approved or concurrently approved land use resource consent, or for any lots around existing lawfully established development b) the exemption to rule 15.7.4.2 is provided with a note that sets out that for the purposes of determining whether a building is lawfully established development it must be favoured by existing use rights; be permitted under the proposed 2GP or be undertaken in accordance with an approved land use resource consent Subdivision with an approved land use resource consent c) that subdivision advanced under a) retain discretionary activity status however include a matter of discretion that subdivision relating to an approved land use consent must comply with that resource consent, including all conditions and all approved plans.
S268.003	Otakou Health Limited	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development) so it applies to subdivision in accordance with an existing approved or concurrently approved land use resource consent, or for any lots around an existing lawfully established development.
S268.004	Otakou Health Limited	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development) to include a note to plan user explaining that for the purposes of determining whether a building is lawfully established development, existing use rights must apply, or it must be a permitted activity, or it must be undertaken in accordance with an approved land use resource consent.
S268.005	Otakou Health Limited	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development) so that subdivision undertaken in accordance with the exception retains a restricted discretionary activity status but includes a matter of discretion that subdivision relating to an approved land use consent must comply with the relevant consent and all attached conditions and approved plans.
S187.015	Dunedin City Council	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the wording of Rule 15.7.4.1.j.X to clarify the meaning of 'habitable' or otherwise clarify the extent to which a residential building must be completed to qualify for the exception. Review whether this change creates an undesirable loophole or may be exploited in a way that was not intended and does not meet the objectives of the Plan.
S128.013	Mark Geddes	B6	Accept the change	Retain Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development).
S187.014	Dunedin City Council	B6	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether the approach preventing family flats and ancillary residential units from meeting Change B6 (Exemptions to minimum site size for existing development) in Rule 15.7.4.1.j.X is appropriate in terms of the practicalities of implementing this rule and in terms of achieving the objectives of the Plan.
S153.001	David Murray	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Add a change	Amend Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards) to ensure that increased residential density is integrated with complementary amendments to heritage provisions.
S176.006	Liz Angelo	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes providing for infill housing so that homes are soundproof and so there are no units that will end up as student accommodation (inferred not stated).
S12.002	Mathew Zacharias	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes that provide for additional housing in Mosgiel to manage increased traffic and congestion through better road networks and ensure a plentiful supply of clean water. This point relates to rule changes that will increase the density of permitted activity.
S59.001	Rebecca Post	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes which increase housing density and availability of sites to build on to ensure that: a. there is adequate infrastructure (3-Waters) provided, and b. communal, outdoor children's play areas are provided within each larger (4 or more dwelling units) development, in addition to private, individual outdoor amenity spaces.
S219.006	Gladstone Family Trust	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Amend provisions "so that townhouse and duplex type housing is permitted on existing vacant sections in any residential zone provided there is infrastructure capacity and performance standards for this type of housing (to be developed) can be met". This submission point relates only to the General Residential 1 zone and Township & Settlement zone where serviced for wastewater, as other zones are covered by submission point 219.002.
S224.007	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Add a change	Amend Rule 15.10.4.7 (assessment of restricted discretionary activities) to add a new assessment matter in regard to infringement of maximum height limits as follows: x. The extent to which an exceedance of the height standard results in reverse sensitivity effects on adjacent infrastructure networks and how this can be mitigated. This point relates to rule changes that provide for intensification.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S122.001	Peter Dowden	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules so that all new dwellings built in General Residential 1 zone or Township & Settlement zone must have "ok" or better access to public transport accessibility by DCC criteria.
S97.002	Name Withheld B	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2 (duplex provisions), A3 (minimum site size), B1 (minimum site size averaging), B3 (density and units on existing sites of any size) and B4 (counting of access legs towards minimum site area and minimum site size) for the General Residential 1 Zone.
S9.002	Marita Ansin-Johnson	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S69.001	Name Withheld C	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S95.002	Kate Hall	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S151.001	Paul Mooney	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards) as it relates to Warrington.
S306.001	Megan Goodwin	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards) (inferred not stated).
S309.001	Hilary Hutton	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S133.001	Lisa Johnston	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S155.001	Malgosia Szukiel	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards) as they relate to 6 Park Road, Warrington.
S201.001	Zig Zag Trust	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Remove changes that provide for an increase in residential capacity within Mosgiel until such time as an agreed approach is implemented to address traffic safety issues at the intersection of State Highway 87 (Gordon Road and Quarry Road) with Burns Street, Hagart-Alexander Drive, and Gladstone Road. Alternatively, low cost, short term interventions are available and could be implemented in the interim to address the issue and to maintain safety and efficiency, whilst a longer term and likely more costly remedy is developed and approved for implementation.
S110.004	Brian Miller	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove rule changes that provide for an increase in dwellings in the Mosgiel urban area.
S157.001	Ola Szukiel	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Reject the change	Remove the changes to density that will apply to part of 6 Park street, Warrington.
S285.003	Christopher and Mark Lawrence	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Change A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S310.001	Melissa Shipman	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, and B4 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S163.002	William McSweeney	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S39.001	Nikolai Stapleton	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S78.003	Trevor Scott	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S123.005	Fletcher Glass	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S203.012	Tom and Loretta Richardson	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S206.003	Paterson Pitts Group	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S229.001	Ron & Sue Balchin	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S230.012	BA Building Ltd	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S252.001	Michael McQueen	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards) as they relate to 96 Somerville Street.
S259.002	Midas Limited	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S260.002	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S261.002	Hans Joachim & Renate Scholz	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S264.014	D N Innovations Ltd	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S265.001	Michael and Louise Lawrence	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S275.002	Maree Scott	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S279.001	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S282.001	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S284.001	Robert Mathieson	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S286.003	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S288.002	Flat Iron Group	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S289.001	Emmanuelle Joanna and Simon Charles Spencer Gomez	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S290.002	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S291.004	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S292.001	Ian Chapman	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards) as they relate to 20 Hunt Street.
S295.014	HWH Properties Ltd	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S296.003	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S303.002	Jason and Bronwyn Cockerill (Seaview Ridges Limited)	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S76.003	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S79.018	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S150.017	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S245.001	Moreclake Developments Limited	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S250.002	Meghan Mills	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards), and add: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a requirement that subdivision of duplex developments can only be completed once the new units have been constructed (the framing of both duplex units to be complete before the s224c certificate can be issued for an associated subdivision) a requirement that duplex developments share foul and stormwater drains (e.g. a drain in common).
S270.017	Doug Hall	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S293.002	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S294.001	Paul and Michelle Barron	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S301.001	Blue Sky Property Group Ltd	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards).
S129.001	Alex King	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change	Retain Changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B6 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards) in the General Residential 1 Zone.
S60.002	Cameron Grindlay	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain changes A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6, E9 (Changes to minimum site size and density standards and duplexes), subject to the required infrastructure (3-Waters) being adequately funded, so it is capable of handling the existing and new development.
S170.001	Shay Dewey	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Changes A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 and E9 (Changes to the minimum site size and density standards).
S8.003	John and Christine Burton	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain changes which increase the density of housing within the boundaries of the City of Dunedin, provided that green spaces are maintained. This point applies to rule changes that provide for an increase in density.
S177.001	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Retain the changes for general intensification in the General Residential 1 zone however amend the density changes to the serviced Township and Settlement zone so that there is a performance standard that requires good access to the public transport network (inferred not stated).
S187.011	Dunedin City Council	General intensification (Rules) - A2, A3, B1, B3, B4, B6 & E9	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether the density and minimum site size rules in Section 15 (15.5.2 and 15.7.4) should be amended to ensure that development potential is not reduced if 3 Waters require a separate service lot to be provided for shared services as part of a multi-unit development/subdivision.

Change Group 2: Changes to policy on residential character

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S194.005	Barry James Douglas	B5	Reject the change	Remove Change B5 (Removal of policy link between management of density for character and amenity).
S177.002	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	B5	Accept the change	Retain Change B5 (Removal of policy link between management of density for character and amenity).
S189.002	Ryman Healthcare limited	B5	Accept the change	Retain Change B5 (Removal of policy link between management of density for character and amenity) to the following provisions: Policy 2.2.4.4 Policy 15.2.4.2 Rule 15.13.5.1.b
S205.002	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	B5	Accept the change	Retain Change B5 (Removal of policy link between management of density for character and amenity) to the following provisions: Policy 2.2.4.4 Policy 15.2.4.2 Rule 15.13.5.1.b
S233.004	Garry & Bronwyn Applegarth	B5	Accept the change	Retain Change B5 (Removal of policy link between management of density for character and amenity).

Change Group 3: Changes for social housing

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S177.004	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change C1 (Better provide for social housing) so it applies within the Inner City Residential zone and General Residential 2 zone.
S271.003	Otago Regional Council	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change C1 (Better provide for social housing) so the provisions are clear that the prioritisation of access to the relaxed density rules apply to any development where infrastructure capacity constraints do not exist, enabling additional supply of generally smaller and more affordable dwellings for all potential residents.
S128.006	Mark Geddes	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change C1 (Better provide for social housing) to open social housing to all, not just registered housing providers.
S8.005	John and Christine Burton	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes which increase the density of housing within the boundaries of the City of Dunedin to provide that green spaces are maintained. This point applies to the social housing provisions.
S235.009	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the assessment matters in Rule 15.11.3(1) to include the following, or similar, general assessment guidance: "Where a site adjoins strategic infrastructure, in assessing the effects on amenity, Council will consider the design of the development and the relationship between the site and strategic infrastructure."
S206.027	Paterson Pitts Group	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition for 'social housing' by expanding it to apply to developers that can meet a set number of development criteria including the following: 1. Provide reduced accommodation costs for future residents (as homeowners or renters); 2. Achieve stipulated design standards (e.g. healthy- living standards); 3. Maintain the 'affordable' value in the property for a suitable period of time, if not indefinitely.
S282.027	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition for 'social housing' by expanding it to apply to developers that can meet a set number of development criteria including the following: 1. Provide reduced accommodation costs for future residents (as homeowners or renters); 2. Achieve stipulated design standards (e.g. healthy- living standards); 3. Maintain the 'affordable' value in the property for a suitable period of time, if not indefinitely.
S300.024	Kurt Bowen	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition for 'social housing' by expanding it to apply to developers that can meet a set number of development criteria including the following: 1. Provide reduced accommodation costs for future residents (as homeowners or renters); 2. Achieve stipulated design standards (e.g. healthy- living standards); 3. Maintain the 'affordable' value in the property for a suitable period of time, if not indefinitely.
S239.010	Dunedin City Baptist Church	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the definition of Social Housing to include a category of persons and/or entities who provide social or community housing but who are not a 'registered community housing provider' in accordance with the Public and Community Housing Management Act 1992. Include performance standards to ensure that social and/or community housing meets the desired level of design.
S234.003	Kainga Ora (Homes and Communities)	C1	Reject the change	Remove Change C1 (Better provide for social housing) except for changes sought regarding multi-unit development provisions (see separate point). Amend the following provisions: (see submission for proposed drafting) a. 1.3.2 b. 1.4 Definition of social housing c. 1.4 Definition of Standard residential d. 2.6.1.X e. 6.2.2.X f. 6.10.3.x g. 9.5.3.AA h. 15.1.1.1 i. 15.5.2 j. 15.10.3.X
S104.001	Barry Timmings	C1	Reject the change	Remove Change C1 (Better provide for social housing).
S201.004	Zig Zag Trust	C1	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove changes that provide for an increase in residential capacity within Mosgiel until such time as an agreed approach is implemented to address traffic safety issues at the intersection of State Highway 87 (Gordon Road and Quarry Road) with Burns Street, Hagart-Alexander Drive, and Gladstone Road. Alternatively, low cost, short term interventions are available and could be implemented in the interim to address the

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				issue and to maintain safety and efficiency, whilst a longer term and likely more costly remedy is developed and approved for implementation. This point applies to the social housing provisions.
S235.007	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	C1	Accept the change	Retain amendments to Rule 15.3.4.5 Development Activity Status Table - New buildings and additions and alterations that result in a multi-unit development.
S60.003	Cameron Grindlay	C1	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change C1 (Better provide for social housing), subject to the required infrastructure (3-Waters) being adequately funded, so it is capable of handling the existing and new development.
S59.010	Rebecca Post	C1	Accept the change	Retain Change C1 (Better provide for social housing).
S174.002	Bruce Cloughley	C1	Accept the change	Retain Change C1 (Better provide for social housing).
S268.006	Otakou Health Limited	C1	Accept the change	Retain Change C1 (better provide for social housing).
S278.001	Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand	C1	Accept the change	Retain Change C1 (Better provide for social housing).
S235.004	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	C1	Accept the change	Retain Policy 6.2.2.X as notified.

Change Group 4: Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S187.016	Dunedin City Council	D1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D1 (Broad changes linked to NDMA provisions) to add an appendix to list the sites where a new development mapped area (NDMA) is being included in the Plan, including giving each mapped area a name. Add the NDMA names for each area to the pop-ups in the planning maps.
S189.006	Ryman Healthcare limited	D1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Objective 12.2.X to read as follows: "Future residential growth areas are developed in a way that achieves in general accordance with the Plan's strategic directions for: [...]"
S205.006	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	D1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Objective 12.2.X to read as follows: "Future residential growth areas are developed in a way that achieves in general accordance with the Plan's strategic directions for: [...]"
S9.022	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D1	Reject the change	Remove Change D1 (Broad changes linked to NDMA provisions).
S189.028	Ryman Healthcare limited	D1-Alt2	Add a change	Add Alternative D1-Alt2 (Assessment rules for subdivision in all areas) to apply new assessment rules for all topics covered in the Change D group to all subdivision, rather than just subdivision in the new development mapped area (inferred not stated).
S205.028	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	D1-Alt2	Add a change	Add Alternative D1-Alt2 (Assessment rules for subdivision in all areas) to apply new assessment rules for all topics covered in the Change D group to all subdivision, rather than just subdivision in the new development mapped area (inferred not stated).
S13.007	Bill Morrison	D4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.003	Roger and Janine Southby	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S193.002	Ken Close	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S204.003	Ron Balchin	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.009	Gladstone Family Trust	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S223.003	Ed Stewardson	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S228.004	Wendy Campbell	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.002	Meats of New Zealand Limited	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.008	Willowcroft Limited	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.009	Dunedin City Baptist Church	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S240.003	Invermark Investments Ltd	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.003	Grant Motion	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.002	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.003	Cole Bennetts	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S249.008	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S305.003	Outram Developments Limited	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S307.004	Peter Doherty	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S308.003	CC Otago Limited	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions) by including a performance standard which specifies when formal and/or informal space is required or what greenspace is required as a minimum for each new development mapped area and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S160.004	Dunedin Tunnels Trails Trust (Kate Wilson)	D4	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.3.3.1 to refer to connectivity and mode shift, i.e. walking, cycling and public transport and support development where these facilities should be accessible.
S9.023	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D4	Reject the change	Remove Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions).
S128.014	Mark Geddes	D4	Accept the change	Retain Change D4 (Provision of social and recreational spaces in large greenfield subdivisions).
S9.024	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D5	Reject the change	Remove Change D5 (Solar access in large greenfield subdivisions).
S13.006	Bill Morrison	D6	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.004	Roger and Janine Southby	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S193.003	Ken Close	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S204.004	Ron Balchin	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.010	Gladstone Family Trust	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S223.004	Ed Stewardson	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S228.005	Wendy Campbell	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.003	Meats of New Zealand Limited	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.007	Willowcroft Limited	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.008	Dunedin City Baptist Church	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S240.004	Invermark Investments Ltd	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.004	Grant Motion	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.003	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.004	Cole Bennetts	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S249.009	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S305.004	Outram Developments Limited	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S307.005	Peter Doherty	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S308.004	CC Otago Limited	D6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 12.2.X.2 to provide a definition of 'significant natural environment values' to clarify what it means in Policy 12.2.X.2 relating to the protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S9.025	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D6	Reject the change	Remove Change D6 (Protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions).
S128.007	Mark Geddes	D6	Add a change	Retain Change D6 (Protection of natural environmental values in large greenfield subdivisions).
S13.005	Bill Morrison	D7	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S128.008	Mark Geddes	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a condition that requires an assessment from a landscape architect.
S191.005	Roger and Janine Southby	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S193.004	Ken Close	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S204.005	Ron Balchin	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S219.011	Gladstone Family Trust	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S223.005	Ed Stewardson	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S228.006	Wendy Campbell	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S232.004	Meats of New Zealand Limited	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S238.006	Willowcroft Limited	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S239.007	Dunedin City Baptist Church	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S240.005	Invermark Investments Ltd	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S241.005	Grant Motion	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S242.004	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S247.005	Cole Bennetts	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S249.010	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S305.005	Outram Developments Limited	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S307.006	Peter Doherty	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S308.005	CC Otago Limited	D7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions) to include a trigger for the provision of amenity planting and public amenities (i.e. number of lots / size of development area) and/or provide guidance on what constitutes 'adequate' areas of amenity planting and public amenities.
S9.026	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D7	Reject the change	Remove Change D7 (Providing for amenity planting and public amenities in large greenfield subdivisions).
S9.027	Marita Ansin-Johnson	D8	Reject the change	Remove Change D8 (Providing for efficient use of land in large greenfield subdivisions).
S13.004	Bill Morrison	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S191.006	Roger and Janine Southby	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S193.005	Ken Close	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S204.006	Ron Balchin	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S219.012	Gladstone Family Trust	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S223.006	Ed Stewardson	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S228.007	Wendy Campbell	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S232.005	Meats of New Zealand Limited	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S238.005	Willowcroft Limited	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S239.006	Dunedin City Baptist Church	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S240.006	Invermark Investments Ltd	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S241.006	Grant Motion	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S242.005	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S247.006	Cole Bennetts	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S249.011	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S305.006	Outram Developments Limited	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S307.007	Peter Doherty	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S308.006	CC Otago Limited	D8	Reject the change	Remove Rule 12.X.2.5.e.iv.3.
S9.008	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E5	Reject the change	Remove Change E5 (Strategic direction policies related to structure plans).
S306.004	Megan Goodwin	E5	Reject the change	Remove the changes to Policy 2.4.1.7.a.
S270.005	Doug Hall	Miscellaneous	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped area from 636 Signal Hill Road [NOTE TO READER: NO NDMA IS PROPOSED OVER THIS LAND], or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S311.003	Alice Wouters	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA 10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
S197.003	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
S290.008	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	NDMA14	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA14 (addition of a new development mapped area at St Albans Street North) from 34 Ettrick Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S296.011	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	NDMA15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA15 (addition of a new development mapped area at Salisbury Road), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S193.001	Ken Close	NDMA2	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA02 (addition of new development mapped area at Emerson Street and Blackhead Road, Concord).
S235.012	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	NDMA3	Accept the change	Retain Change NDMA03 (apply a new development mapped area at Patmos Avenue, Pine Hill) provided other points in this submission are adopted.
S76.010	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	NDMA4	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street.
S76.011	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S79.005	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S291.009	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S203.001	Tom and Loretta Richardson	NDMA5	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change NDMA 05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road) by: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S197.002	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc	NDMA5	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road).
S264.001	D N Innovations Ltd	NDMA5	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a performance standard that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the New Development mapped area (NDMA05) from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations (e.g. 500m ² in the General Residential 1 zone). This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance OR 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site - these can be cost effective if implemented by way of a standardised method) OR 3. Rezone the land within the NDMA to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S311.002	Alice Wouters	NDMA5	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road).
S235.013	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	NDMA8	Accept the change	Retain Change NDMA08 (addition of a new development mapped area at Pine Hill) provided other points in this submission are adopted regarding increased consideration of state highways.
S266.002	James Sunderland & Megan Justice	NDMA9	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA09 (addition of a new development mapped area at Balmacewen Golf Course).
S195.001	Valerie Joyce Dempster	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
S76.009	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S79.004	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S150.004	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S206.010	Paterson Pitts Group	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S230.001	BA Building Ltd	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S260.015	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S270.004	Doug Hall	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S282.009	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S291.008	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S295.024	HWH Properties Ltd	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S296.010	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S300.003	Kurt Bowen	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows: 1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance. 2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site). 3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S206.011	Paterson Pitts Group	NDMAs on existing RTZ land - NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S260.016	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	NDMAs on existing RTZ land - NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15) (inferred not stated).
S282.010	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	NDMAs on existing RTZ land - NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S300.004	Kurt Bowen	NDMAs on existing RTZ land - NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR 3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.

Change Group 5: Changes for transportation connections in subdivisions

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S160.011	Dunedin Tunnels Trails Trust (Kate Wilson)	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Add provisions so that off-site ev and ebike charging is provided for in subdivisions, especially where garages are not provided.
S160.012	Dunedin Tunnels Trails Trust (Kate Wilson)	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Add provisions to ensure the provision of connections to existing and planned cycleways, walkways and public transport routes, ev car and ebike charging infrastructure, prioritisation of walking and cycling in design (especially on flat land), cycle storage and other cycle infrastructure.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S263.010	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend policies 6.2.3.Y, 6.11.2.7 & 6.11.2.8 to only require a legal road where other assessment matters trigger this requirement such as for reasons of network connectivity and/or safe and efficient operation of the transport network.
S160.001	Dunedin Tunnels Trails Trust (Kate Wilson)	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.2.2.4 to reference existing or planned cycle and walkways and preference for access to centres on easy (flat) terrain.
S218.002	Ministry of Education	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.2.2.4.X and Policy 6.2.3.Y to provide greater clarity and direction about how the specific urban design outcomes are required to be achieved (in terms of connectivity between schools and new greenfield sites).
S160.002	Dunedin Tunnels Trails Trust (Kate Wilson)	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.2.2.4.x to require ev car and ebike charging and priority in design for walking and cycling especially where the subdivision is in an area with low elevation to centres or existing or planned cycle ways.
S187.018	Dunedin City Council	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 6.2.3.Y (and make consequential amendments to assessment Rule 6.11.2.7) regarding provision of roads in subdivisions to ensure that the potential for development of more than one residential unit per site is a consideration in the assessment of whether a road will be required as part of a subdivision.
S218.001	Ministry of Education	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 6.2.3.Y to consider the transport network in proximity to schools to ensure the safety of school staff and students travelling to and from schools. This could include assessment matters relating to the implementation of enhanced safety measures such as the promotion of public transport, pedestrian and cycle linkages, traffic calming and signalised crossings where appropriate.
S202.006	K and L Accommodation Limited	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rules 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 to only require a legal road where other assessment matters trigger this requirement such as for reasons of network connectivity and/or safe and efficient operation of the transport network.
S220.009	Terramark Limited	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rules 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 to only require a legal road where other assessment matters trigger this requirement such as for reasons of network connectivity and/or safe and efficient operation of the transport network.
S128.009	Mark Geddes	D2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Rule 6.11.2.7 to remove the limit for the number of users if the road is of adequate width and a purchaser has bought a site knowing the maintenance requirements and potential traffic flows for their private road.
S125.003	Bus Users Support Group Otepoti/Te Roopu Tautoko Kaieke Pahi ki Otepoti	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules for residential subdivision so that the design of the road and path network optimises walking distances to bus stops.
S76.024	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S79.019	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S150.019	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S203.013	Tom and Loretta Richardson	D2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S206.026	Paterson Pitts Group	D2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S230.014	BA Building Ltd	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S264.016	D N Innovations Ltd	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S270.019	Doug Hall	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S282.026	Survey & Spatial New Zealand	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
	(STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch			
S286.015	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S291.024	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S295.016	HWH Properties Ltd	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S300.020	Kurt Bowen	D2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove Policy 6.2.3.Y, Rule 6.11.2.7 and 6.11.2.8 and replace with an amendment to Rule 6.6.3.9.a.ii to increase the legal width of the driveway for 7 or more residential units.
S271.004	Otago Regional Council	D2	Accept the change	Retain Change D2 (Transport connections in subdivisions).
S177.005	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	D2	Accept the change	Retain Change D2 (Transportation connections in subdivisions).

Change Group 6: Other changes

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S189.010	Ryman Healthcare limited	E1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend 15.1.1.4 (introduction to 'Low Density Residential') to specifically mention the need to enable retirement villages.
S205.010	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	E1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend 15.1.1.4 (introduction to 'Low Density Residential') to specifically mention the need to enable retirement villages.
S189.008	Ryman Healthcare limited	E1	Add a change	Retain 15.1.1.1 (introduction to 'General Residential 1') to the extent the amendments anticipate larger developments that will house 'supported living facilities' and that change in residential character is anticipated over time, but further amend the introduction to specifically mention "retirement villages".
S205.008	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	E1	Accept the change with amendments	Retain 15.1.1.1 (introduction to 'General Residential 1') to the extent the amendments anticipate larger developments that will house 'supported living facilities' and that change in residential character is anticipated over time, but further amend the introduction to specifically mention "retirement villages".
S189.009	Ryman Healthcare limited	E1	Add a change	Retain 15.1.1.2 (introduction to 'General Residential 2') and 15.1.1.3 (introduction to 'Inner City Residential') to the extent the amendments recognise the need to provide a range of housing choices, but further amend to specifically mention the need to enable retirement villages.
S205.009	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	E1	Accept the change with amendments	Retain 15.1.1.2 (introduction to 'General Residential 2') and 15.1.1.3 (introduction to 'Inner City Residential') to the extent the amendments recognise the need to provide a range of housing choices, but further amend to specifically mention the need to enable retirement villages.
S9.018	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E1	Accept the change	Retain Change E1 (Residential zone descriptions).
S177.006	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	E1	Accept the change	Retain Change E1 (Residential zone descriptions).
S187.019	Dunedin City Council	E1	Accept the change with amendments	Review the zone descriptions for Large Lot Residential 1 and 2 zones and Township and Settlement Zone in 15.1.1.5, 15.1.1.6, and 15.1.1.7 to clarify that some of these areas are not serviced for reticulated water supply or stormwater. Amend the reference to "stormwater storage" as this is not strictly correct.
S189.012	Ryman Healthcare limited	E2	Add a change	Add a new provision in Section 12 specifying which zone's objectives, policies and rules must be considered for a proposal in an area subject to the transition zone overlays.
S205.012	Retirement Villages	E2	Add a change	Add a new provision in Section 12 specifying which zone's objectives, policies and rules must be considered for a proposal in an area subject to the transition zone overlays.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
	Association of New Zealand			
S9.019	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E2	Accept the change	Retain Change E2 (Clarify the RTZ, HETZ and IndTZ provisions).
S9.020	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E3	Accept the change	Retain Change E3 (Correct errors in RTZ, HETZ and IndTZ assessment rules).
S9.021	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E4	Accept the change	Retain Change E4 (removing duplication between Objective 2.2.2 and Objective 2.2.5).
S9.006	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E6	Reject the change	Remove Change E6 (Policy on the application of overlays at the time of plan changes to rezone land).
S28.002	Transpower New Zealand Limited	E6	Accept the change	Retain Policy 2.6.2.AA as notified.
S217.001	Aurora Energy Limited	E6	Accept the change	Retain Policy 2.6.2.AA as notified.
S14.001	Toni McErlane	E7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change E7 (Long stay areas for people living in transportable homes) to make it an easier and more affordable process.
S158.001	School of Surveying, University of Otago (David Goodwin)	E7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change E7 (Long stay areas for people living in transportable homes) to: a. include not just transportable homes but also to encompass other alternative, affordable, habitable structures such as small modular units, or alternatively, b. add a new definition (e.g. "pioneer village") to better capture and describe alternative, long-stay forms of accommodation, perhaps modelled off the campground definition but to recognise the semi-permanent and/or long-term nature of occupation.
S159.002	Nancy Earth	E7	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change E7 (long-stay areas for people living in transportable homes) to use a more appropriate term than 'long-stay'.
S314.001	Lucille Taneatualua	E7	Add a change	Amend Change E7 (long-stay areas for people living in transportable homes) to add a rule to provide for the adaptive reuse of motels to accommodate assisted housing (inferred not stated).
S9.007	Marita Ansin-Johnson	E7	Reject the change	Remove Change E7 (Long stay areas for people living in transportable homes).
S177.007	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	E7	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change E7 (Long stay areas for people living in transportable homes) provided that the activity is appropriately serviced for water supply, waste and stormwater.

Change Group 7: General changes to 3 waters provisions

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S189.014	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S205.014	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S189.016	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.4A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.4A(b)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S205.016	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.4A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.4A(b)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S187.020	Dunedin City Council	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.3.a.iii as follows, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission: "In determining whether Policy 9.2.1.6 9.2.1.1A is achieved, Council will consider the cumulative effects of the

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				proposed development and permitted development that is likely to arise in the future."
S189.018	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text "effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" with "effects on the capacity of infrastructure".
S205.018	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text "effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" with "effects on the capacity of infrastructure".
S76.012	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.006	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.005	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.002	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.014	Paterson Pitts Group	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.005	BA Building Ltd	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.002	D N Innovations Ltd	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.006	Doug Hall	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.013	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.010	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.004	HWH Properties Ltd	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S300.007	Kurt Bowen	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S220.005	Terramark Limited	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.1A as notified.
S263.004	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.1A.
S76.019	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S79.013	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S150.013	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S203.016	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S206.021	Paterson Pitts Group	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S230.021	BA Building Ltd	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S264.009	D N Innovations Ltd	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S270.013	Doug Hall	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S282.020	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S286.012	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S291.017	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S295.011	HWH Properties Ltd	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S300.014	Kurt Bowen	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S9.013	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-2 (Review of 3 waters Policy 9.2.1.1, Policy 9.2.1.4 and Policy 9.2.1.6).
S189.013	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as notified.
S205.013	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as notified.
S189.015	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.4 as notified.
S205.015	Retirement Villages	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.4 as notified.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
	Association of New Zealand			
S189.017	Ryman Healthcare limited	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.6.
S205.017	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.6.
S76.017	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.011	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.011	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.007	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.019	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.009	BA Building Ltd	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.007	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.011	Doug Hall	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.018	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S286.010	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.016	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.009	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.012	Kurt Bowen	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.015	Gladstone Family Trust	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.009	Grant Motion	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S13.010	Bill Morrison	F2-6	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.009	Roger and Janine Southby	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S193.008	Ken Close	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND</p> <p>2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S204.009	Ron Balchin	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND</p> <p>2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S223.009	Ed Stewardson	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND</p> <p>2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S228.010	Wendy Campbell	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.008	Meats of New Zealand Limited	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.002	Willowcroft Limited	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.003	Dunedin City Baptist Church	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA); AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S240.009	Invermark Investments Ltd	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA) '; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.008	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA) '; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA) '. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.009	Cole Bennetts	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA) '; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S249.014	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development , where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S305.009	Outram Developments Limited	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S307.010	Peter Doherty	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S308.009	CC Otago Limited	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area related policies as follows: 1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary '; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S9.017	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F2-6	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-6 (Provision of 3 waters connections to adjacent land).

Change Group 8: Stormwater package

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S271.006	Otago Regional Council	F2-1	Reject the change	Amend Change F2-1 (Performance standard for connections to stormwater) to require connections to DCC's stormwater network, if available.
S194.006	Barry James Douglas	F2-1	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-1 (Performance standard for connections to stormwater).
S1.001	Jane McLeod	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Add rules so dwellings built on green field sites are to collect rainwater in sizeable tanks as potable water to reduce impact on the 3 water issues, where practicable. They could still be connected to the reticulated water for dry periods.
S271.007	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.2.2.Y (Change F2-2) to read as follows: Require Enable and encourage on-site low impact design stormwater management through policies and assessment rules that require for stormwater management in new development mapped areas.
S271.009	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.X by the deletion of "on-site" in this policy and elsewhere in the "stormwater package".
S271.011	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.X to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> replace "Require" with "only allow" clarify differences in wording between 9.2.1.Y and 9.2.1.Z to avoid confusion delete "communal" delete "on-site".
S13.008	Bill Morrison	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.007	Roger and Janine Southby	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S193.006	Ken Close	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S204.007	Ron Balchin	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S219.013	Gladstone Family Trust	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S223.007	Ed Stewardson	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S228.008	Wendy Campbell	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S232.006	Meats of New Zealand Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S238.004	Willowcroft Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S239.005	Dunedin City Baptist Church	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S240.007	Invermark Investments Ltd	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area ' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.007	Grant Motion	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.006	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.007	Cole Bennetts	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S249.012	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S305.007	Outram Developments Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s 4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S307.008	Peter Doherty	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S308.007	CC Otago Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y relating to subdivision activities in a new development mapped area as follows:</p> <p>1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND</p> <p>2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND</p> <p>3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s</p> <p>4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).</p> <p>5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'</p> <p>and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S220.007	Terramark Limited	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to be specific to certain NDMA sites where:</p> <p>1. the land in question is a new greenfields site, and</p> <p>2. Council's stormwater modelling can clearly show that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.</p>
S263.007	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to be specific to certain NDMA sites where:</p> <p>1. the land in question is a new greenfields site, and</p> <p>2. Council's stormwater modelling can clearly show that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.</p>
S271.010	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to:</p> <p>1. remove the limitation of "on-site"</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<ol style="list-style-type: none"> clarify the letters "NDMA" in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point" add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b).
S271.016	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.3.4.1 (Development Activity Status Table - Performance standards that apply to all development activities), to give effect to the other submissions made on the stormwater package.
S271.017	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.6.X Service Connections - Stormwater to give effect to the other submissions made on the stormwater package.
S271.005	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA (Stormwater) so that reserves, access, network utilities and roads are included in stormwater management plans and systems required by this variation.
S271.012	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA Stormwater and Note 9.3.7.AAA - General advice and other requirements outside of the District Plan to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> reword clause a of Rule 9.3.7.AA to read "in a new development mapped area with more than 60m² of impermeable surface in total, all development must connect ..." delete "communal" add "integrated" before stormwater management system cover development without subdivision make a stormwater management plan mandatory for new development mapped areas require a restricted discretionary activity consent for any development creating impermeable surface area greater than 60m² add after "stormwater management system" the words "installed in accordance with a subdivision consent for the new development mapped area".
S295.015	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to allow for consideration of alternative disposal options for stormwater onsite and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.023	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S79.017	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S150.018	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S203.003	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S206.025	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S230.013	BA Building Ltd	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S264.015	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S270.018	Doug Hall	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S282.025	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S286.017	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S291.022	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S300.019	Kurt Bowen	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S202.007	K and L Accommodation Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S220.010	Terramark Limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S263.009	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S271.013	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z Assessment of performance standard contraventions - In a new development mapped area: Service connections - stormwater (Rule 9.3.7.AA), to give effect to the changes sought in this submission.
S271.014	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X Assessment of restricted discretionary activities - In a new development mapped area: All subdivision activities, to give effect to the changes sought in this submission.
S189.021	Ryman Healthcare limited	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2) to read: any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated.
S205.021	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2) to read: any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated.
S271.018	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules 15.10.4.Y and 15.11.5.Y to ensure the cross reference to Rule 9.5 provides greater precision.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S76.016	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S79.010	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S150.010	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S203.004	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to an integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S206.018	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S230.019	BA Building Ltd	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S264.006	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S270.010	Doug Hall	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S282.017	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S286.009	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S291.014	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S295.008	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S300.011	Kurt Bowen	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S76.015	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S79.009	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S150.009	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S203.015	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S206.017	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S230.008	BA Building Ltd	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. Where proposed NDMA regions occur that don't meet the above criteria, the requirement for stormwater infrastructure should be removed.
S264.005	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S270.009	Doug Hall	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S282.016	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S286.008	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S291.013	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S295.007	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and; 2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. Where proposed NDMA regions occur that don't meet the above criteria, the requirement for stormwater infrastructure should be removed.
S300.010	Kurt Bowen	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where: 1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and;

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S9.015	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-2 (adding rules for stormwater management in large greenfield areas).
S194.008	Barry James Douglas	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-2 (addition of rules for stormwater management in large greenfield areas).
S235.006	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain the changes proposed for Rule 15.3.4.1 Development Activity Status Table - Performance standards that apply to all development activities.
S271.008	Otago Regional Council	F2-2	Reject the change	Retain the part of Policy 2.2.5.2 related to stormwater management and make associated changes elsewhere in the plan (including being merged into Objective 2.2.2 and any consequential policy amendments of that Objective).
S76.020	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows: 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows: • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels " 4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA) " 5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.014	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows: 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).</p> <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S150.014	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;</p> <p>2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).</p> <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e. not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S203.008	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;</p> <p>2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>4. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>6. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S206.022	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;</p> <p>2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).</p> <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S230.010	BA Building Ltd	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e. not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S264.010	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e. not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S270.014	Doug Hall	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e. not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S271.015	Otago Regional Council	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) to ensure:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the requirements of 9.9.X are approved through a consent process in all the circumstances set out in policies 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.Y and 9.2.1.X 2. the activity status is at least restricted discretionary 3. ORC is recognised as an affected party to any consent application requiring a stormwater management plan

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. there an objective of, no change in hydrological characteristics of the site (including peak flow, volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration) in all situations (not limited to the 1% AEP event) 5. bottom lines of no impact on the receiving environment, in particular people, property, river levels and flows, drainage schemes and flood protection schemes 6. where the discharge will affect, directly or indirectly, ORC infrastructure, the plan must ensure its capacity will not be exceeded and that the ORC assets can operate effectively and efficiently in all situations, not just 1% AEP events 7. provision is made for climate change including more frequent and more intense rainfall events 8. the system provides for stormwater runoff from all impermeable and semi-impermeable surfaces including roads, vehicles parks, access lots, driveways and the like 9. the stormwater plan is prepared by a chartered engineer with qualifications and experience in hydrology, hydraulics, and stormwater management, design and construction 10. water coming on to the site or ponding on site is accounted for in the plan and accommodated by the new stormwater infrastructure 11. stormwater quality is, as a minimum, no worse post development than pre-development 12. methods to achieve the prescribed quality of the stormwater discharged form part of the infrastructure 13. stormwater assets are vested in DCC (not private or commercial schemes) 14. the plan provides for a contingency, in the event that the system fails to achieve its objective of ensuring that there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site.
S282.021	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" 4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)" 5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success. <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S291.018	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d)

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>and (e).</p> <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>4. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>6. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S295.012	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <p>1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;</p> <p>2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).</p> <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S300.015	Kurt Bowen	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y; 2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e). 3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person • Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council). <p>3. Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed. • Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels" <p>4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"</p> <p>5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.</p> <p>and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S189.022	Ryman Healthcare limited	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as notified.
S205.022	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as notified.
S122.003	Peter Dowden	F2-3	Add a change	Add a provision so all development must have the same or better stormwater runoff rate per unit of area as it had before development began.
S271.023	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.10.4.10, to clarify which part of 9.5 is specifically intended to be referenced.
S271.024	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.11.2.5.a, so that the precise part of Rule 9.6 which is relevant is specified
S271.028	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.11.4.1.C and Rule 15.11.4.1.X so that the matters of discretion include subdivision activities and stormwater management and the precise part of Rule 9.6 which is relevant is specified.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S271.022	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Assessment Rules 9.4.1.1, 9.5.3.11, 9.6.2.2, 9.8.2.5 and 27.11.3.1 to align with new Policy 9.2.1.Z, if amended in accordance with this submission.
S203.005	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.016	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S208.002	Gisela Sole	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas) to consider effects of increased stormwater runoff in the gully between Prestwick Street and Monro Street. Particular consideration is needed to prevent pooling of water upstream (e.g. between 5 and 5A Monro Street) as a result of increased development in the area.
S230.007	BA Building Ltd	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.004	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.008	Doug Hall	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.015	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.012	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.006	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.008	Kurt Bowen	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S187.022	Dunedin City Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 to remove the term "(stormwater)" from the matter of discretion for "Effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" at Rule 15.11.2.5.a, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S187.023	Dunedin City Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 to remove the term "(stormwater)" from the matter of discretion for "Effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" at Rule 15.11.4.1.c, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.014	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean). Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.008	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean). Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.008	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean). Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S286.007	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean).
S202.004	K and L Accommodation Limited	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S220.006	Terramark Limited	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S263.006	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F2-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S189.019	Ryman Healthcare limited	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii) to read: any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor.
S205.019	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii) to read: any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated;
S271.021	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Add a change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(b) to provide that if the stormwater flows into any ORC drain or any part of ORC flood management protection scheme, there must be capacity and no adverse effect on the drain or scheme. If the stormwater discharges into any river, there must be no change in flows or levels as a result of the activity.
S189.023	Ryman Healthcare limited	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.2.5(a) to read: Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater).
S205.023	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.2.5(a) to read: Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater)
S271.026	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change	Amend Rule 15.11.3.X to be precise which part of Rule 9.6 is relevant.
S107.005	Penny Turner	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.6.10 Maximum Building Site Coverage and Impermeable Surfaces, in the General Residential 1 Zone, to 45% (buildings and structures) and 75% (plus impermeable).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S205.020	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.2(a) to read: Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater).
S189.020	Ryman Healthcare limited	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.2(a) to read: Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater).
S271.019	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the Public Infrastructure definition by: 1. removing the word "may" to avoid doubt about whether drains and open channels are included or not; and 2. making it clear that the definition applies only to DCC infrastructure and does (not) extend to ORC assets.
S9.016	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-3 (rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas).
S194.009	Barry James Douglas	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-3 (rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas).
S271.025	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Rule 15.11.2.5.X as notified.
S271.020	Otago Regional Council	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.2.
S271.031	Otago Regional Council	F2-5	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline) Rule 15.4.X so that it applies to both development and subdivision.
S194.007	Barry James Douglas	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S283.009	Max Hope Trust	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S76.004	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S79.003	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S150.003	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S202.003	K and L Accommodation Limited	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S203.011	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S206.009	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S220.002	Terramark Limited	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S230.004	BA Building Ltd	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S260.014	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S263.003	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S264.013	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S270.003	Doug Hall	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S282.024	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S286.013	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S290.012	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S291.021	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S295.003	HWH Properties Ltd	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S296.009	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S300.018	Kurt Bowen	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S9.014	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F2-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-7 (stormwater constraint mapped area method).
S194.010	Barry James Douglas	F2-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-7 (stormwater constraint mapped area method).
S43.001	Peter Schwartz	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention).
S76.022	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S79.016	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S150.016	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S203.010	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S206.024	Paterson Pitts Group	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S264.012	D N Innovations Ltd	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S270.016	Doug Hall	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S282.023	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S291.020	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S300.017	Kurt Bowen	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S271.001	Otago Regional Council	Miscellaneous	Add a change	Amend the stormwater package to contain the following key elements: 1. Where a connection is available to DCC's stormwater network, that connection must be used; 2. Where a connection is not available, then, subdivision, use and development should not proceed without a stormwater management plan being approved through a resource consent process; 3. At a minimum, a restricted discretionary activity status should apply; 4. ORC should be recognised and treated as an affected party on any such consent application; 5. Implementation of the plan must be a condition of the consent; 6. The plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified chartered engineer with qualifications and experience in hydrology, hydraulics, and stormwater management, design and construction; 7. The objective of the plan must be to ensure there is no change in hydrological characteristics of the site; 8. There must be no adverse effect on people, property, river levels and flows, land drainage schemes and flood protection schemes; 9. The plan must address existing flow paths and on-site storage, whether natural or otherwise; 10. The plan must provide for all stormwater from impermeable or semi-impermeable surfaces including roads, driveways, parks, access lots and the like; 11. The plan must ensure that there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site as a result of subdivision, use and development; 12. The plan must expressly anticipate and provide for climate change; 13. Where ORC assets constitute, directly or indirectly, part of the receiving environment, the plan must ensure that there is no adverse effect on their capacity or the effectiveness and efficiency of their operation; 14. There must be an ability to impose conditions to ensure the plan is implemented before subdivision, development or change of use; 15. The stormwater assets must vest in the DCC to provide an ongoing assurance of maintenance and effective operation; 16. The system must ensure good water quality; and 17. The plan must provide for the contingency that the system fails to achieve its objective of ensuring there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site.

Change Group 9: Wastewater package

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S271.030	Otago Regional Council	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F3-2 (Wastewater detention in selected large greenfield areas) to ensure: a. "communal" is deleted and replaced with 'integrated' in reference to wastewater detention systems b. wastewater detention systems are vested with the DCC.
S13.009	Bill Morrison	F3-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.008	Roger and Janine Southby	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S193.007	Ken Close	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S204.008	Ron Balchin	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.014	Gladstone Family Trust	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S223.008	Ed Stewardson	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S228.009	Wendy Campbell	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.007	Meats of New Zealand Limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.003	Willowcroft Limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.004	Dunedin City Baptist Church	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S240.008	Invermark Investments Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.008	Grant Motion	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.007	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.008	Cole Bennetts	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S249.013	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S305.008	Outram Developments Limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S307.009	Peter Doherty	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S308.008	CC Otago Limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S189.026	Ryman Healthcare limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.5.Z to remove the focus on "efficiency" and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S205.026	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.5.Z to remove the focus on "efficiency" and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S189.024	Ryman Healthcare limited	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.Y to remove the focus on "efficiency", which will create interpretation issues, and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S205.024	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.Y to remove the focus on "efficiency", which will create interpretation issues, and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S291.019	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.021	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.015	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.015	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.009	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.023	Paterson Pitts Group	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.011	BA Building Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.011	D N Innovations Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.015	Doug Hall	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.022	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.013	HWH Properties Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S300.016	Kurt Bowen	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows: 1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed). 2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..." 3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e. with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure. and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S286.006	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F3-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.BB.
S263.005	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain policy 9.2.1.BB as long as areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of infrastructure requirements.
S76.013	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S79.007	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S150.007	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S203.006	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S206.015	Paterson Pitts Group	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S264.003	D N Innovations Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S270.007	Doug Hall	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S282.014	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S291.011	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S295.005	HWH Properties Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S300.009	Kurt Bowen	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S230.006	BA Building Ltd	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S189.025	Ryman Healthcare limited	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9Y as notified.
S205.025	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9Y as notified.
S187.025	Dunedin City Council	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether a service connection performance standard for development should be added under Rule 15.6 and Rule 9.3.7 regarding connection to a communal wastewater detention system by any development in a new development mapped area listed in Rule 9.6.2.Y that will discharge wastewater.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				The scope of this submission includes such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission, including potential amendments to Policy 9.2.1.BB.

Change Group 10: Minor 3 waters changes

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S217.005	Aurora Energy Limited	F1-1	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) by adding an additional advice note below this rule, framed as 9.3.7.XA, as follows: Note 9.3.7.XA General advice and requirements that sit outside the District Plan a. The provision of electricity to resultant sites is controlled by electricity related legislation and regulations. Electricity providers are required to take ownership of new and existing electricity infrastructure up to a "point of supply" as defined by section 2(3) of the Electricity Act 1992. b. In relation to existing electricity infrastructure up to a point of supply, an electricity provider may require information about the location, age, of the infrastructure, including any certificate of compliance issued by an electrician as required by Section 65 of the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010.
S217.004	Aurora Energy Limited	F1-1	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X.a (Telecommunications and power), as follows (the amendment shown in bold text below): a. Subdivision activities must provide all resultant sites with telecommunication (including UltraFast Broadband where available) and power supply, and associated easements , to the site boundary.
S76.025	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S79.020	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S150.020	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S203.022	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S206.028	Paterson Pitts Group	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S230.025	BA Building Ltd	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S264.022	D N Innovations Ltd	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S270.022	Doug Hall	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S282.032	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S291.023	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S295.023	HWH Properties Ltd	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S300.028	Kurt Bowen	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S202.005	K and L Accommodation Limited	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S263.011	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S286.014	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to require installation of service connections as part of the building process rather than at the time of subdivision. This could be achieved by adding a consent notice condition.
S220.008	Terramark Limited	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z, to include the following: 1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S217.003	Aurora Energy Limited	F1-1	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.3.7.2 (Service Connections) as notified.
S185.001	Chorus New Zealand Limited	F1-1	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) as notified.
S217.002	Aurora Energy Limited	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend 9.2.1.3.X by removing the words 'National Grid'.
S28.003	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F1-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.3 to read as follows: Require subdivision activities to ensure future land use and development activities: X. have access to National Grid the electricity network and telecommunications network and do not compromise the ability to gain access to the National Grid for the purpose of its operation, maintenance and

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				development; and Y. in areas where there is water or wastewater... (as notified) Z. unless for either (X) or (Y), allowing development... (as notified) Make a consequential amendment to Rule 9.5.3 Assessment of performance standard contraventions (12 Service Connections).
S224.002	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) as follows: a. Subdivision activities must provide all resultant sites with telecommunication (including UltraFast Broadband where available) and power supply to the site boundary. b. Activities that contravene this performance standard are restricted discretionary activities.
S224.003	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.12 (assessment of performance standard contravention for service connections) by providing guidance for assessing applications where telecommunications or power connections cannot be adequately provided. In regard general assessment guidance for telecommunications, suggested wording is as follows: The adequacy of telecommunications infrastructure connections to any new lot or site, and where not able to be provided the methods by which prospective purchasers of a lot or site are to be informed if these connections are not available.
S217.006	Aurora Energy Limited	F1-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Rule 9.5.3.12.X Assessment of performance standard contraventions - Service connections, by removing the words 'National Grid'.
S76.018	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S79.012	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S150.012	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S203.021	Tom and Loretta Richardson	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S206.020	Paterson Pitts Group	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S230.020	BA Building Ltd	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S264.008	D N Innovations Ltd	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S270.012	Doug Hall	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S282.019	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S286.011	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S291.015	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S295.010	HWH Properties Ltd	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S300.013	Kurt Bowen	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S314.003	Lucille Taneatualua	F1-4	Reject the change	Retain Policy 2.2.4.5 unamended (inferred not stated).
S187.021	Dunedin City Council	F1-5	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.3 (Firefighting) so that it accurately reflects the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice it references and provides for effective compliance.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S181.002	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	F1-5	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.3.b (Firefighting) to read as follows; b. provide an area of minimum dimensions of 4.5m x 11m with suitable fire engine access, water storage of 45,000 litres (45m³) or equivalent firefighting capacity, and have the water supply located within 90m of the fire risk, or otherwise provide for water supply and access to water supplies for firefighting purposes consistent with the current version of SNZ/PAS 4509 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice.
S314.004	Lucille Taneatualua	F1-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 9.3.3 (Firefighting) (inferred not stated).
S9.012	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F1-5	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-5 (Rule 9.3.3 Firefighting) as notified.
S181.001	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	F1-5	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-5 (Rule 9.3.3 Firefighting) as notified.
S271.029	Otago Regional Council	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 and make any associated changes elsewhere in the plan. It is acceptable to ORC if it is merged into Objective 2.2.2.
S231.002	Barry Douglas & Fiona Lynn Armour	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S248.003	David Leslie Meyer & Christine Raywin Cordell	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S256.003	Tony Steven McFadgen (Ocean Park Trust)	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S257.003	Barbara and Donald McCabe	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S304.002	Craig James and Kirsten Jane Duncan (Duncan Clan Family Trust)	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S9.010	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F1-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-7 (removal of Policy 2.7.1.2.b on development contributions).
S9.011	Marita Ansin-Johnson	F1-8	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-8 (Policy 2.7.1.2.c on consideration of the long-term costs to the DCC of new infrastructure).
S187.024	Dunedin City Council	F2-4	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether a note to plan user should be added regarding any requirements for fencing of stormwater detention ponds under relevant legislation.
S187.026	Dunedin City Council	F4-1	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether to add a note to plan users regarding DCC 3 Water's preference for separate water supply connections to be provided for each residential unit developed on a site, rather than shared connections. The scope of this submission includes such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S199.001	Michael Ovens	NWRA7	Reject the change	Remove Change NWRA7 (Introduction of a No DCC reticulated wastewater mapped area at Pine Hill).
S84.001	Geraldine Ling	WCMA4	Reject the change	Remove Change WCMA4 (introduction of a wastewater constraint mapped area at Waverley) from 109 Belford Street Dunedin.

Change Group 11: Changes to triggers for adding housing capacity

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S296.002	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	H2	Accept the change	Retain Change H2 as it relates to Rule 12.3.1 on the release of land in the Residential Transition Overlay Zone.

Change Group 12: Rejected change (notification rules)

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S56.001	Saddle Hill Community Board	RC1	Add a change	Amend provisions to ensure a robust process for resource consents to be notified, heard and open to scrutiny.

Change Group 13: Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S187.010	Dunedin City Council	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment rules for subdivision and multi-unit development which enable consideration of "effects on efficient solid waste management" and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S71.006	Andrew Rutherford	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes IN01-IN13 and RTZ1-RTZ2 (rezoning to General Residential 2 zone) so that effects on character and heritage are managed.
S176.005	Liz Angelo	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes providing for infill housing so that homes are soundproof and so there are no units that will end up as student accommodation (inferred not stated).
S59.002	Rebecca Post	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes which increase housing density and availability of sites to build on to ensure that: a. there is adequate infrastructure (3-Waters) provided, and b. communal, outdoor children's play areas are provided within each larger (4 or more dwelling units) development, in addition to private, individual outdoor amenity spaces.
S224.005	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Add a change	Amend Rule 15.10.4.7 (assessment of restricted discretionary activities) to add a new assessment matter in regard to infringement of maximum height limits as follows: x. The extent to which an exceedance of the height standard results in reverse sensitivity effects on adjacent infrastructure networks and how this can be mitigated. This point relates to intensification rezoning.
S122.005	Peter Dowden	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules so that all new dwellings built in General Residential 2 zone must have "good" or better access to public transport accessibility by DCC criteria.
S187.007	Dunedin City Council	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Add a change	As an alternative to rejecting any changes that provide for intensification or new residential zoning in response to submissions opposing them, consider the need for additional plan provisions to better manage any adverse effects as an alternative.
S214.001	Matthew & Kaaren Dooher, Richard & Mary McKay, Peter Lobb, Patrick & Nicole Kearns, Ken & Gemma Clayton, Matthias Urban, Lisa Saldivar-Urban, Gary & Barbara Kenworthy	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Reject the change	Reinstate provisions requiring minimum on-site car parking for residential zones.
S306.003	Megan Goodwin	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Reject the change	Remove all medium density rezoning (inferred not stated).
S9.005	Marita Ansin-Johnson	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Reject the change	Remove changes IN01- IN13 (Intensification rezoning).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S309.002	Hilary Hutton	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Reject the change	Remove changes IN01-IN13 (intensification rezoning).
S125.007	Bus Users Support Group Otepoti/Te Roopu Tautoko Kaieke Pahi ki Otepoti	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Remove rezoning of land to General Residential 2 zone unless all new dwellings in the new zones are able to meet the following standard for walking distance to bus stops, through optimal walking route layout or bus route extensions: any new dwelling to be within 400m walking distance of a bus stop with a regular service, or 800m walking distance of a bus stop with a rapid service.
S60.004	Cameron Grindlay	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain all changes in Variation 2 subject to the required infrastructure (3-Waters) being adequately funded, so it is capable of handling the existing and new development.
S177.009	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change	Retain changes IN01-IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone).
S245.002	Moreclake Developments Limited	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change	Retain changes IN01-IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone).
S301.002	Blue Sky Property Group Ltd	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change	Retain changes IN01-IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone).
S8.001	John and Christine Burton	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain changes which increase the density of housing within the boundaries of the City of Dunedin, provided that green spaces are maintained. This point applies to all intensification rezoning.
S184.001	Public Health Association of NZ, Otago-Southland Branch (Louise Mainvil)	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain proposal for general intensification provided that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medium Density (MD) development doesn't inadvertently cause negative outcomes for renters. • Public Transport/Active Transport (PT/AT) has been accounted for in the choice of proposed development locations, especially Greenfield development. While criteria in the risk assessment model includes proximity to a bus stop, it is difficult to gauge the extent to which AT has been considered. Otago University's Active Living Lab "Turning the Tide - from Cars to Active Transport" provides illustration of health co-benefits from PT/AT. • Housing design and quality that incorporates climate change mitigation and adaptation can be encouraged or even mandated in future developments. This is an opportunity for DCC to lead and provide exemplars on the health co-benefits that can be achieved through housing design and quality.
S128.010	Mark Geddes	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change	Retain rezoning of areas to General Residential 2, as outlined on the maps (inferred not stated).
S28.004	Transpower New Zealand Limited	General intensification - GR2 rezoning - IN01-IN13 & RTZ1-2	Accept the change	Retain the location of areas for more intensive residential development as notified or so that they are located no closer to the National Grid.
S235.003	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Not stated	Add rules for greenfield rezoning areas that are adjacent to a state highway to require that access is achieved from roads other than a state highway.
S59.012	Rebecca Post	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend changes which increase housing density and availability of sites to build on to ensure that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> there is adequate infrastructure (3-Waters) provided, and communal, outdoor children's play areas are provided within each larger (4 or more dwelling units) development, in addition to private, individual outdoor amenity spaces.
S122.004	Peter Dowden	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules so that all new dwellings built in General Residential 1 zone or Township & Settlement zone must have "ok" or better access to public transport accessibility by DCC criteria.
S187.008	Dunedin City Council	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Add a change	As an alternative to rejecting any changes that provide for intensification or new residential zoning in response to submissions opposing them, consider the need for additional plan provisions to better manage any adverse effects as an alternative.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S23.001	Ken Barton	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove all changes which extend residential zoning over greenfield land (inferred not stated).
S306.002	Megan Goodwin	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove all greenfield rezoning (inferred not stated).
S9.004	Marita Ansin-Johnson	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove Changes GF01-17 and RTZ1.
S16.001	Patrick Shannon	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove changes which extend residential zoning over greenfield land (inferred not stated).
S177.008	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove greenfield rezoning areas.
S110.003	Brian Miller	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove rezoning of greenfield sites where the sites contain high-class soils or any soils with a productive capability.
S125.005	Bus Users Support Group Otepoti/Te Roopu Tautoko Kaieke Pahi ki Otepoti	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove rezoning of land to General Residential 1 zone or Township & Settlement zone unless all new dwellings in the new zones are able to meet the following standard for walking distance to bus stops, through optimal walking route layout or bus route extensions: Any new dwelling to be within 800m of a bus stop or 1200m of a bus stop with a <i>rapid</i> service.
S76.027	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S79.023	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S150.024	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S203.019	Tom and Loretta Richardson	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S206.032	Paterson Pitts Group	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S230.018	BA Building Ltd	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S260.013	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S264.020	D N Innovations Ltd	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S270.025	Doug Hall	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S282.030	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S283.013	Max Hope Trust	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S286.020	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S290.011	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S291.007	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S295.018	HWH Properties Ltd	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S296.013	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S300.026	Kurt Bowen	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new greenfields land regions, until the stormwater management plan provisions can be amended into a workable arrangement.
S60.005	Cameron Grindlay	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Retain all changes in Variation 2 subject to the required infrastructure (3-Waters) being adequately funded, so it is capable of handling the existing and new development.
S28.001	Transpower New Zealand Limited	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change	Retain changes for addition of greenfield residential rezoning as notified, or so that they are located no closer to the National Grid.
S8.002	John and Christine Burton	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Retain changes which increase the density of housing within the boundaries of the City of Dunedin, provided that green spaces are maintained. This point applies to all greenfield rezoning.
S184.002	Public Health Association of NZ, Otago-Southland Branch (Louise Mainvil)	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Retain general intensification (greenfield) provided that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medium Density (MD) development doesn't inadvertently cause negative outcomes for renters. • Public Transport/Active Transport (PT/AT) has been accounted for in the choice of proposed development locations, especially Greenfield development. While criteria in the risk assessment model includes proximity to a bus stop, it is difficult to gauge the extent to which AT has been considered. Otago University's Active Living Lab "Turning the Tide - from Cars to Active Transport" provides illustration of health co-benefits from PT/AT. • Housing design and quality that incorporates climate change mitigation and adaptation can be encouraged or even mandated in future developments. This is an opportunity for DCC to lead and provide exemplars on the health co-benefits that can be achieved through housing design and quality. • Soil mapping will be accelerated so that knowledge of soil quality can be upgraded to allow more informed land use management decisions. We understand a low proportion of Dunedin's lands have been adequately mapped. This appears to be an unacceptable risk in assessing whether land can be deemed suitable for increasing carbon sinks / capable of increasing biodiversity or food production, for example. • The assessment of soil quality is currently sound, in terms of the LUC 1-3 rating as a benchmark for land-use. For example, Polwarth Road & Wakari Road - the plan states most of this area is identified as having high class soils, but no LUC 1-3 soils - therefore acceptable. Is it acceptable to lose any primary productivity? (as stated for 2 sites). Further, Honeystone Street has high class soils in 50% of the site but due to having no LUC 1-3 rated land it is

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				deemed lower risk. The report goes on to say this area has 6ha of productive land (out of 8.9ha total) yet concludes "the loss of primary productivity is relatively low".
S128.011	Mark Geddes	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change	Retain rezoning of greenfield areas to General Residential 1, as outlined on the maps (inferred not stated).
S176.001	Liz Angelo	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Retain rezoning to residential on greenfield sites provided that the following outcomes are met: - Good connections to public transport; - Connections to public infrastructure and consideration of alternative solutions for 'off the grid'; - Providing for green spaces and public amenities in the subdivision; - Encouraging good design with use of good quality materials and workmanship designed to the New Zealand Green Building Council and Passive House Standard with visual aesthetics; - Provision of a mix of social and private housing; - Provide for small commercial area to accommodate residents within the greenfield areas; - Provide for ultrafast broadband and 'plug ins' in each home for electric vehicles; and - Avoid cheap housing estates that lead to antisocial pockets of society.
S235.001	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	General intensification (Greenfield) - GF01-17 & RTZ1-3	Accept the change	Retain the approach in Variation 2 of 'filling gaps' distributed across a wider area as it provides the opportunity to utilise existing resources and infrastructure and is likely to result in a lesser impact or create a significant change in demand on infrastructure at specific points or locations including within the State Highway network.
S249.002	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	GF01	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes) to zones as shown in the submitter's proposed structure plan.
S249.004	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	GF01	Reject the change	Amend Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area.
S249.005	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	GF01	Add a change	Amend Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes) to apply a structure plan mapped area.
S249.006	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	GF01	Reject the change	Amend Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes) to remove the no DCC reticulated wastewater mapped area.
S271.032	Otago Regional Council	GF01	Reject the change	Remove Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes), unless: a. zoning is applied that permits much less density of development, or b. a significantly higher quality on-site wastewater treatment solution is required under the proposed zoning.
S56.007	Saddle Hill Community Board	GF01	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, and associated changes) providing that developments do not put pressure on the infrastructure of existing residential dwellings and surroundings.
S30.003	Sonia & Karl Thom	GF02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF02 (rezoning from rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone of 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, East Taieri, and associated changes) to ensure the land is developed in accordance with best practice to ensure stormwater and flood management, suitable vehicle access and speed limits, capacity for service connections, management of earthworks for building platforms and loss of outlooks, limits to single storey buildings, management of noise from building work, protection of trees on the fence line of the property at 197 Gladstone Road, provision of fencing along the boundary with 197 Gladstone Road, dust management for access during development, location and design of footpaths and lighting, addition of a public bus route, provision of green space, berms and native planting.
S30.002	Sonia & Karl Thom	GF02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone of 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, East Taieri, and associated changes) by rezoning to Large Lot Residential 1 zone or Low Density Residential zone, or otherwise limit the development to 36 sections.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S82.005	Yolanda van Heezik	GF02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes) as follows: 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S240.002	Invermark Investments Ltd	GF02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South) to replace the proposed new development mapped area with a structure plan mapped area.
S223.002	Ed Stewardson	GF02	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plains zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207, and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area and apply a Structure Plan Mapped Area instead.
S1.002	Jane McLeod	GF02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South) so dwellings built collect rainwater in sizeable tanks as potable water to reduce impact on the 3 water issues, where practicable. They could still be connected to the reticulated water for dry periods.
S24.001	Darrin and Sheree Healy	GF02	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207, and 211 Gladstone Road South, East Taieri, and associated changes) (inferred, not stated). If Change GF02 is not removed, add provisions to achieve the following: 1) Boundary fencing to be provided by the Council at NO cost to us. 2) Dust on access ways dampened down during the development. 3) A 'Clear Zone' between our boundary fence and the subdivision of a road width apart. 4) Height restrictions on properties, restrictions for single storied properties only. 5) Section sizes not to be any less than 800 square metres. 6) Boundary trees to remain in place between our property and the subdivision and to remain untouched by the developers 7) A 2 lane driveway access to the subdivision and full parking ability within the subdivision. 8) A green zone within the subdivision for native wildlife to live, feed and breed. 9) Consideration to be made for the Flooding and water diversion for these properties that will not impact our property 10) Services for the subdivision such as drainage and electricity to be accessible from our property , to future proof any potential subdivision of our property in years to come. 11) Consideration of noise pollution from the months or years of development these properties will take to build. The nuisance factor for us will be extreme. 12) Compensation for loss of quality of life and the devaluation of our property 13) A covered drain at the side of Gladstone road.
S99.002	Peter and Jillian Hogan	GF02	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South), unless it is extended to 195 and 197 Gladstone Road South and stormwater, infrastructure connections, traffic effects, building heights and construction dust are adequately managed.
S105.001	Murray Inglis	GF02	Reject the change	Remove Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes).
S108.001	June Ross	GF02	Reject the change	Remove Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes).
S55.001	Glen Munn	GF02	Reject the change	Remove Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plains zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207, and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes).
S114.001	Rodney & Rani Wray	GF02	Reject the change	Remove Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural to General Residential 1 at 201, 207, 211 Gladstone Road South, with associated changes).
S271.033	Otago Regional Council	GF02	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural to General Residential 1 at 201, 207, and 211 Gladstone Road South, with the introduction of a new development mapped area), unless: a. the mapped alluvial fan risk is appropriately recognised and any mitigation that might be required in increasing

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				the potential for relatively high residential activity on the site, is made, and b. there is greater clarity as to why the significant increase in proposed density is deemed most appropriate in light of the effects this will create and/or need to be mitigated.
S129.002	Alex King	GF02	Reject the change	Remove Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207, and 211 Gladstone Road South, with an introduction of a new development mapped area).
S118.001	Broomfield Trust	GF02	Accept the change	Retain Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural zone to General Residential 1 zone and associated changes at 207 Gladstone Road South).
S223.001	Ed Stewardson	GF02	Accept the change	Retain Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S30.001	Sonia & Karl Thom	GF02a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF02 (rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes) to include rezoning of 195 and 197 Gladstone Road South from Large Lot Residential 1 zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S99.001	Peter and Jillian Hogan	GF02a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF02 (Rezoning from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone at 201, 207 and 211 Gladstone Road South, and associated changes), to include rezoning of 195 and 197 Gladstone Road South from Large Lot Residential 1 zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S42.001	Mike Ind	GF03	Reject the change	Remove Change GF03 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 16 Hare Road, Ocean View, and associated changes).
S56.006	Saddle Hill Community Board	GF03	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF03 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 16 Hare Road, Ocean View, and associated changes) providing that developments do not put pressure on the infrastructure of existing residential dwellings and surroundings.
S192.001	Campbell Family Trust	GF03	Accept the change	Retain Change GF03 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 16 Hare Road, Ocean View, and associated changes).
S71.003	Andrew Rutherford	GF04	Reject the change	Remove Change GF04 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone at 127a Main Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S56.004	Saddle Hill Community Board	GF04	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF04 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone at 127a Main Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) providing that developments do not put pressure on the infrastructure of existing residential dwellings and surroundings.
S64.003	Tony Bishop	GF04	Accept the change	Retain Change GF04 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone at 127a Main Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S235.011	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	GF04	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF04 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone at 127a Main Road, Fairfield, and associated changes), provided other points in this submission are adopted.
S187.027	Dunedin City Council	GF05	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to Rule 11.6.2.1.i as follows: "In the Hazard 2 (land instability) Overlay Zone and any Restricted Development Area (Hazard), a report by a suitably qualified person confirms that the risk to the activity, or resulting from the activity, will be no more than low." This change is associated with the structure plan mapped area performance standards for GF05 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 to General Residential 1 - 353 Main South Road, Fairfield).
S277.002	Tuapeka Gold Print Limited	GF05	If the change is not rejected, amend	If Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes), is retained, make the following amendments: a. the provisions of Rules 15.5.1 and 9.3.1 (Acoustic Insulation) apply to the land at 353 Main South Road, b. the Record of Titles for all residential sites adjoining the industrial zone boundary include a consent notice that clearly specifies the requirements for acoustic insulation for any rooms used for noise sensitive activities to ensure any future purchasers are aware of the design requirements and potential for industrial related noise to be a nuisance, c. the site and any residential site established by way of subdivision include a consent notice on the Record of Title stipulating the owner, occupier or any surrogate may not lodge a complaint to Council about the day to day activities on the industrial site, and d. should they elect to submit a complaint, the Council will not be bound to investigate the issue and may elect to discard it.
S216.002	Tuapeka Business Park limited	GF05	If the change is not rejected, amend	If Change GF05 is retained (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes), make the following amendments: a. the provisions of Rule 15.5.1 and 9.3.1 (Acoustic Insulation) apply to the land at 353 Main South Road,

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>b. the Record of Titles for all residential sites adjoining the industrial zone boundary include a consent notice that clearly specifies the requirements for acoustic insulation for any rooms used for noise sensitive activities to ensure any future purchasers are aware of the design requirements and potential for industrial related noise to be a nuisance,</p> <p>c. the site and any residential site established by way of subdivision include a consent notice on the Record of Title stipulating the owner, occupier or any surrogate may not lodge a complaint to Council about the day to day activities on the industrial site, and</p> <p>d. should they elect to submit a complaint, the Council will not be bound to investigate the issue and may elect to discard it.</p>
S45.001	Fulton Hogan Limited	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S69.002	Name Withheld C	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S92.001	Name Withheld A	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S95.003	Kate Hall	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S97.001	Name Withheld B	GF05	If the change is not rejected, amend	<p>Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).</p> <p>If not removed, amend to include additional rules for the area within GF05 (353 Main South Road):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A no build zone within 40 metres of existing boundary to Tate Crescent properties; and 2. Any building within the General Residential 1 GF05 area to be single storey only to prevent encroachment on privacy.
S133.002	Lisa Johnston	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S216.001	Tuapeka Business Park limited	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S277.001	Tuapeka Gold Print Limited	GF05	Reject the change	Remove Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S56.005	Saddle Hill Community Board	GF05	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) providing that developments do not put pressure on the infrastructure of existing residential dwellings and surroundings.
S129.003	Alex King	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S204.002	Ron Balchin	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S229.002	Ron & Sue Balchin	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S229.004	Ron & Sue Balchin	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to the addition of the structure plan mapped area provisions at Rule 15.8.Y.
S229.006	Ron & Sue Balchin	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to changes to Rule 11.6.2.
S229.007	Ron & Sue Balchin	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to changes to Rule 15.12.3.
S294.002	Paul and Michelle Barron	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes).
S294.006	Paul and Michelle Barron	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to the addition of the structure plan mapped area provisions at Rule 15.8.Y.
S294.007	Paul and Michelle Barron	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to changes to Rule 11.6.2.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S294.008	Paul and Michelle Barron	GF05	Accept the change	Retain Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) as it relates to changes to Rule 15.12.3.
S204.001	Ron Balchin	GF05a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF05 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at part of 353 Main South Road, Fairfield, and associated changes) so that a single building platform is provided on the remaining part of 353 Main South Road.
S82.008	Yolanda van Heezik	GF06	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF06 (Rezoning from Rural Coastal zone to General Residential 1 zone at 27 Weir Street and part of 1 Allen Road, Green Island, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S82.010	Yolanda van Heezik	GF07	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF07 (Rezoning from Rural Coastal zone to General Residential 1 zone at 33 Emerson Street, Concord, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S284.003	Robert Mathieson	GF07	Accept the change	Retain Change GF07 (Rezoning from Rural Coastal zone to General Residential 1 zone at 33 Emerson Street, Concord, and associated changes).
S82.011	Yolanda van Heezik	GF08	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S187.029	Dunedin City Council	GF08	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes) as it relates to the vegetation clearance Rule 15.8.AB as follows: <p>15.8.AB.1 Indigenous Vegetation clearance</p> <p>a. Indigenous Vegetation clearance must not occur within 5m of the water body that is identified on the Main South Road Concord structure plan and labelled 'Water Body' (see Figure 15.8.ABA). Note the location of the water body on the map is indicative only. This setback must be measured perpendicular from the bank of the water body at the point of its annual fullest flow or annual highest level without overtopping its bank (see Figure 10.3.3A and Figure 10.3.3B under Rule 10.3.3 Setback from Coast and Water Bodies).</p> <p>b. The following types of indigenous vegetation clearance are exempt from Rule 15.8.AB.1.a, indigenous vegetation clearance that is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Clearance that is part of conservation activity involving vegetation clearance and replacement with indigenous species; ii. clearance for the maintenance of fences (including gates), provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. any fence posts are located outside of the dripline of mature indigenous trees listed in Appendix 10A.3; and 2. the erection of new fences does not damage: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. specimens of threatened plant species listed in Appendix 10A.1; 2. important breeding, refuge, feeding or resting sites for indigenous fauna listed in Appendix 10A.2; or 3. mature indigenous trees listed in

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>Appendix 10A.3:</p> <p>iii. clearance for the maintenance (but not extension) of existing network utilities, irrigation infrastructure, tracks, drains, structures, roads, or firebreaks;</p> <p>iv. clearance that is consistent with or provided for as part of a conservation management strategy, conservation management plan, reserve management plan or covenant established under the Conservation Act 1987 or any other Act specified in the First Schedule of the Conservation Act 1987;</p> <p>v. clearance that is required to remove material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries' Chief Technical Officer, or to respond to an emergency declared by the Minister for Primary Industries under the Biosecurity Act 1993;</p> <p>vi. clearance of a pest plant listed in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan;</p> <p>vii. clearance that is necessary to maintain the flow of water free from obstruction or for natural hazard mitigation activities;</p> <p>viii. clearance of non-indigenous plant species and replanting within 3 months with indigenous or non-indigenous plant species, not on the pest plant list in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan, that will attain at least the same height and coverage as the plants that have been cleared. {Change GF08}</p> <p>Make any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S239.001	Dunedin City Baptist Church	GF08	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes) so that the entire site is rezoned to General Residential 2 instead of parts rezoned to General Residential 1.
S239.002	Dunedin City Baptist Church	GF08	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area and apply a structure plan mapped area instead.
S71.004	Andrew Rutherford	GF08	Reject the change	Remove Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes).
S235.008	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	GF08	Reject the change	Remove Change GF08 (Rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 and 2 zones at 19 Main South Road, Concord, and associated changes), unless a specific assessment of this site is undertaken to determine if there needs to be additional development controls to mitigate potential effects on the motorway prior to the rezoning of this area.
S197.001	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc	GF09	Reject the change	Remove Change GF09 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 41-49 Three Mile Hill Road, and associated changes).
S311.001	Alice Wouters	GF09	Reject the change	Remove Change GF09 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 41-49 Three Mile Hill Road, and associated changes).
S145.002	Merrin Brewster	GF10	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes) to include these controls;</p> <p>a) ensure external cladding and colour blends into the hillside to maintain the rural outlook.</p> <p>b) Monitor the impact on native bird life in the area</p> <p>c) There may need to be consideration around how those roads are maintained in winter if there is an increase in the number of residents requiring access to their homes and work.</p>
S187.030	Dunedin City Council	GF10	Accept the change with amendments	<p>Amend Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes) as it relates to the vegetation clearance rules, to improve drafting and correct errors:</p> <p>15.8.AA.1 Indigenous Vegetation clearance</p> <p>a. Indigenous Vegetation clearance must not occur within 5m of the water body that is identified on the Honeystone Street structure plan and labelled 'Water Body' (see Figure 15.8.AAA), except for the construction of a crossing point for a single accessway to the part of the structure plan mapped area that is on the northern side of the water body. Note the location of the water body on the map is indicative only. This setback must be measured from the bank of the water body at the point of its annual fullest flow or annual highest level without overtopping its bank (see Figure 10.3.3A and Figure 10.3.3B under Rule 10.3.3 Setback from Coast and Water Bodies).</p> <p>b. Indigenous vegetation clearance must not occur within the area shown hatched green on the Honeystone</p>

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				<p>Street structure plan and labelled 'Restricted Development Area (Biodiversity)'.</p> <p>c. The following types of indigenous vegetation clearance are exempt from rules 15.8.AA.1.a and 15.8.AA.1.b; indigenous vegetation clearance that is;</p> <p>i. clearance that is part of conservation activity involving vegetation clearance and replacement with indigenous species;</p> <p>ii. clearance for the maintenance of fences (including gates), provided: 1. any fence posts are located outside of the dripline of mature indigenous trees listed in Appendix 10A.3; and 2. the erection of new fences does not damage: 1. specimens of threatened plant species listed in Appendix 10A.1; 2. important breeding, refuge, feeding or resting sites for indigenous fauna listed in Appendix 10A.2; or 3. mature indigenous trees listed in Appendix 10A.3.</p> <p>iii. clearance for the maintenance (but not extension) of existing network utilities, irrigation infrastructure, tracks, drains, structures, roads, or firebreaks;</p> <p>iv. clearance that is consistent with or provided for as part of a conservation management strategy, conservation management plan, reserve management plan or covenant established under the Conservation Act 1987 or any other Act specified in the First Schedule of the Conservation Act 1987;</p> <p>v. clearance that is required to remove material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries' Chief Technical Officer, or to respond to an emergency declared by the Minister for Primary Industries under the Biosecurity Act 1993;</p> <p>vi. clearance of a pest plant listed in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan;</p> <p>vii. clearance that is necessary to maintain the flow of water free from obstruction or for natural hazard mitigation activities;</p> <p>viii. clearance of non-indigenous plant species and replanting within 3 months with indigenous or non-indigenous plant species, not on the pest plant list in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan, that will attain at least the same height and coverage as the plants that have been cleared. {Change GF10}</p> <p>Make any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.</p>
S272.001	Murray and Gloria Harris	GF10	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes) to allow for a minimum site size of 2500- 3000m ² and retain the high class soils mapped area to recognise the production value of the area.
S27.001	Susan Yule	GF10	Accept the change	Retain Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes) as it relates to 32 Honeystone Street.
S52.001	Anna-Lynn Milliken	GF10	Accept the change	Retain Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes).
S147.002	Tony Purvis	GF10	Accept the change	Retain Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes).
S166.002	Malcolm Owens	GF10	Accept the change	Retain Change GF10 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 32 and part of 45 Honeystone Street, and associated changes).
S18.001	Susan Prendergast	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) so that the existing encumbrance of approximately 20 metres along the southern property boundary is extended an additional 20 metres to be developed as a green space that is unable to be built on, and Large Lot Residential 1 zone be applied to sections along the rear boundaries of existing homeowners.
S48.001	Chris Batchelor	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to: a. rezone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone, and b. set aside the current 20m strip as a 'Green Zone'.
S65.001	Garry Wadsworth	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to require a minimum site size of 800m ² and the retention of the 20 metre wide green border zone adjacent 165 to 205 Wakari Road as a green reserve not to be utilized for roading or other services.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S145.001	Merrin Brewster	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to include these controls; a) ensure external cladding and colour blends into the hillside to maintain the rural outlook. b) Monitor the impact on native bird life in the area c) There may need to be consideration around how those roads are maintained in winter if there is an increase in the number of residents requiring access to their homes and work. d) Wakari Rd leading to and from the mountain bike park and Ross creek will need additional protection for pedestrians (walkers, runners, dog walkers) and cyclists, going to and from those particular recreation areas.
S196.001	James and Mary Murphy	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) so the minimum site size is increased to 600m².
S196.002	James and Mary Murphy	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to ensure that traffic management for future subdivision is managed and ensures that emergency services are easily able to access all houses.
S196.003	James and Mary Murphy	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to retain the 20m buffer zone of Bain Reserve and maintain it as a green belt.
S200.001	Jim and Patsy Laughton	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) as it applies to 195 Wakari Road to include the following: a. Require that the section size is a minimum of at least 2000m² within GF11; and b. Ensure that the effects of runoff and drainage onto lower lying residential houses is considered.
S222.001	John Hurley	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to realign the boundary of the proposed rezoning to exclude the creek (as shown on the attached map to this submission).
S272.004	Murray and Gloria Harris	GF11	Add a change	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to retain the encumbrance of the 20m wide buffer strip for the Bain Reserve and not let it be altered and upgraded as an access road.
S272.005	Murray and Gloria Harris	GF11	Add a change	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) as it relates to 195 Wakari Road, to ensure stormwater effects are assessed prior to any future development.
S241.002	Grant Motion	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Amend GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to replace the new development mapped area with a Structure Plan mapped area.
S13.003	Bill Morrison	GF11	Reject the change	Amend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area and apply a structure plan mapped area instead.
S243.002	Bruce & Denise Todd	GF11	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the proposed Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) is not removed, rezone GF11 from Rural Residential 2 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone.
S94.001	Nigel and Pamela Blair	GF11	Reject the change	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes).
S129.004	Alex King	GF11	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes), unless the bus service is first extended along Wakari Road to service it.
S139.001	Win Anderson	GF11	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes), unless: a. there is a green space 20 metres back from the private road that cannot be built on; b. in the middle of this green space area there are planted low growing trees/bushes which can act as a noise reducer and create a visual barrier to the new subdivision; c. guaranteed maintenance of this area by the Council to keep trees/bushes tidy and not overgrown and certainly not expanding closer than 5 metres from the private road or areas used as walkways when fully grown; and d. the grass in this area to be maintained by the DCC.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S221.001	Brent David Hastie	GF11	Reject the change	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes).
S225.001	Neil and Linda Brown	GF11	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes). If Change GF11 is not removed, amend to include a structure plan mapped area rule to manage the uses of the encumbrance area to retain its natural values and give effect to its intended purpose. This could include giving effect to subdivision requirements to provide for: D4. Social and recreational space D5. Solar access D6. Protecting natural environmental values including biodiversity D7. Amenity planting And If Change GF11 is not removed, amend by limiting the density of zoning to reduce environmental impacts, providing for green space around dwellings and minimising hard surface areas, by rezoning as Large Lot Residential 1.
S272.002	Murray and Gloria Harris	GF11	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes). If Change GF11 is not removed amend as follows: 1. Allow a minimum site size of 2500m ² -3000m ² or greater; 2. Retain the high class soils mapped area to recognise the production value of the area; and 3. Undertake a comprehensive traffic study of the area prior to rezoning the area and require a comprehensive traffic management plan as part of any proposed subdivision/development (inferred not stated).
S243.001	Bruce & Denise Todd	GF11	Reject the change	Remove the Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes).
S13.002	Bill Morrison	GF11	Accept the change	Retain Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) as it relates to 307 Wakari Road.
S103.001	John Kidston	GF11	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) as it applies to 195 Wakari Road with the following amendments: 1. Extend the proposed GF11 boundary to include the entire site at 195 Wakari Road (Lot 2 DP12686). 2. Remove the historical set back encumbrance along the front road boundary (along Wakari Road) at 195 Wakari Road.
S154.005	Gillian Thomas	GF11	Accept the change	Retain Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) as it relates to the part of 297 Wakari Road that is included.
S241.001	Grant Motion	GF11	Accept the change	Retain Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes).
S154.003	Gillian Thomas	GF11a	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the extent of the High Class Soils mapped area over 297 Wakari Road to reflect the requested extension to the General Residential 1 zone.
S154.002	Gillian Thomas	GF11a	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the extent of the Significant Natural Landscape overlay zone at 297 Wakari Road to reflect the natural boundary provided by the creek and tree line and the requested extension to the General Residential 1 zone.
S154.001	Gillian Thomas	GF11a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to include part of 297 Wakari Road at its southernmost end, as shown in the diagram provided by the submitter.
S154.004	Gillian Thomas	GF11a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF11 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to General Residential 1 zone at multiple properties in Wakari Road, and associated changes) to include part of 297 Wakari Road to reflect the requested extension to the General Residential 1 zone.
S175.001	Philippa Youard	GF12	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes) so that there is an accurate assessment of the impacts of the proposed change, in relation to (refer submission for full details): a. Proximity to public transport, b. Impact on productive land, c. Natural hazards,

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				d. Potable water supply, e. Storm-water management, f. Transport, and g. Other Issues
S152.001	Angela Dempster-Passang	GF12	Reject the change	Remove Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S80.003	Grandview 2011 Limited	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S81.003	Ari Jakobs	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S109.002	Julie Mander	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S120.002	Hilary Calvert	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S134.001	Judith Layland	GF12	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes), subject to an assessment of the impacts of proposed development of housing on this site, on established properties that sit below the site (particularly on properties at the top of Birchfield Avenue) and addressing concerns related to: a. any changes of natural water courses from building on the land b wastewater and sewerage discharge c. potential development of Pleasant Place as access to new development.
S135.002	Han Wolsink	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S136.002	Chia Tzu Hsu	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S138.002	Stuart Hardisty	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S161.002	Jane Bokser	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S162.002	Kent Centers	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S169.001	Judith Dobson	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S211.002	Hamish Mander	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S212.002	Victoria Broad	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S254.002	William Layland	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S258.002	Kennedy Building Limited	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S280.002	Alistair Broad	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S287.003	Jakobs Farm Trust	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S297.002	Harry Harding	GF12	Accept the change	Retain Change GF12 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of 233 Signal Hill Road, and associated changes).
S263.002	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	GF14	Reject the change	Amend Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S37.001	Anita Chan QC	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S38.001	The Estate of David Cull	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S41.001	Steve Shaw	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S46.001	Sarah Watts	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S53.001	Tania Brady	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S72.001	Darren Watts	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S102.001	Kathryn and Ian Temple	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S165.001	Susan Davies	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S180.001	Susan Walker	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S182.001	Ron & Christine Wheeler	GF14	Reject the change	Remove Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S263.001	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	GF14	Accept the change	Retain Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes).
S187.032	Dunedin City Council	GF14	Accept the change with amendments	Review Change GF14 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 336 and 336A Portobello Road, and associated changes) for whether it should be amended in light of the 2GP appeal by the Preservation Coalition Trust to include this site in a significant natural landscape overlay zone.
S271.034	Otago Regional Council	GF15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF15 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 23 and 25 McAuley Road, 1693,1687,1683 and 1661 Highcliff Road, Portobello, and associated changes), unless further information is provided to clarify how water quality will be managed in the downstream receiving environments.
S6.001	Richard Carlson	GF15	Accept the change	Retain Change GF15 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 23 and 25 McAuley Road, 1693,1687,1683 and 1661 Highcliff Road, Portobello, and associated changes).
S21.001	Daniel Parkinson	GF15	Accept the change	Retain Change GF15 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at 23 and 25 McAuley Road, 1693,1687,1683 and 1661 Highcliff Road, Portobello, and associated changes).
S67.001	Mareike and Jack Barton	GF16	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change GF16 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 1664 (in part), 1694, 1680 Highcliff Road and 27 Hereweka Street, Portobello, and associated changes) to ensure that: a. the area does not become more prone to flooding and endanger our house (at 13 Hereweka Street); and b. the stream's water quality will not decline, whether through silting, other pollutants from building work, increased water flow/speed or similar. These concerns could be mitigated by making the stream at the bottom of the rezoned area less straight and plant its banks for shade.
S271.035	Otago Regional Council	GF16	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF16 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 1664 (in part), 1694, 1680 Highcliff Road and 27 Hereweka Street, Portobello, and associated changes), unless further information is provided to clarify how water quality will be managed in the downstream receiving environments.
S25.001	Ernie & Faye Webster	GF16	Accept the change	Retain Change GF16 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Township and Settlement zone at 1664 (in part), 1694, 1680 Highcliff Road and 27 Hereweka Street, Portobello, and associated changes), as it relates to 1694 Highcliff Road.
S271.036	Otago Regional Council	GF17	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change GF17 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone to Recreation Zone at 26 McAuley Road), unless further information is provided to clarify how water quality will be managed in the downstream receiving environments.
S12.001	Mathew Zacharias	IN01	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes) to manage increased traffic and congestion through better road networks and ensure a plentiful supply of clean water.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S82.004	Yolanda van Heezik	IN01	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S110.002	Brian Miller	IN01	Reject the change	Remove Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes).
S113.001	Matthew Dooher	IN01	Reject the change	Remove Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes).
S201.002	Zig Zag Trust	IN01	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes) until such time as an agreed approach is implemented to address traffic safety issues at the intersection of State Highway 87 (Gordon Road and Quarry Road) with Burns Street, Hagart-Alexander Drive, and Gladstone Road and to resolve increased pressure on the transport network between Doon Street and Factory Road. Alternatively, low cost, short term interventions are available and could be implemented in the interim to address the issue and to maintain safety and efficiency, whilst a longer term and likely more costly remedy is developed and approved for implementation.
S214.002	Matthew & Kaaren Dooher, Richard & Mary McKay, Peter Lobb, Patrick & Nicole Kearns, Ken & Gemma Clayton, Matthias Urban, Lisa Saldivar-Urban, Gary & Barbara Kenworthy	IN01	Reject the change	Remove Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes) from Morrison Street and Taieri College, Mosgiel, including all associated changes to minimum site size, density, height in relation to boundary, setbacks and default zoning of Taieri College.
S5.001	Ajimon Jose	IN01	Accept the change	Retain Change IN01 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at part of Mosgiel, and associated changes).
S82.006	Yolanda van Heezik	IN02	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN02 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Burgess Street and surrounds, Green Island, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S86.001	William David & Michelle Whitney	IN02	Accept the change	Retain Change IN02 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Burgess Street and surrounds, Green Island, and associated changes).
S3.001	Alana Jamieson	IN02a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change IN02 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Burgess Street and surrounds, Green Island, and associated changes) to rezone part of 41 Burgess Street (Lot 4 DP 23545), Green Island, from Rural Coastal zone to General Residential 2 zone.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S34.001	William McArthur	IN03	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) to manage traffic effects at Derby Street and Edinburgh Street.
S82.007	Yolanda van Heezik	IN03	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S130.001	Ben Mackey	IN03	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) by undertaking an assessment on the upgrading and relocating of key parts of the stormwater network. This could involve routing infrastructure down roads / footpaths or closer to property boundaries, to a modern construction standard with sufficient capacity for increased housing, changing rainfall patterns etc.
S130.002	Ben Mackey	IN03	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) to amend the application of the stormwater constraint mapped area by upgrading and relocating key parts of the stormwater network. This could involve routing infrastructure down roads / footpaths or closer to property boundaries, to a modern construction standard with sufficient capacity for increased housing, changing rainfall patterns etc.
S47.001	Adam Binns	IN03	Accept the change	Retain Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) as it relates to 6 Kirkland Street and 19A Church Street, Green Island.
S187.033	Dunedin City Council	IN03	Accept the change with amendments	Review Change IN03 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Green Island, and associated changes) to ensure any land instability hazards within or adjacent to the rezoning area are appropriately managed to achieve Objective 2.2.1.
S82.009	Yolanda van Heezik	IN04	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN04 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Concord, and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S82.012	Yolanda van Heezik	IN05	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes) as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S143.001	Anthony Reid	IN05	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes) to exclude the south side of Alison Crescent.
S194.001	Barry James Douglas	IN05	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes) to exclude the northern area as bounded to the south by Hawthorn Avenue and to the west by Kenmure Road, this being the suburb more generally identified as Belleknowes.
S98.001	Jeanette Allan	IN05	Reject the change	Remove Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S148.001	Elizabeth Prior	IN05	Reject the change	Remove Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes).
S129.005	Alex King	IN05	Accept the change	Retain Change IN05 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north), and associated changes).
S57.001	Virginia Theis	IN06	Reject the change	Remove Change IN06 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (south), and associated changes) where it applies to the area shaded red on the map attached to the submission.
S82.001	Yolanda van Heezik	IN06	Reject the change	Remove Change IN06 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (south), and associated changes).
S119.001	Nicola Wood	IN07	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) to ensure access is limited to Kaikorai Valley Road.
S187.028	Dunedin City Council	IN07	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) as it relates to Rule 11.6.2.1.i as follows: "In the Hazard 2 (land instability) Overlay Zone and any Restricted Development Area (Hazard), a A report by a suitably qualified person confirms that the risk to the activity, or resulting from the activity, will be no more than low."
S286.004	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	IN07	Reject the change	Amend Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area and associated infrastructure controls.
S286.005	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	IN07	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) to remove the new development mapped area, or if not removed, add a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the NDMA where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density meets expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S286.002	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	IN07	If the change is not rejected, amend	If Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) is not removed, amend so that the site at 137 Kaikorai Valley Road is rezoned from Industrial zone to General Residential 1 only.
S54.001	Rebecca Crawford	IN07	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes), unless vehicle access is solely provided via Kaikorai Valley Road.
S126.001	Yoel George	IN07	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes) or if not rejected, amend as follows: 1. Include an access constraint requiring access to be solely via Kaikorai Valley Road, and 2. Include a green reserve within the site adjoining Northview Crescent.
S286.001	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	IN07	Reject the change	Remove Change IN07 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone and Industrial zone to General Residential 2 zone at 133-137 Kaikorai Valley Road, and associated changes).
S17.001	Kate Logan	IN08	Reject the change	Remove Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes).
S57.002	Virginia Theis	IN08	Reject the change	Remove Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes) where it applies to the area shaded red on the map attached to the submission.
S82.002	Yolanda van Heezik	IN08	Reject the change	Remove Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes).
S198.001	Elizabeth-Anne Gregory	IN08	Reject the change	Remove Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes).
S189.029	Ryman Healthcare limited	IN08	Accept the change	Retain Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes) as it relates to 383 Highgate.
S15.001	Mike and Claire Cowan	IN08a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change IN08 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Roslyn (north), and associated changes) to include the properties at 16 Wright Street and 37 Tyne Street, Roslyn.
S141.001	Barbara J Kennedy	IN09	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes) to exclude the Cannington Road and Cairnhill Street area.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S172.001	Chris Palmer	IN09	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes) to exclude properties adjacent to Brent Street, Baxter Street, Henry Street, Brownville Crescent (and streets of similar widths).
S208.001	Gisela Sole	IN09	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes) to retain the gulley's habitat of mature trees (the gulley is located between Prestwick and Monro Street).
S208.003	Gisela Sole	IN09	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes) to consider effects of increased stormwater runoff into the gully between Prestwick Street and Monro Street. Particular consideration is needed to prevent pooling of water upstream (e.g. between 5 and 5A Monro Street) as a result of increased development in the area.
S35.001	Helen Thomas	IN09	Reject the change	Remove Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes).
S82.003	Yolanda van Heezik	IN09	Reject the change	Remove Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes).
S90.001	Karen Oben	IN09	Reject the change	Remove Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes).
S183.001	Trish Brooking	IN09	Reject the change	Remove Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes).
S198.002	Elizabeth-Anne Gregory	IN09	Reject the change	Remove Change IN09 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Maori Hill, and associated changes).
S96.001	Kevin Wilson & Anna Campbell	IN10	Accept the change	Retain Change IN10 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at 26-32 Lynn Street, and associated changes).
S82.013	Yolanda van Heezik	IN13	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes) as follows: 1. Review ways to minimise housing footprints and the loss of private gardens through hard landscaping on residential sites. This could include the use of housing styles that have the same footprint (e.g. low rise, common walls, shared drives/access) and a review of site coverage limits to minimise hard landscaping (inferred not stated). 2. Require the protection of vegetation cover at sites adjacent to major biodiverse areas. 3. For infill and new development, require biodiversity protection and enhance measures to ensure no overall reduction in vegetation area or the fragmentation of existing corridors.
S4.001	Kevin Gough	IN13	Reject the change	Remove Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes) at 31 Bayfield Road (Andersons Bay Bowling Club).
S22.001	Lorraine Wong	IN13	Reject the change	Remove Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes).
S29.001	Allan Miller	IN13	Reject the change	Remove Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes) at the Andersons Bay bowling green.
S32.001	Marion Lindley	IN13	Reject the change	Remove Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes).
S115.001	Carey Woodhouse	IN13	Reject the change	Remove Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes).
S44.001	Rachel Wallace	IN13	Accept the change	Retain Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes).
S61.002	Daniel Anfield	IN13	Accept the change	Retain Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes). This submission point does not cover the request for an extension to this rezoning area, as it is covered by a separate point.
S66.003	Conrad Anderson	IN13	Accept the change	Retain Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes).
S61.001	Daniel Anfield	IN13a	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change IN13 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Andersons Bay, and associated changes) to include the sites at 125, 127, 129, 133 and 135 Tomahawk Road.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S150.006	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S206.012	Paterson Pitts Group	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S282.011	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S286.021	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S290.009	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S300.005	Kurt Bowen	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls - IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR 2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S252.003	Michael McQueen	Miscellaneous	Accept the change	Retain the current General Residential 1 zoning of 96 Somerville Street (inferred not stated).
S220.004	Terramark Limited	NDMAs on new residential land - GF01-08; GF10-16	If the change is not rejected, amend	Reconsider the application of new development mapped areas to greenfield rezoning sites to impose this only where required in reflection of a full understanding of infrastructure capacity.
S206.013	Paterson Pitts Group	NDMAs on new residential land - GF01-08; GF10-16	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the New Development mapped area at all of the greenfield rezoning sites (GF01-GF08 and GF10-GF16) or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Council are to undertake a complete infrastructure modelling program, then confirm which parts of the city are subject to infrastructure constraints, then restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that specifically addresses these identified constraints AND 2. Re-design the proposed stormwater management plan provisions to achieve a workable arrangement.
S282.012	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	NDMAs on new residential land - GF01-08; GF10-16	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the New Development mapped area at all of the greenfield rezoning sites (GF01-GF08 and GF10-GF16) or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Council are to undertake a complete infrastructure modelling program, then confirm which parts of the city are subject to infrastructure constraints, then restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that specifically addresses these identified constraints AND 2. Re-design the proposed stormwater management plan provisions to achieve a workable arrangement.
S300.006	Kurt Bowen	NDMAs on new residential land - GF01-08; GF10-16	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the New Development mapped area at all of the greenfield rezoning sites (GF01-GF08 and GF10-GF16) or if not removed amend as follows: 1. Council are to undertake a complete infrastructure modelling program, then confirm which parts of the city are subject to infrastructure constraints, then restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that specifically addresses these identified constraints AND 2. Re-design the proposed stormwater management plan provisions to achieve a workable arrangement.
S298.001	Bill Hamilton	RS014	Reject the change	Rezone 25 McMeakin Road (part of Rejected Site RS14) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S281.001	Nash and Ross Ltd (Steve Ross)	RS014	Add a change	Rezone 42 Lambert Street (part of Rejected Site RS14) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S228.003	Wendy Campbell	RS014	Add a change	Rezone 45 McMeakin Road and part of 188 North Taieri Road, Abbotsford (part of Rejected Site RS14) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a mixture of zones in accordance with the submitter's proposed structure plan, including General Residential 1 zone, Low Density Residential zone and Recreation zone, and do not apply a new development mapped area.
S302.001	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	RS014	Add a change	Rezone 55 McMeakin Road (part of Rejected Site RS14) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S88.002	Barton Acres	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S111.002	Robin Dignan	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				(north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S112.001	Erin Kathleen Morton	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S124.002	Aleeza Turnbull (nee Stettner)	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S127.002	Scott Turnbull	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S131.001	Samuel Brent Patrick	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), and b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance (for residential zoning) is allowed on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S146.002	Christine Keller	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S167.002	Amy Wilson	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S173.001	Susan Margaret Procter	RS052	Add a change	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a structure plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), and b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance (for residential zoning) is allowed on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S186.002	Megan Drysdale	RS052	If the change is not rejected, amend	If the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) is rezoned from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone, add a Structure Plan mapped area to include: a. protection of biodiversity values brought by established and regenerating native bush which is in jeopardy if development was to go ahead in some areas of the site (along the north western boundary), b. addition of some community elements to the project such as community open space and public walking areas, and c. a small allowance for residential zoning on the upper limits of the SNL on the northern corner of the property (north and east of the water tank) in order to retain the areas of native and regenerating bush along the north western boundary.
S88.001	Barton Acres	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S111.001	Robin Dignan	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S112.002	Erin Kathleen Morton	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S124.001	Aleeza Turnbull (nee Stettner)	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S127.001	Scott Turnbull	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S131.002	Samuel Brent Patrick	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S146.001	Christine Keller	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S167.001	Amy Wilson	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S173.002	Susan Margaret Procter	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S186.001	Megan Drysdale	RS052	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS52 (rezoning the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone).
S80.002	Grandview 2011 Limited	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S81.002	Ari Jakobs	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S109.003	Julie Mander	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S120.003	Hilary Calvert	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S134.003	Judith Layland	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S135.003	Han Wolsink	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S136.003	Chia Tzu Hsu	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S138.003	Stuart Hardisty	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S161.003	Jane Bokser	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S162.003	Kent Centers	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S211.003	Hamish Mander	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S212.003	Victoria Broad	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S254.003	William Layland	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S258.003	Kennedy Building Limited	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S280.003	Alistair Broad	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S287.004	Jakobs Farm Trust	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S297.003	Harry Harding	RS052	Add a change	Rezone the part of 235 Signal Hill Road outside the significant natural landscape overlay (Rejected Site RS052) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S123.004	Fletcher Glass	RS077	Reject the change	Rezone part of 309 North Road (Rejected Site RS077) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 2 zone.
S26.001	Beverly Stacey	RS087	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS87 (rezoning from General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at Mornington (north)) as it relates to 21 Rosebery Street and the surrounding area.
S273.002	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	RS109	Add a change	Rezone 119 Riccarton Road West (Rejected Site RS109) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S247.002	Cole Bennetts	RS110	Add a change	If the site at 23 Sretlaw Place, Dunedin (Rejected Site RS110) is rezoned, add a structure plan mapped area rather than a new development mapped area.
S247.001	Cole Bennetts	RS110	Add a change	Rezone 23 Sretlaw Place (Rejected Site RS110) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S237.001	David Middleton	RS151	Add a change	Rezone part of 147 St Leonards Drive (Rejected Site RS151) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone, with a structure plan mapped area and apply an area of significant biodiversity value over part of the site as shown in the submission.
S219.004	Gladstone Family Trust	RS153	Add a change	Rezone 77 and 121 Chain Hills Road, Mosgiel, (Rejected Site RS153) from Rural Hill Slopes, Rural Residential 1, and Low Density Residential zones to General Residential 1 zone and amend so that it will be a mixture of zonings as shown in the submitter's proposed structure plan.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S219.005	Gladstone Family Trust	RS153	Add a change	Rezone parts of 100 Irwin Logan Drive (adjacent to Rejected Site RS153) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Recreation zone in accordance with the submitter's proposed structure plan and include 3-20 Jocelyn Way, 38 and 40-43 Irwin Logan Drive, and 25-27 Pinfold Place within the structure plan mapped area.
S308.001	CC Otago Limited	RS154	Add a change	Rezone 91 & 103 Formby Street (Rejected Site RS154) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Township & Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area instead of a new development mapped area.
S305.002	Outram Developments Limited	RS154	Add a change	Rezone 91 and 103 Formby Street, Outram (Rejected Site RS154) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Township & Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S307.003	Peter Doherty	RS154	Add a change	Rezone 91 and 103 Formby Street, Outram (Rejected Site RS154) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Township & Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S304.001	Craig James and Kirsten Jane Duncan (Duncan Clan Family Trust)	RS157	Add a change	Rezone 90 Blackhead Road and surrounds (Rejected Site RS157) from Rural Residential 1 zone to a Large Lot Residential zone.
S249.001	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	RS160	Accept the change with amendments	Extend Change GF01 (rezoning from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone at part of the site at 155 and 252 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton) to include further areas within these properties (also extends rejected site RS160), including land currently in the Rural Coastal zone, to zones as shown in the submitter's proposed structure plan.
S249.003	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	RS160	Add a change	Rezone part of 155 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton, (Rejected Site RS160) from Rural Residential 1 zone and Rural Coastal zone to zones as shown in the submitter's proposed structure plan.
S227.001	Bob and Rose Cunningham	RS161	Add a change	Rezone 210 and 236 Signal Hill Road (Rejected Site RS161) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Large Lot Residential 2 zone, and include a Structure Plan to identify and control any important development features, so that residential activities are able to be undertaken in a manner that is compatible with the values of the Significant Natural Landscape.
S276.001	Christopher and Allison Stewart	RS165	Reject the change	Rezone 750 Highcliff Road (Rejected Site RS165) from Rural Peninsula Coast zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S274.001	Michael and Michelle Wallace	RS167	Add a change	Rezone 50 & 60 Brinsdon Road (Rejected Site RS167) from Rural Residential 1 zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S299.001	Simon Robert and Lisa Jayne Burrough	RS168	Add a change	Rezone 300-304 Leith Valley Road (Rejected Site RS168) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S279.003	Victor and Fiona Nicholson	RS169	Add a change	Rezone 41 Emerson Street (Rejected Site RS169) from Rural Coastal zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S71.001	Andrew Rutherford	RS170	Add a change	Rezone 103, 105, and 107 Hall Road (Rejected Site RS170) from Rural Residential 1 zone to Township and Settlement Zone or a Large Lot Residential zone, or another residential zone.
S202.001	K and L Accommodation Limited	RS171	Add a change	Rezone 18 Noyna Road and 3 Brick Hill Road (part of Rejected Site RS171) from Rural Hill Slopes zone to Township and Settlement zone.
S308.002	CC Otago Limited	RS175	Add a change	Rezone 85 Formby Street, Outram (rejected site RS175) from Rural Taieri Plains zone to Township & Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area instead of a new development mapped area.
S305.001	Outram Developments Limited	RS175	Add a change	Rezone 85 Formby Street, Outram (RS175) from Rural zone to Township and Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S307.001	Peter Doherty	RS175	Add a change	Rezone 85 Formby Street, Outram (RS175) from Rural zone to Township and Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S77.001	Peter Marr & Marja van Loon	RS176	Add a change	Rezone 234 and 290 Malvern Street, Leith Valley, (Rejected Site RS176) from Rural Residential 2 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 Zone, or Large Lot Residential 2 Zone, or Rural Residential 1 Zone and apply a structure plan mapped area to describe any significant development controls that may be appropriate.
S20.001	Stephen Aydon	RS193	Accept the change	Retain the rejection of Rejected Site RS193 at 177 Tomahawk Road and retain the Rural Peninsula Coast zone for this site.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S283.001	Max Hope Trust	RS193	Add a change	Rezone 177 Tomahawk Road (Rejected Site RS193) from Peninsula Coast Rural zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S33.001	David Malcolmson (Max Hope Trust)	RS193	Add a change	Rezone 177 Tomahawk Road (Rejected Site RS193) from Rural Peninsula Coast zone to General Residential 1 zone.
S242.001	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	RS195	Add a change	Rezone part of 774 Allanton-Waiholo Road (Rejected Site RS195) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Township and Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area.
S232.001	Meats of New Zealand Limited	RS200	Add a change	Rezone part of 489 East Taieri-Allanton Road (Rejected Site RS200) from Rural Coastal zone to Township and Settlement zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S219.008	Gladstone Family Trust	RS204	Add a change	If 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road (Rejected Site RS204) are rezoned, add a structure plan mapped area rather than a new development mapped area.
S219.003	Gladstone Family Trust	RS204	Add a change	Rezone 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road (Rejected Site RS204) from Rural Residential 1 zone to General Residential 1 zone so that it will be a mixture of zonings as shown in the submitter's proposed structure plan.
S36.001	Lex Anderson	RS205	Add a change	Rezone part of 761 Aramoana Road (Rejected Site RS205) from Rural Coastal zone to Township and Settlement zone, to the extent that it gives effect to the Environment Court consent order attached to the submission.
S123.001	Fletcher Glass	RS206	Add a change	Rezone 35 Watts Road (adjacent to Rejected Site RS206) from General Residential 1 zone to a higher density residential zone (inferred not stated).
S123.003	Fletcher Glass	RS206	Add a change	Rezone 43 Watts Road (Rejected Site RS206) from Rural Hill Slopes and Rural Residential 2 zones to Large Lot Residential 1 zone.
S123.002	Fletcher Glass	RS206	Add a change	Rezone parts of 309 North Road, shown as areas 'B' and 'C' on the submitter's map (adjacent to Rejected Site RS206), from Rural Hill Slopes zone to a residential zone (inferred not stated).
S156.002	Richard Muir	RS212	Add a change	Rezone 170 Riccarton Road West (Rejected Site RS212) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Low Density Residential zone or another alternative.
S191.002	Roger and Janine Southby	RS212	Add a change	Rezone 170 Riccarton Road West (Rejected Site RS212) from Rural Taieri Plain zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone, Low Density Residential zone and/or General Residential 1 zone and apply a structure plan mapped area but not a new development mapped area.
S62.001	Richard and Rosalind Mains	RS220	Add a change	Rezone 53, 64, 73, 74, 80, 85, 86, 92, 100, 103, 103A,123, and 127 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton (Rejected Site RS220) from Rural Residential 1 zone to Large Lot Residential 1 zone (inferred not stated).
S249.007	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	RS220	Add a change	Rezone 53, 64, 73, 74, 80, 85, 86, 92, 100, 103, 103A,123, and 127 Scroggs Hill Road, Brighton (Rejected Site RS220) from Rural Residential 1 zone to Township and Settlement Zone with a Structure Plan Mapped Area (inferred not stated).
S74.001	Leah McKay	RTZ1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change RTZ1 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone and General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at 30 Mercer Street, and associated changes) to: a. limit the number of sections accessing Wattie Fox Lane to avoid potential significant congestion at the bottom entering Barr Street with Kenmure Road and Kaikorai Valley Road; and b. ensure appropriate roading infrastructure (including opening another entry/exit from Kaikorai Valley Road and Wattie Fox Lane becoming Council owned) and provide for footpaths, street lighting and allow waste collection.
S40.002	Bruce Hall	RTZ1	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change RTZ1 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone and General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at 30 Mercer Street, and associated changes) unless this site is restricted to a maximum of 40-50 sections (sites).
S49.001	Timothy Yang	RTZ1	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change RTZ1 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone and General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at 30 Mercer Street, and associated changes), unless another access is provided into Wattie Fox Lane and Wattie Fox Lane is vested in Council.
S140.001	Nicole Perry-Ellison	RTZ1	Reject the change	Remove change RTZ1 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone and General Residential 1 zone to General Residential 2 zone at 30 Mercer Street, and associated changes).
S11.001	Anthony Fitchett	RTZ2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change RTZ2 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, North East Valley, and associated changes) to add a requirement that trees growing on the adjacent property at 14A Forrester Avenue cannot be requested to be removed by the developer or future residents of 87 Selwyn Street for reasons other than physical safety.
S70.001	Tim Hyland	RTZ2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes) as it relates to the structure plan mapped

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				area provisions (Section 15.8.AC) to enable good walkway/roadway connections with the existing communities in Liberton/Pine Hill (potentially off Truby King Crescent /Croydon Street). A walking passageway would be sufficient and any streets within the development would follow contours as much as possible, avoiding excessive steepness.
S82.014	Yolanda van Heezik	RTZ2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes) so the rezoning is subject to the restoration of native biodiversity in a strip of at least 20 metres width along the western edge of Lindsay Creek. This could be part of a general naturalisation strategy for the waterway, similar to that which has been applied to the Leith. Amenity value could be enhanced by a walkway along the river, including through this section affected by the rezoning (the south eastern).
S187.031	Dunedin City Council	RTZ2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes) as it relates to the vegetation clearance rules, as follows: 15.8.AC.1 Indigenous vegetation clearance a. Indigenous vegetation clearance must not occur within the area shown hatched green on the Selwyn Street structure plan and labelled 'Restricted Development Area (Biodiversity)' (see Figure 15.8.ACA), except for indigenous vegetation clearance that is: i. clearance that is part of conservation activity involving vegetation clearance and replacement with indigenous species; ii. clearance for the maintenance of fences (including gates), provided: 1. any fence posts are located outside of the dripline of mature indigenous trees listed in Appendix 10A.3; and 2. the erection of new fences does not damage: 1. specimens of threatened plant species listed in Appendix 10A.1; 2. important breeding, refuge, feeding or resting sites for indigenous fauna listed in Appendix 10A.2; or 3. mature indigenous trees listed in Appendix 10A.3; iii. clearance for the maintenance (but not extension) of existing network utilities, irrigation infrastructure, tracks, drains, structures, roads, or firebreaks; iv. clearance that is consistent with or provided for as part of a conservation management strategy, conservation management plan, reserve management plan or covenant established under the Conservation Act 1987 or any other Act specified in the First Schedule of the Conservation Act 1987; v. clearance that is required to remove material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries' Chief Technical Officer, or to respond to an emergency declared by the Minister for Primary Industries under the Biosecurity Act 1993; vi. clearance of a pest plant listed in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan; vii. clearance that is necessary to maintain the flow of water free from obstruction or for natural hazard mitigation activities; viii. clearance of non-indigenous plant species and replanting within 3 months with indigenous or non-indigenous plant species, not on the pest plant list in Appendix 10B to Section 10 of the Plan, that will attain at least the same height and coverage as the plants that have been cleared. Make any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S10.001	Rosalind Whiting	RTZ2	Reject the change	Remove Change RTZ2 (rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, North East Valley, and associated changes).
S121.001	Manson Wright	RTZ2	Reject the change	Remove Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes).
S164.001	Eric Dakin	RTZ2	Reject the change	Remove Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes).
S171.001	Hazel Heal & Robert Van Hale	RTZ2	Reject the change	Remove Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes).
S271.037	Otago Regional Council	RTZ2	Reject the change	Remove Change RTZ2 (Rezoning from Rural Residential 2 zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 2 zone at 87 Selwyn Street, and associated changes), and retain the Rural Residential 2 Zone.
S40.001	Bruce Hall	RTZ3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change RTZ3 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 1 zone at 13 Wattie Fox Lane, and associated changes) unless:

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
				a. written approval is forth coming from 3 Waters that future development is able to connect to the Council foul water system, and b. the minimum site size is 750-800m ² .
S140.002	Nicole Perry-Ellison	RTZ3	Reject the change	Remove change RTZ3 (rezoning from Rural Hill Slopes zone with a Residential Transition Overlay Zone to General Residential 1 zone at 13 Wattie Fox Lane, and associated changes).

Change Group 14: Miscellaneous

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S202.002	K and L Accommodation Limited	All 3 Waters changes	Add a change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development.
S220.001	Terramark Limited	All 3 Waters changes	Add a change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development.
S263.008	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development.
S293.008	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S273.009	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S283.011	Max Hope Trust	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S298.007	Bill Hamilton	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S302.007	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S69.005	Name Withheld C	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes related to the wastewater package proposed as part of Variation 2.
S56.003	Saddle Hill Community Board	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Add a change	Add provisions so any consent takes into account the effect on current residential landscape, views and environment.
S58.002	Richard Farry	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.11.5.Y. by adding a new clause (g) 'Whether the subdivision maintains, enhances, detracts from or detrimentally affects a heritage precinct or scheduled heritage item.'
S235.005	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Objective 12.2.X by adding an additional policy under this objective, as follows (or similar): Only allow subdivision in a new developed mapped area where the subdivision is designed in consideration of the surrounding environment including strategic infrastructure.
S224.004	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Objective 12.2.X by adding reference to infrastructure and network utilities to support economic productivity and social wellbeing under Strategic Objective 2.3.1, and any consequential amendments to the policies under 12.2.X and rules for Transition Overlay zones under 12.3 to give effect to the amended objective.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S278.002	Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend provisions for new greenfield rezoning areas to incorporate the Urban Street Design Guide into urban design policies and infrastructure placement.
S235.010	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the assessment matters in Rule 15.11.5(Y) to include the following, or similar, matters of discretion: "Where a site adjoins significant infrastructure, Council will consider the design of the development and the relationship between the site and strategic infrastructure."
S220.003	Terramark Limited	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend the New Development Mapped Area provisions based on Council's full understanding of the infrastructural model and constraints (complete infrastructure modelling at Council's cost).
S9.009	Marita Ansin-Johnson	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA 02-15 (Mapping NDMA over existing greenfield residential areas).
S177.003	Generation Zero (Dunedin)	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove Changes D1, D4, D5, D6, D7, D8, NDMA2-15, E5 (Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas).
S76.002	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the NDMA provisions.
S273.008	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S283.010	Max Hope Trust	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S293.006	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S298.006	Bill Hamilton	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S302.006	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S189.005	Ryman Healthcare limited	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change D1 and associated changes insofar as they give effect to the NPS-UD, except where amendments are sought as part of other submission points.
S205.005	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Change D1 and associated changes insofar as they give effect to the NPS-UD, except where amendments are sought as part of other submission points.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S78.004	Trevor Scott	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change	Retain changes D1, D4, D5, D6, D7, D8, NDMA 2-15, E5 (Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas).
S187.017	Dunedin City Council	All NDMA changes (D1, D4-8, E5, F2-2, F3-2, GF01-08 10-12 14-16, NDMA2-15 & RTZ1 & 2)	Accept the change with amendments	Review applying the new development mapped area (NDMA) and associated provisions to any greenfield residential rezoning sites added to the 2GP since notification of Variation 2 through the resolution of rezoning appeals. For clarity, this may include any sites that are subject to appeal seeking rezoning to any residential zone in Section 15 of the Plan. These are identified on the 2GP planning map.
S224.006	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	All of Variation 2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the provisions affected by Variation 2 such that the following outcomes are achieved: 1. Telecommunications network utility operators including mobile network operators to be consulted with and the outcome of that consultation recorded for any urban growth plan changes to ensure that telecommunications is recognised as essential infrastructure and additional infrastructure required to support well-functioning urban environments under the NPS-UD is properly identified. 2. Telecommunications network utility operators including mobile network operators are consulted to ensure that there is adequate infrastructure to support the demand for telecommunication services generated by development. 3. Telecommunications network utility operators including mobile network operators are consulted with to ensure staging of infrastructure is appropriate and telecommunications infrastructure to support growth is provided for where required to serve new urban development.
S123.006	Fletcher Glass	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	General statement of support for Variation 2.
S207.001	Graham William Potter	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	General statement of support for Variation 2.
S235.002	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	General statement of support for Variation 2.
S13.001	Bill Morrison	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	Retain all changes made in Variation 2.
S73.001	Melissa Bulger	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	Retain all changes made in Variation 2.
S244.001	The Southern District Health Board	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	Retain all changes made in Variation 2.
S189.003	Ryman Healthcare limited	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	Retain changes in Variation 2 that: Address residential development capacity constraints and contribute towards achieving the targets for housing development capacity in the 2019 Housing Capacity Assessment for Dunedin and other relevant strategies; Recognise the need to enable and provide a range of housing types across the district; Reduce pressure on urban expansion by enabling more intensification to deliver a more compact city; and Provide mechanisms to enable the well-planned and intensive development of a variety of accommodation opportunities for the elderly within the district.
S205.003	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	All of Variation 2	Accept the change	Retain changes in Variation 2 that: Address residential development capacity constraints and contribute towards achieving the targets for housing development capacity in the 2019 Housing Capacity Assessment for Dunedin and other relevant strategies; Recognise the need to enable and provide a range of housing types across the district; Reduce pressure on urban expansion by enabling more intensification to deliver a more compact city; and Provide mechanisms to enable the well-planned and intensive development of a variety of accommodation opportunities for the elderly within the district.
S122.002	Peter Dowden	Miscellaneous	Add a change	Add rules so that the roofs of all new buildings in all zones must have a 'green' roof, have solar panels, or high light reflectance value coatings.
S76.008	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S79.002	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S150.002	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S206.008	Paterson Pitts Group	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S203.020	Tom and Loretta Richardson	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S230.003	BA Building Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S260.011	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S264.019	D N Innovations Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3,	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
		F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4		
S270.002	Doug Hall	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S282.007	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S283.008	Max Hope Trust	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S286.018	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S290.007	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S291.005	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S295.001	HWH Properties Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S296.008	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S300.001	Kurt Bowen	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F2-7, F3-1, F3-2, NWRA1-7, WCMA2-4	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S76.026	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S79.022	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S150.023	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S203.018	Tom and Loretta Richardson	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
		except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2		
S206.031	Paterson Pitts Group	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S230.017	BA Building Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S260.012	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S264.018	D N Innovations Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S270.024	Doug Hall	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S282.029	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
S283.012	Max Hope Trust	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S286.019	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S290.010	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S291.006	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S295.017	HWH Properties Ltd	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S296.012	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S300.025	Kurt Bowen	Proposed infrastructure controls for all new development and subdivision activities	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Submission point	Submitter Name	Change ID	Support oppose	Decision requested
		except for new greenfield land: E3, F1-2, F1-5, F2-2, F2-3, F2.5, F2-6, F3-1, F3-2		