

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS1.1	Abbotsford School			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS1.001. Disallow submission unless an alternative access road can be created.</i>
....FS1.6	Abbotsford School			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission unless an alternative access road can be created</i>
....FS1.7	Abbotsford School			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission unless an alternative access road can be created</i>
....FS1.8	Abbotsford School			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission unless an alternative access road can be created</i>
S302.006	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S302.007	Alan David and David Eric Geeves & Nicola Jane Algie	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
....FS5.1	Alice Wouters			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.001. Disallow submission and no not apply New Development Mapped Area due to concerns of water runoff and subdivision roading.</i>
S311.002	Alice Wouters	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA5	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road).
S311.003	Alice Wouters	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA 10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
....FS21.3	Anna Clearwater			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose S308.009. Disallow submission and amendments to Policy 9.2.1.AA</i>
....FS26.10	Anthony Hoets			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS219.013. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road as Rural Residential 1.</i>
....FS26.11	Anthony Hoets			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS219.014. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road as Rural Residential 1.</i>
....FS28.4	Aurora Energy Limited			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS28.003. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.3.</i>
S217.002	Aurora Energy Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend 9.2.1.3.X by removing the words 'National Grid'.
S217.003	Aurora Energy Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.3.7.2 (Service Connections) as notified.
S217.004	Aurora Energy Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X.a (Telecommunications and power), as follows (the amendment shown in bold text below):a. Subdivision activities must provide all resultant sites with telecommunication (including UltraFast Broadband where available) and power supply, and associated easements, to the site boundary.
S217.005	Aurora Energy Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) by adding an additional advice note below this rule, framed as 9.3.7.XA, as follows:Note 9.3.7.XA General advice and requirements that sit outside the District Plana. The provision of electricity to resultant sites is controlled by electricity related legislation and regulations. Electricity providers are required to take ownership of new and existing electricity infrastructure up to a “point of supply” as defined by section 2(3) of the Electricity Act 1992.b. In relation to existing electricity infrastructure up to a point of supply, an electricity provider may require information about the location, age, of the infrastructure, including any certificate of compliance issued by an electrician as required by Section 65 of the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010.
S217.006	Aurora Energy Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Rule 9.5.3.12.X Assessment of performance standard contraventions - Service connections, by removing the words 'National Grid'.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S230.001	BA Building Ltd	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S230.003	BA Building Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S230.004	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S230.005	BA Building Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.006	BA Building Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S230.007	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.008	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and;2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.Where proposed NDMA regions occur that don't meet the above criteria, the requirement for stormwater infrastructure should be removed.
S230.009	BA Building Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S230.010	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.011	BA Building Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S230.013	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S230.017	BA Building Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S230.019	BA Building Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S230.020	BA Building Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S230.021	BA Building Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S230.025	BA Building Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S257.003	Barbara and Donald McCabe	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S231.002	Barry Douglas & Fiona Lynn Armour	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S194.006	Barry James Douglas	8. Stormwater package	F2-1	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-1 (Performance standard for connections to stormwater).
S194.007	Barry James Douglas	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S194.008	Barry James Douglas	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-2 (addition of rules for stormwater management in large greenfield areas).
S194.009	Barry James Douglas	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-3 (rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas).
S194.010	Barry James Douglas	8. Stormwater package	F2-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-7 (stormwater constraint mapped area method).
....FS31.7	Bernhard Neehoff			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.008. Disallow submission.</i>
....FS31.8	Bernhard Neehoff			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.009. Disallow submission.</i>
....FS31.9	Bernhard Neehoff			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.0010. Disallow submission.</i>
S298.006	Bill Hamilton	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S298.007	Bill Hamilton	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S13.008	Bill Morrison	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S13.009	Bill Morrison	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S13.010	Bill Morrison	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS41.16	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.17	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.18	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS307.010. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.25	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS305.007. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.26	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS305.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.27	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS305.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.7	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS308.007. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.8	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS308.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
....FS41.9	C & L Rhodes			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS308.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 85, 91 & 103 Formby Street, Outram as Taieri Plains Rural.</i>
S308.007	CC Otago Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y relating to subdivision activities in a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S308.008	CC Otago Limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S308.009	CC Otago Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area related policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS45.2	Charles Pearce			I support this submission	Support OS304.002. Allow submission and reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S185.001	Chorus New Zealand Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) as notified.
....FS46.6	Chris Rudd			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.013. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road as Rural Residential 1.
....FS46.7	Chris Rudd			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.014. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road as Rural Residential 1.
....FS46.8	Chris Rudd			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.015. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 21, 43, 55, 65, 75, 79 and 111 Chain Hills Road as Rural Residential 1.
S76.002	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the NDMA provisions.
S76.004	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S76.008	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S76.009	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S76.010	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA4	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street.
S76.011	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S76.012	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.013	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S76.014	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean).Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.015	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S76.016	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S76.017	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.018	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S76.019	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S76.020	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:•Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified person•Amend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:•Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.•Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.021	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S76.022	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S76.023	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S76.025	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S76.026	Christopher Connor & Tina Prendergast	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS51.6	Christopher John Burrows			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS232.006. Disallow submission.</i>
....FS51.7	Christopher John Burrows			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS232.007. Disallow submission.</i>
....FS51.8	Christopher John Burrows			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS232.008. Disallow submission.</i>
S247.007	Cole Bennetts	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.008	Cole Bennetts	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S247.009	Cole Bennetts	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS59.2	Craig Duncan (Duncan Clan Family Trust)			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS304.002. Allow the reinstatement of Policy 2.2.5.2.</i>
S304.002	Craig James and Kirsten Jane Duncan (Duncan Clan Family Trust)	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S264.001	D N Innovations Ltd	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA5	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a performance standard that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the New Development mapped area (NDMA05) from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations (e.g 500m2 in the General Residential 1 zone). This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance OR2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site - these can be cost effective if implemented by way of a standardised method) OR3. Rezone the land within the NDMA to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S264.002	D N Innovations Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.003	D N Innovations Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S264.004	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.005	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream. All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S264.006	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S264.007	D N Innovations Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.008	D N Innovations Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S264.009	D N Innovations Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S264.010	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.011	D N Innovations Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S264.012	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S264.013	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S264.015	D N Innovations Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S264.018	D N Innovations Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S264.019	D N Innovations Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S264.022	D N Innovations Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
....FS61.2	Dan and Liz Koni			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS304.002. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 90 Blackhead Road and surrounds as Rural Residential 1.
S248.003	David Leslie Meyer & Christine Raywin Cordell	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S242.006	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.007	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S242.008	DDS Properties (2008) Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS74.6	Debbie van de Water			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS74.7	Debbie van de Water			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
....FS74.8	Debbie van de Water			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
S270.002	Doug Hall	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S270.003	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S270.004	Doug Hall	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S270.005	Doug Hall	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	Miscellaneous	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped area from 636 Signal Hill Road [NOTE TO USER: NO NDMA IS PROPOSED OVER THIS LAND], or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S270.006	Doug Hall	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.007	Doug Hall	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S270.008	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.009	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S270.010	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S270.011	Doug Hall	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.012	Doug Hall	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S270.013	Doug Hall	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S270.014	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.015	Doug Hall	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S270.016	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S270.018	Doug Hall	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S270.022	Doug Hall	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S270.024	Doug Hall	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S239.003	Dunedin City Baptist Church	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.004	Dunedin City Baptist Church	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S239.005	Dunedin City Baptist Church	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S187.020	Dunedin City Council	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.3.a.iii as follows, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission: "In determining whether Policy 9.2.1.6 9.2.1.1A is achieved, Council will consider the cumulative effects of the proposed development and permitted development that is likely to arise in the future."

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S187.021	Dunedin City Council	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-5	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.3 (Firefighting) so that it accurately reflects the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice it references and provides for effective compliance.
S187.022	Dunedin City Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 to remove the term “(stormwater)” from the matter of discretion for “Effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure” at Rule 15.11.2.5.a, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S187.023	Dunedin City Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 to remove the term “(stormwater)” from the matter of discretion for “Effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure” at Rule 15.11.4.1.c, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S187.024	Dunedin City Council	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F2-4	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether a note to plan user should be added regarding any requirements for fencing of stormwater detention ponds under relevant legislation.
S187.025	Dunedin City Council	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether a service connection performance standard for development should be added under Rule 15.6 and Rule 9.3.7 regarding connection to a communal wastewater detention system by any development in a new development mapped area listed in Rule 9.6.2.Y that will discharge wastewater.The scope of this submission includes such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission, including potential amendments to Policy 9.2.1.BB.
S187.026	Dunedin City Council	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F4-1	Accept the change with amendments	Review whether to add a note to plan users regarding DCC 3 Water’s preference for separate water supply connections to be provided for each residential unit developed on a site, rather than shared connections.The scope of this submission includes such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission
S223.007	Ed Stewardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped aera unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S223.008	Ed Stewardson	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S223.009	Ed Stewardson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS86.2	Elizabeth McColl			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS202.002. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 18 Noyna Road & 3-5 Brick Hill Road, Sawyers Bay as Hill Slopes Rural.
....FS86.3	Elizabeth McColl			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS202.003. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 18 Noyna Road & 3-5 Brick Hill Road, Sawyers Bay as Hill Slopes Rural.
....FS86.4	Elizabeth McColl			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS202.004. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 18 Noyna Road & 3-5 Brick Hill Road, Sawyers Bay as Hill Slopes Rural.
....FS86.5	Elizabeth McColl			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS202.005. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of 18 Noyna Road & 3-5 Brick Hill Road, Sawyers Bay as Hill Slopes Rural.
S181.001	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-5	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-5 (Rule 9.3.3 Firefighting) as notified.
S181.002	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-5	Add a change	Amend Rule 9.3.3.b (Firefighting) to read as follows; b. provide an area of minimum dimensions of 4.5m x 11m with suitable fire engine access, water storage of 45,000 litres (45m³) or equivalent firefighting capacity, and have the water supply located within 90m of the fire risk, or otherwise provide for water supply and access to water supplies for firefighting purposes consistent with the current version of SNZ/PAS 4509 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice.
S84.001	Geraldine Ling	10. Minor 3 waters changes	WCMA4	Reject the change	Remove Change WCMA4 (introduction of a wastewater constraint mapped area at Waverley) from 109 Belford Street Dunedin.
S150.002	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S150.003	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S150.004	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S150.005	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.006	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMA's or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S150.007	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S150.008	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean).Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.009	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S150.010	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S150.011	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.012	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S150.013	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S150.014	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.015	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S150.016	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S150.018	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S150.020	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S150.023	Giler and Katherine Wynn-Williams	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S208.002	Gisela Sole	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas) to consider effects of increased stormwater runoff in the gully between Prestwick Street and Monro Street. Particular consideration is needed to prevent pooling of water upstream (e.g. between 5 and 5A Monro Street) as a result of increased development in the area.
S219.013	Gladstone Family Trust	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.014	Gladstone Family Trust	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S219.015	Gladstone Family Trust	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.002	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S79.003	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S79.004	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S79.005	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S79.006	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.007	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S79.008	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean).Amend Rule 9.6 to reflect the above and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.009	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S79.010	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S79.011	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.012	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S79.013	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S79.014	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.015	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S79.016	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S79.017	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S79.020	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S79.022	Glenelg Street Trust Board Incorporated	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S241.007	Grant Motion	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.008	Grant Motion	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S241.009	Grant Motion	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S263.003	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S263.004	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.1A
S263.005	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain policy 9.2.1.BB as long as areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of infrastructure requirements.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S263.006	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S263.007	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to be specific to certain NDMA sites where:1. the land in question is a new greenfields site, and2. Council's stormwater modelling can clearly show that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.
S263.008	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development
S263.009	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard.2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S263.011	GTJM Property Limited (Joe Morrison and Gill Thomas)	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard.2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S295.001	HWH Properties Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S295.003	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S295.004	HWH Properties Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.005	HWH Properties Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S295.006	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.007	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.Where proposed NDMA regions occur that don't meet the above criteria, the requirement for stormwater infrastructure should be removed.
S295.008	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S295.009	HWH Properties Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S295.010	HWH Properties Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S295.011	HWH Properties Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S295.012	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.013	HWH Properties Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.015	HWH Properties Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to allow for consideration of alternative disposal options for stormwater onsite and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S295.017	HWH Properties Ltd	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S295.023	HWH Properties Ltd	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S295.024	HWH Properties Ltd	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
S240.007	Invermark Investments Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped aera unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S240.008	Invermark Investments Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S240.009	Invermark Investments Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S266.002	James Sunderland & Megan Justice	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA9	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA09 (addition of a new development mapped area at Balmacewen Golf Course).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S1.001	Jane McLeod	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Add rules so dwellings built on green field sites are to collect rainwater in sizeable tanks as potable water to reduce impact on the 3 water issues, where practicable. They could still be connected to the reticulated water for dry periods.
S296.008	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S296.009	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S296.010	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S296.011	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA15 (addition of a new development mapped area at Salisbury Road), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S296.012	Jason and Margaret Hewlett	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S202.002	K and L Accommodation Limited	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Add a change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development.
S202.003	K and L Accommodation Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S202.004	K and L Accommodation Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S202.005	K and L Accommodation Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard. 2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S202.007	K and L Accommodation Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard.2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought..
S286.006	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.BB.
S286.007	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean).
S286.008	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S286.009	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S286.010	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S286.011	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S286.012	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S286.013	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S286.014	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to require installation of service connections as part of the building process rather than at the time of subdivision. This could be achieved by adding a consent notice condition.
S286.017	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S286.018	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S286.019	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S286.021	Karen Knudson & Ross Brown	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMA's or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.
....FS128.10	Karen Wispinski			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.013. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS128.11	Karen Wispinski			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS219.014. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y.</i>
....FS128.12	Karen Wispinski			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS219.015. Disallow submission.</i>
....FS136.1	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.001. Disallow submission and retain change NDMA 05.</i>
S197.002	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA5	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road).
S197.003	Keep Halfway Bush Semi Rural Inc	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
S193.001	Ken Close	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA2	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA02 (addition of new development mapped area at Emerson Street and Blackhead Road, Concord).
S193.006	Ken Close	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S193.007	Ken Close	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S193.008	Ken Close	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.001	Kurt Bowen	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S300.003	Kurt Bowen	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S300.004	Kurt Bowen	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing RTZ land – NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S300.005	Kurt Bowen	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMA's or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S300.007	Kurt Bowen	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.008	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.009	Kurt Bowen	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S300.010	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S300.011	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S300.012	Kurt Bowen	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.013	Kurt Bowen	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S300.014	Kurt Bowen	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S300.015	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.016	Kurt Bowen	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S300.017	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S300.018	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S300.019	Kurt Bowen	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S300.025	Kurt Bowen	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S300.028	Kurt Bowen	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS142.1	Kurt Bowen (Paterson Pitts Group)			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS271.010. Disallow submission and retain current wording of Policy 9.2.1.Y.</i>
....FS142.2	Kurt Bowen (Paterson Pitts Group)			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS271.011. Disallow submission and retain current wording of Policy 9.2.1.X.</i>
....FS142.3	Kurt Bowen (Paterson Pitts Group)			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS271.015. Disallow submission and retain current wording of Policy 9.9.X</i>
....FS146.6	Lauren & Sean McConville			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and retain Policy 9.2.1.Y without amendments.</i>
....FS146.7	Lauren & Sean McConville			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission and retain Policy 2.7.1.2.Y without amendments.</i>
....FS146.8	Lauren & Sean McConville			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and retain Policy 9.2.1.AA without amendments.</i>
....FS148.11	Laurence Potter			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and retain the current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
....FS148.12	Laurence Potter			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission and retain the current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
....FS148.13	Laurence Potter			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and retain the current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
S260.011	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S260.012	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S260.014	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S260.015	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S260.016	Lloyd Morshuis (Morclark Developments)	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing RTZ land – NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15) (inferred not stated).
S314.003	Lucille Taneatualua	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-4	Reject the change	Retain Policy 2.2.4.5 unamended (inferred not stated).
S314.004	Lucille Taneatualua	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 9.3.3 (Firefighting) (inferred not stated).
S291.005	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S291.006	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S291.008	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S291.009	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA4	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S291.010	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.011	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S291.012	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.013	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S291.014	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S291.015	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S291.016	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S291.017	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S291.018	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMAs, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).4.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"6. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.019	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S291.020	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S291.021	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S291.022	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S291.023	Margaret Charles & Marguerita Lazar	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
....FS158.8	Maria Larcombe			I support this submission	Support OS283.008. Allow submission to rezone 177 Tomahawk Road from Peninsula Coast Rural to General Residential 1 so long as in depth stormwater management system undertaken.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS158.9	Maria Larcombe			I support this submission	Support OS283.009. Allow submission to rezone 177 Tomahawk Road from Peninsula Coast Rural to General Residential 1 so long as in depth stormwater management system undertaken.
S9.009	Marita Ansin-Johnson	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA 02-15 (Mapping NDMA over existing greenfield residential areas).
S9.010	Marita Ansin-Johnson	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-7 (removal of Policy 2.7.1.2.b on development contributions).
S9.011	Marita Ansin-Johnson	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-8	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-8 (Policy 2.7.1.2.c on consideration of the long-term costs to the DCC of new infrastructure).
S9.012	Marita Ansin-Johnson	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-5	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-5 (Rule 9.3.3 Firefighting) as notified.
S9.013	Marita Ansin-Johnson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F1-2 (Review of 3 waters Policy 9.2.1.1, Policy 9.2.1.4 and Policy 9.2.1.6).
S9.014	Marita Ansin-Johnson	8. Stormwater package	F2-7	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-7 (stormwater constraint mapped area method).
S9.015	Marita Ansin-Johnson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-2 (adding rules for stormwater management in large greenfield areas).
S9.016	Marita Ansin-Johnson	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-3 (rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield areas).
S9.017	Marita Ansin-Johnson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change	Retain Change F2-6 (Provision of 3 waters connections to adjacent land).
S273.008	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S273.009	Mark and Jacqui Taylor	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S283.008	Max Hope Trust	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S283.009	Max Hope Trust	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S283.010	Max Hope Trust	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S283.011	Max Hope Trust	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S283.012	Max Hope Trust	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S232.006	Meats of New Zealand Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped aera unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.007	Meats of New Zealand Limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S232.008	Meats of New Zealand Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S199.001	Michael Ovens	10. Minor 3 waters changes	NWRA7	Reject the change	Remove Change NWRA7 (Introduction of a No DCC reticulated wastewater mapped area at Pine Hill).
S293.006	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	Reject the change	Remove the new development mapped area provisions.
S293.008	Michael David Byck & Nicola Andrea O'Brien	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes on infrastructure matters. This point applies to all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
S69.005	Name withheld	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Reject the change	Remove changes related to the wastewater package proposed as part of Variation 2.
....FS254.3	Open Valley Urban Ecosanctuary (Open VUE)			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.015. Disallow submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.104	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS69.005. Disallow submission to remove all changes related to the wastewater package proposed as part of Variation 2.</i>
....FS184.106	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.002. Disallow submission to remove the NDMA provisions as it conflicts with the Otago Regional Council's view on stormwater provisions.</i>
....FS184.107	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.002. Disallow submission to remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities as comprehensive infrastructure controls are essential if subdivision and development are to proceed and not result in any adverse effects.</i>
....FS184.110	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS9.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NDMA 02-15 conflicts with Otago Regional Council's position on the stormwater provisions</i>
....FS184.173	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.005. Disallow submission and do not remove new development mapped area from 636 Signal Hill Road (Note to reader no NDMA is proposed over this land)</i>
....FS184.174	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS290.008. Disallow submission and not remove Change NDMA14 from 34 Ettrick Street. Amend Change NDMA14 so that Adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.175	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS296.011. Disallow submission and not remove Change NDMA15 (addition of a new development mapped area at Salisbury Road). Amend Change NDMA15 so that Adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.176	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>Support OS235.012. Allow submission and retain Change NDMA03 (apply a new development mapped area at Patmos Avenue, Pine Hill) provided other points in this submission are adopted.</i>
....FS184.177	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.010. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street. Allow amendment of NDMA04 to ensure adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.178	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.011 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street. Allow amendment to Change NDMA04 so that Adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.179	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.005. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street. Allow amendment of Change NDMA04 to ensure adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.180	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.009 in part. Disallow submission to remove Change NDMA04 (addition of a new development mapped area at Bradford) from 81A Glenelg Street, 34 Bradford Street and 5 Ronay Street. Allow amendment to ensure adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.181	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.001 in part. Allow amendment of Change NDMA 05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road) to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.182	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>Support OS235.013 in part. Allow submission to retain change NDMA08 (addition of a new development mapped area at Pine Hill) subject to it aligning with stormwater management provisions Otago Regional Council has requested in its submission.</i>
....FS184.183	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.009. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.184	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS79.004. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.185	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS150.004 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.186	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.010 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.187	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS230.001 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.189	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS270.004 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.19	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.002. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.
....FS184.190	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS282.009 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.191	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS291.008 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.192	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS295.024 in part. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.193	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS296.010. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.194	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS300.003. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.195	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.011. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.196	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS260.016. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.197	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS282.010. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.198	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS300.004. Disallow the removal of new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05). However, allow amendment to ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development
....FS184.218	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS189.014. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
....FS184.219	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS205.014. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld
....FS184.22	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS189.018. Disallow submission and do not amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text "effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" with "effects on the capacity of infrastructure".
....FS184.220	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS189.016. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
....FS184.221	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS205.016. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld
....FS184.222	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS187.020. Allow submission and amend Rule 9.5.3.3.a.iii as follows, and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission: "In determining whether Policy 9.2.1.6 9.2.1.1A is achieved, Council will consider the cumulative effects of the proposed development and permitted development that is likely to arise in the future."
....FS184.224	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS205.018. Disallow submission and do not amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text "effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure" with "effects on the capacity of infrastructure".
....FS184.225	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.012. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.226	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.006. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.227	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.005. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.228	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.002. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.229	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.014. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.230	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.005. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.231	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.002. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.232	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.006. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.233	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.0013. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner
....FS184.234	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.010. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner.
....FS184.235	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.004. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner.
....FS184.236	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.007. Disallow submission and do not remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas and do not amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner.
....FS184.237	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS220.005. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.1A.
....FS184.238	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS263.004. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.1A.
....FS184.239	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.019. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.240	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.013. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.241	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.013. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.242	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.016. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.243	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.021. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.244	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.021. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.245	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.246	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.013. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.247	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.020. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.248	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.012. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.249	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.017. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.250	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.011. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.251	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.014. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4
....FS184.252	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS9.013. Disallow submission and remove Change F1-2 (Review of 3 waters Policy 9.2.1.1, Policy 9.2.1.4 and Policy 9.2.1.6).
....FS184.253	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS189.013 in part. Allow submission and retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as long as adequate infrastructure is provided.
....FS184.254	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS205.013 in part. Allow submission and retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as long as adequate infrastructure is provided.
....FS184.255	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS189.015. Allow submission and retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as long as adequate infrastructure is provided.
....FS184.256	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS205.015 in part. Allow submission to retain Policy 92.1.1 provided the adverse effects of subdivision use and development are avoided.
....FS184.257	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS76.017 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.258	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS79.011 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.259	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS150.011 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.260	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS203.07 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.261	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.019 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.262	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS230.09 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.263	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS264.007 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.264	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS270.011 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.265	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS282.018 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.266	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS286.010 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.267	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS291.016 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.268	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS295.009 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.269	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS300.012 in part. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs
....FS184.270	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.015. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.271	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS241.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.272	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS13.010. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.273	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS191.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.274	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS193.008. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.275	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS204.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.276	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS223.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.277	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.278	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS232.008. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.279	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS238.002. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.280	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS239.003. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.281	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS2440.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.282	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS242.008. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.283	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS247.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.284	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS249.014. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.285	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS305.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.286	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS307.010. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.287	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS308.009. Disallow submission and do not amend amend the new development mapped area policies as follows: Policy 9.2.1.AA , Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii, Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii
....FS184.288	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS9.017. Allow submission and retain Change F2-6 (Provision of 3 waters connections to adjacent land).
....FS184.29	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS194.006 in part. Disallow submission and do not retain Change F2-1 (Performance standard for connections to stormwater) conditional on stormwater being discharged to the public network if available
....FS184.290	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS1.001 in part. Allow submission and add rules so dwellings built on green field sites are to collect rainwater in sizeable tanks as potable water to reduce impact on the 3 water issues, where practicable conditional on public health implications of untreated water are addressed
....FS184.291	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS13.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.292	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS191.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.293	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS193.006. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.294	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS204.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.295	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS219.013. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.296	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS223.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.297	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.298	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS232.006. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.299	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS238.004. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.300	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS239.005. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.301	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS240.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.302	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS241.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.303	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS242.006. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.304	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS247.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.305	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS249.012. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.306	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS305.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.307	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS307.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.308	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS308.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.309	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS220.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.
....FS184.310	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS263.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Y. unless consistent with Otago Regional Council Position.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.311	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.312	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.023. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.313	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.017. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.314	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.018. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.315	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.003. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.316	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.025. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.317	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.013. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.318	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.319	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.018. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.320	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.025. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.321	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.017. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.322	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.022. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.323	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.019. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.324	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS202.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.325	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS220.010. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.326	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS263.009. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.3.7.AA.
....FS184.327	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS189.021. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2)
....FS184.328	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS205.021. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2)
....FS184.329	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.016. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X
....FS184.330	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.010. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X
....FS184.331	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.010. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X
....FS184.332	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.004. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X
....FS184.333	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.018. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X
....FS184.334	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.019. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.335	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.006. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.336	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.010. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.337	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.017. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.338	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS286.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.339	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.014. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.340	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS295.008. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.341	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.011. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.X</i>
....FS184.342	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.015 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of “on-site”, clarify the letters “NDMA”, in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words “into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point”, add “integrated” before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)</i>
....FS184.343	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.009 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of “on-site”, clarify the letters “NDMA”, in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words “into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point”, add “integrated” before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)aspects of the relief requested which conflicts with ORC’s submission and relief as relates to stormwater management and the stormwater package of variation 2. (Submission point applies for subsequent submissions down to and including Kurt Bowen’s)</i>
....FS184.344	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.009 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of “on-site”, clarify the letters “NDMA”, in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words “into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point”, add “integrated” before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)</i>
....FS184.345	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.015 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of “on-site”, clarify the letters “NDMA”, in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words “into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point”, add “integrated” before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.346	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.017 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.347	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS230.008 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.348	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS264.005 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.349	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS270.009 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.350	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS282.016 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.351	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS286.008 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.352	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS291.013 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.353	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS295.007 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.354	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS300.010 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.Y. If amended ensure it is consistent with Otago Regional Council's position on stormwater management including: remove the limitation of "on-site", clarify the letters "NDMA", in paragraph a provide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.X, remove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point", add "integrated" before stormwater management system delete paragraph (b)
....FS184.355	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS9.015 in part. Disallow submission and do not retain Change F2-2.
....FS184.356	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS194.008 in part. Disallow submission and do not retain Change F2-2.
....FS184.357	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS235.006. Disallow submission and do not retain changes proposed for Rule 15.3.4.1.
....FS184.358	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.020. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.359	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.014. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.360	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.014. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.361	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.362	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.022. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.363	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.010. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.364	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.010. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.365	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.014. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.366	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.021. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.367	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.018. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.368	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.012. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.369	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.X.
....FS184.370	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS189.022. Disallow submission and do not retain Rule 9.9.X as notified.
....FS184.371	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS205.022. Disallow submission and do not retain Rule 9.9.X as notified.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.372	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS122.003 in part. Allow submission to add a provision so all development must have the same or better stormwater runoff rate as it had before development began.
....FS184.374	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.016. Disallow submission and not do proceed with the suggested amendments to Change F2-3.
....FS184.375	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS208.002. Allow submission and retain the proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.376	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.007. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.377	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.004. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.378	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.008. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.379	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.015. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.38	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.008. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete
....FS184.380	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.012. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.381	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.006. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.382	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.008. Disallow submission and do not allow proposed amendments to Change F2-3
....FS184.383	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS187.022. Allow submission and amend Change F2-3 to reflect amendments outlined
....FS184.384	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS187.023. Allow submission and amend Change F2-3 to reflect amendments outlined
....FS184.385	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.014. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to reflect suggested amendments.
....FS184.386	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to reflect suggested amendments.
....FS184.387	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.008. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to reflect suggested amendments.
....FS184.388	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.007. Disallow submission and do not amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to reflect suggested amendments.
....FS184.389	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS202.004. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity.
....FS184.390	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS220.006. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity.
....FS184.391	Otago Regional Council			I support this submission	Support OS263.006. Allow submission and amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity.
....FS184.392	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS189.019. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii)
....FS184.393	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS205.019. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii)
....FS184.394	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS189.023. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Rule 15.11.2.5(a)
....FS184.395	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS205.023. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Rule 15.11.2.5(a)

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.396	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS205.020. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Rule 9.6.2.2(a)</i>
....FS184.397	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS189.020. Disallow submission and do not allow the proposed amendments to Rule 9.6.2.2(a)</i>
....FS184.398	Otago Regional Council			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS9.016. Allow submission and retain change F2-3 subject to Otago Regional Councils requested amendments</i>
....FS184.399	Otago Regional Council			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS194.009. Allow submission and retain change F2-3 subject to Otago Regional Councils requested amendments</i>
....FS184.4	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS107.005. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 15.6.10 Maximum Building Site Coverage and Impermeable Surfaces, in the General Residential 1 Zone, to 45% (buildings and structures) and 75% (plus impermeable).</i>
....FS184.400	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS194.007. Disallow submission and do not remove Change F2-5.</i>
....FS184.401	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS283.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Change F2-5.</i>
....FS184.402	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.004. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.403	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.404	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.405	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS202.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.406	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS206.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.407	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS230.004. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.408	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS260.014. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.409	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.013. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.410	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.411	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.024. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.412	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS286.013. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.413	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS290.012. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.414	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.021. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.415	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS295.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.416	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS296.009. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.417	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.018. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X</i>
....FS184.418	Otago Regional Council			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS9.014. Allow submission and retain Change F2-7 conditional on implementing Otago Regional Council's relief for the stormwater provisions</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.419	Otago Regional Council			<i>I support this submission</i>	<i>Support OS194.014. Allow submission and retain Change F2-7 conditional on implementing Otago Regional Council's relief for the stormwater provisions</i>
....FS184.420	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.022. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.421	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.016. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.422	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.016. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.423	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.010. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.424	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS206.024. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.425	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.012. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.426	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.016. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.427	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.023. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.428	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.020. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.429	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.017. Disallow submission and do not add alternative F2-Alt2.</i>
....FS184.430	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS189.026. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 15.11.5.Z based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.431	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS205.026. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 15.11.5.Z based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.432	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS189.024. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.6.2.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.433	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS205.024. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.6.2.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.434	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.019. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.435	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.021. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.436	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.437	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.438	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.009. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.439	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS206.023. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.440	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS230.011. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.441	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.011. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.442	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.015. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.443	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.022. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.444	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS295.013. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.445	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.016. Disallow submission and do not amend Rule 9.9.Y based on proposed amendments</i>
....FS184.446	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.025. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.447	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.020. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.448	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.020. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.449	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.022. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.45	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS220.002. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X.</i>
....FS184.450	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS206.028. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.451	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS230.025. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.452	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.022. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.453	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.022. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.454	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.032. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.455	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.023. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.456	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS295.023. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.457	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.028. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.458	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS202.005. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.459	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS263.011. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.460	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS286.014. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.461	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS220.008. Disallow submission and do not amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include suggested amendments.</i>
....FS184.462	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS76.018. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.</i>
....FS184.463	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS79.012. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.</i>
....FS184.464	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS150.012. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.</i>
....FS184.465	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS203.021. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.466	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.020. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.467	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.020. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.468	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.008. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.469	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.012. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.470	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.019. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.471	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.011. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.472	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.015. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.473	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.010. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.474	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS300.013. Disallow submission and do not retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
....FS184.475	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS199.001. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NWRA7.
....FS184.49	Otago Regional Council			Support in part	Support OS231.002 in part. Allow submission and reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 conditional on o positive environmental performance and protection of groundwater resources and that on-site wastewater management should only be considered when the public infrastructure network is unavailable.
....FS184.514	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS150.006 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.515	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS206.012 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.516	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS282.011 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.517	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS286.021 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.518	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS290.009 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.519	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS300.005 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove or amend new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01- 13 and RTZ1, RTZ2)
....FS184.537	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS293.008. Disallow submission and do not remove changes on infrastructure matters, including all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
....FS184.538	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS283.011. Disallow submission and do not remove changes on infrastructure matters, including all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
....FS184.539	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS298.007. Disallow submission and do not remove changes on infrastructure matters, including all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions.
....FS184.540	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS302.007. Disallow submission and do not remove changes on infrastructure matters (including all proposed changes to 3 waters).
....FS184.541	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS220.003. Disallow submission to amend the New Development Mapped Area provisions based on Council's full understanding of the infrastructural model and constraints as it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on the stormwater provisions of Variation 2
....FS184.542	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS273.008 in part. Disallow submission to remove the new development mapped area provisions it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on stormwater provisions

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.543	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS283.010 in part. Disallow submission to remove the new development mapped area provisions it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on stormwater provisions
....FS184.544	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS298.006 in part. Disallow submission to remove the new development mapped area provisions it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on stormwater provisions
....FS184.545	Otago Regional Council			Oppose in part	Oppose OS302.006 in part. Disallow submission to remove the new development mapped area provisions it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on stormwater provisions
....FS184.548	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.008. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.
....FS184.549	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.020. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities
....FS184.550	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.003. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities
....FS184.551	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.019. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities
....FS184.552	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS283.008. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities
....FS184.553	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.018. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities
....FS184.554	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS76.026. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.555	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS79.022. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.556	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS150.023. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding any new greenfield land.
....FS184.557	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS203.018. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.558	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS206.031. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.559	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS230.017. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.560	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS260.012. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.561	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS264.018. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.562	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS270.024. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.563	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS282.029. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.564	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS283.012. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.565	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS286.019. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.566	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS290.010. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.567	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS291.006. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.
....FS184.568	Otago Regional Council			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS295.017. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
....FS184.569	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS296.012. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.</i>
....FS184.570	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.025. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, excluding new greenfield land.</i>
....FS184.62	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in Part</i>	<i>Support OS248.003 in part. Allow submission to reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 to encourage on-site wastewater disposal conditional on the policy recognizes consideration must be given to positive environmental performance and protection of groundwater resources and that on-site wastewater management should only be considered when the public infrastructure network is unavailable.</i>
....FS184.65	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>Support OS256.003 in part. Allow submission to reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 conditional on consideration must be given to positive environmental performance and protection of groundwater resources and that on-site wastewater management should only be considered when the public infrastructure network is unavailable.</i>
....FS184.66	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>Support OS257.003 in part. Allow submission to reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 conditional on consideration must be given to positive environmental performance and protection of groundwater resources and that on-site wastewater management should only be considered when the public infrastructure network is unavailable.</i>
....FS184.67	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS260.011. Disallow submission and do not remove e the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.</i>
....FS184.68	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS263.003. Disallow submission and do not remove Rule 15.4.X.</i>
....FS184.69	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS264.001 in part. Disallow submission and do not remove Change NDMA05. If amended ensure that adequate infrastructure (including for wastewater and stormwater) must be provided to avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development</i>
....FS184.70	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS270.002. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities</i>
....FS184.71	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS273.009. Disallow submission and do not remove changes on infrastructure matters, including all proposed changes to 3 waters provisions</i>
....FS184.77	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS282.007. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.</i>
....FS184.79	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS286.006. Disallow submission and do not remove Policy 9.2.1.BB.</i>
....FS184.80	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS290.007. Disallow submission and do not remove e the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities</i>
....FS184.81	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS291.005. Disallow submission and do not remove infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities</i>
....FS184.82	Otago Regional Council			<i>Oppose in part</i>	<i>Oppose OS293.006. Disallow submission to remove the new development mapped area provisions it conflicts with Otago Regional Council's submission on the stormwater provisions of Variation 2</i>
....FS184.83	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS295.001. Disallow submission and do not remove e the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.</i>
....FS184.84	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS296.008. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.</i>
....FS184.88	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS300.001. Disallow submission and do not remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities.</i>
....FS184.90	Otago Regional Council			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>Support OS304.002 in part. Allow submission to reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 conditional on the policy recognizes that consideration must be given to positive environmental performance and protection of groundwater resources and that on-site wastewater management should only be considered when the public infrastructure network is unavailable.</i>
....FS184.95	Otago Regional Council			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS43.001. Disallow submission and do not add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention)</i>

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S271.001	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	Miscellaneous	Add a change	Amend the stormwater package to contain the following key elements:1. Where a connection is available to DCC's stormwater network, that connection must be used;2. Where a connection is not available, then, subdivision, use and development should not proceed without a stormwater management plan being approved through a resource consent process;3. At a minimum, a restricted discretionary activity status should apply;4. ORC should be recognised and treated as an affected party on any such consent application;5. Implementation of the plan must be a condition of the consent;6. The plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified chartered engineer with qualifications and experience in hydrology, hydraulics, and stormwater management, design and construction;7. The objective of the plan must be to ensure there is no change in hydrological characteristics of the site;8. There must be no adverse effect on people, property, river levels and flows, land drainage schemes and flood protection schemes;9. The plan must address existing flow paths and on-site storage, whether natural or otherwise;10. The plan must provide for all stormwater from impermeable or semi-impermeable surfaces including roads, driveways, parks, access lots and the like;11. The plan must ensure that there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site as a result of subdivision, use and development;12. The plan must expressly anticipate and provide for climate change;13. Where ORC assets constitute, directly or indirectly, part of the receiving environment, the plan must ensure that there is no adverse effect on their capacity or the effectiveness and efficiency of their operation;14. There must be an ability to impose conditions to ensure the plan is implemented before subdivision, development or change of use;15.The stormwater assets must vest in the DCC to provide an ongoing assurance of maintenance and effective operation;16. The system must ensure good water quality; and17. The plan must provide for the contingency that the system fails to achieve its objective of ensuring there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site.
S271.005	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA (Stormwater) so that reserves, access, network utilities and roads are included in stormwater management plans and systems required by this variation.
S271.006	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-1	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-1 (Performance standard for connections to stormwater) to require connections to DCC's stormwater network, if available.
S271.007	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.2.2.Y (Change F2-2) to read as follows:Require Enable and encourage on-site low impact design stormwater management through policies and assessment rules that require for stormwater management in new development mapped areas.
S271.008	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Retain the part of Policy 2.2.5.2 related to stormwater management and make associated changes elsewhere in the plan (including being merged into Objective 2.2.2 and any consequential policy amendments of that Objective).
S271.009	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.X by the deletion of "on-site" in this policy and elsewhere in the "stormwater package".
S271.010	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to:remove the limitation of "on-site"clarify the letters "NDMA" in paragraph aprovide for no change in hydrological effect from the subdivision. Other factors such as volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration must be included. See further the submission on 9.9.Xremove the words "into the stormwater public infrastructure at any point"add "integrated" before stormwater management systemdelete paragraph (b)
S271.011	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.X to:replace "Require" with "only allow"clarify differences in wording between 9.2.1.Y and 9.2.1.Z to avoid confusiondelete "communal"delete "on-site"
S271.012	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA Stormwater and Note 9.3.7.AAA - General advice and other requirements outside of the District Plan to:reword clause a of Rule 9.3.7.AA to read "in a new development mapped area with more than 60m2 of impermeable surface in total, all development must connect ..."delete "communal"add "integrated" before stormwater management systemcover development without subdivisionmake a stormwater management plan mandatory for new development mapped areasrequire a restricted discretionary activity consent for any development creating impermeable surface area greater than 60m2add after "stormwater management system" the words "installed in accordance with a subdivision consent for the new development mapped area"

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S271.013	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z Assessment of performance standard contraventions - In a new development mapped area: Service connections - stormwater (Rule 9.3.7.AA), to give effect to the changes sought in this submission.
S271.014	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X Assessment of restricted discretionary activities - In a new development mapped area: All subdivision activities, to give effect to the changes sought in this submission
S271.015	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) to ensure:the requirements of 9.9.X are approved through a consent process in all the circumstances set out in policies 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.Y and 9.2.1.Xthe activity status is at least restricted discretionaryORC is recognised as an affected party to any consent application requiring a stormwater management planthere an objective of, no change in hydrological characteristics of the site (including peak flow, volume of runoff, duration, and time of concentration) in all situations (not limited to the 1% AEP event)bottom lines of no impact on the receiving environment, in particular people, property, river levels and flows, drainage schemes and flood protection schemeswhere the discharge will affect, directly or indirectly, ORC infrastructure, the plan must ensure its capacity will not be exceeded and that the ORC assets can operate effectively and efficiently in all situations, not just 1% AEP eventsprovision is made for climate change including more frequent and more intense rainfall eventsthe system provides for stormwater runoff from all impermeable and semi-impermeable surfaces including roads, vehicles parks, access lots, driveways and the likethe stormwater plan is prepared by a chartered engineer with qualifications and experience in hydrology, hydraulics, and stormwater management, design and constructionwater coming on to the site or ponding on site is accounted for in the plan and accommodated by the new stormwater infrastructurestormwater quality is, as a minimum, no worse post development than pre-developmentmethods to achieve the prescribed quality of the stormwater discharged form part of the infrastructurestormwater assets are vested in DCC (not private or commercial schemes)the plan provides for a contingency, in the event that the system fails to achieve its objective of ensuring that there is no change in the hydrological characteristics of the site
S271.016	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.3.4.1 (Development Activity Status Table - Performance standards that apply to all development activities), to give effect to the other submissions made on the stormwater package
S271.017	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.6.X Service Connections - Stormwater to give effect to the other submissions made on the stormwater package
S271.018	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend rules 15.10.4.Y and 15.11.5.Y to ensure the cross reference to Rule 9.5 provides greater precision.
S271.019	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the Public Infrastructure definition by:removing the word "may" to avoid doubt about whether drains and open channels are included or not; andmaking it clear that the definition applies only to DCC infrastructure and does (not) extend to ORC assets.
S271.020	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.2.
S271.021	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Add a change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(b) to provide that if the stormwater flows into any ORC drain or any part of ORC flood management protection scheme, there must be capacity and no adverse effect on the drain or scheme. If the stormwater discharges into any river, there must be no change in flows or levels as a result of the activity.
S271.022	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Assessment Rules 9.4.1.1, 9.5.3.11, 9.6.2.2, 9.8.2.5 and 27.11.3.1 to align with new Policy 9.2.1.Z, if amended in accordance with this submission.
S271.023	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.10.4.10, to clarify which part of 9.5 is specifically intended to be referenced.
S271.024	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.11.2.5.a, so that the precise part of Rule 9.6 which is relevant is specified.
S271.025	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change	Retain Rule 15.11.2.5.X as notified.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S271.026	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.3.X to be precise which part of Rule 9.6 is relevant.
S271.028	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend assessment Rule 15.11.4.1.C and Rule 15.11.4.1.X so that the matters of discretion include subdivision activities and stormwater management and the precise part of Rule 9.6 which is relevant is specified.
S271.029	Otago Regional Council	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2 and make any associated changes elsewhere in the plan. It is acceptable to ORC if it is merged into Objective 2.2.2.
S271.030	Otago Regional Council	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F3-2 (Wastewater detention in selected large greenfield areas) to ensure:a. "communal" is deleted and replaced with 'integrated' in reference to wastewater detention systemsb. wastewater detention systems are vested with the DCC.
S271.031	Otago Regional Council	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-5 (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline) Rule 15.4.X so that it applies to both development and subdivision.
S305.007	Outram Developments Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S305.008	Outram Developments Limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S305.009	Outram Developments Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S206.008	Paterson Pitts Group	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S206.009	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S206.010	Paterson Pitts Group	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S206.011	Paterson Pitts Group	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMAs on existing RTZ land – NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S206.012	Paterson Pitts Group	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMAs or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S206.014	Paterson Pitts Group	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission
S206.015	Paterson Pitts Group	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S206.016	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S206.017	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S206.018	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S206.019	Paterson Pitts Group	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.020	Paterson Pitts Group	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S206.021	Paterson Pitts Group	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S206.022	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.023	Paterson Pitts Group	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S206.024	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S206.025	Paterson Pitts Group	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S206.028	Paterson Pitts Group	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S206.031	Paterson Pitts Group	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
....FS191.6	Paul Newall			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
....FS191.7	Paul Newall			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
....FS191.8	Paul Newall			<i>I oppose this submission</i>	<i>Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.</i>
S107.005	Penny Turner	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.6.10 Maximum Building Site Coverage and Impermeable Surfaces, in the General Residential 1 Zone, to 45% (buildings and structures) and 75% (plus impermeable)
S307.008	Peter Doherty	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S307.009	Peter Doherty	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped areas) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S307.010	Peter Doherty	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND 2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S122.003	Peter Dowden	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Add a change	Add a provision so all development must have the same or better stormwater runoff rate per unit of area as it had before development began.
S43.001	Peter Schwartz	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention).
S205.013	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as notified.
S205.014	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S205.015	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.4 as notified.
S205.016	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.4A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.4A(b)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S205.017	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.6.
S205.018	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text “effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure” with “effects on the capacity of infrastructure”.
S205.019	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii) to read:any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated;
S205.020	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.2(a) to read:Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater)
S205.021	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2) to read:any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated
S205.022	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as notified.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S205.023	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.2.5(a) to read: Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater)
S205.024	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.Y to remove the focus on “efficiency”, which will create interpretation issues, and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S205.025	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9Y as notified.
S205.026	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.5.Z to remove the focus on “efficiency” and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S191.007	Roger and Janine Southby	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND 3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development). 5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S191.008	Roger and Janine Southby	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows: 1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND 2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure. and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S191.009	Roger and Janine Southby	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
....FS207.7	Roger Bailey (The Bailey Family Trust)			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.008. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.
....FS207.8	Roger Bailey (The Bailey Family Trust)			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.009. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsfordas Hill Slopes Rural.
....FS207.9	Roger Bailey (The Bailey Family Trust)			I oppose this submission	Oppose OS228.010. Disallow submission and retain current zoning of the area north of Freeman Close & Lambert Street, Abbotsford as Hill Slopes Rural.
S204.007	Ron Balchin	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S204.008	Ron Balchin	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S204.009	Ron Balchin	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S249.012	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S249.013	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S249.014	Ross McLeary & COF Ltd & Scroggs Hill Farm Ltd	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S189.013	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.1 as notified.
S189.014	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.1A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.1A(c)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S189.015	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.4 as notified.
S189.016	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Amend Policy 9.2.1.4A, to clarify that agreement from the Council (in 9.2.1.4A(b)) shall not be unreasonably withheld.
S189.017	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change	Retain the deletion of Policy 9.2.1.6.
S189.018	Ryman Healthcare limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new assessment rule 9.6.2.Z to replace the text “effects on efficiency and affordability of infrastructure” with “effects on the capacity of infrastructure”.
S189.019	Ryman Healthcare limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z(a)(ii) to read:any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor.
S189.020	Ryman Healthcare limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.2(a) to read:Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater).
S189.021	Ryman Healthcare limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.X(1)(2) to read:any adverse effects from an increase in discharge on the stormwater public infrastructure are no more than minor suitably attenuated
S189.022	Ryman Healthcare limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as notified.
S189.023	Ryman Healthcare limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.2.5(a) to read:Effects on efficiency and affordability capacity of infrastructure (stormwater)
S189.024	Ryman Healthcare limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.6.2.Y to remove the focus on “efficiency”, which will create interpretation issues, and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S189.025	Ryman Healthcare limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change	Retain Rule 9.9Y as notified.
S189.026	Ryman Healthcare limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 15.11.5.Z to remove the focus on “efficiency” and clarify that the focus should be on the capacity of infrastructure and the ability to suitable attenuate any adverse effects.
S224.002	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.X (Telecommunications and power) as follows:a. Subdivision activities must provide all resultant sites with telecommunication (including UltraFast Broadband where available) and power supply to the site boundary.b. Activities that contravene this performance standard are restricted discretionary activities.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S224.003	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.5.3.12 (assessment of performance standard contravention for service connections) by providing guidance for assessing applications where telecommunications or power connections cannot be adequately provided. In regard general assessment guidance for telecommunications, suggested wording is as follows:The adequacy of telecommunications infrastructure connections to any new lot or site, and where not able to be provided the methods by which prospective purchasers of a lot or site are to be informed if these connections are not available.
S282.007	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S282.009	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing residential land - NDMA02, 03 and 05	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas that are applied to land already zoned to allow residential development (NDMA02,03 and 05), or if not removed amend the requirements as follows:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the submission land where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S282.010	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA's on existing RTZ land – NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove the new development mapped areas from the existing Residential Transition Overlay Zones (Changes NDMA04, 06-10, 12-15), or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S282.011	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMA's or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S282.013	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.014	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S282.015	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.016	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield site and;2. where Council's stormwater modelling demonstrates that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S282.017	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to the integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S282.018	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer is not subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.019	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S282.020	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S282.021	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).3.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"4. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S282.022	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S282.023	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S282.024	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S282.025	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S282.029	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S282.032	Survey & Spatial New Zealand (STSNZ) Coastal Otago Branch	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S220.001	Terramark Limited	Miscellaneous	All 3 Waters changes	Add a change	Add provisions to enable 1. That Council upgrade the network to enable the proposed development permitted under Variation 2, and 2. That infrastructural upgrades are funded by either development contributions and rates, and 3. That network upgrades are not a condition of development.
S220.002	Terramark Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S220.003	Terramark Limited	Miscellaneous	All NDMA changes	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend the New Development Mapped Area provisions based on Council's full understanding of the infrastructural model and constraints (complete infrastructure modelling at Council's cost).
S220.005	Terramark Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.1A as notified.
S220.006	Terramark Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Z to remove any ambiguity about how the policy is to be interpreted.
S220.007	Terramark Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y to be specific to certain NDMA sites where:1. the land in question is a new greenfields site, and2. Council's stormwater modelling can clearly show that development of the site (without stormwater controls) is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream
S220.008	Terramark Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z, to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard.2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S220.010	Terramark Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include the following:1. Consideration of alternative servicing arrangements, where these are recognised as being acceptable. This may require an assessment matter for the applicant to demonstrate that the alternative solution will achieve a particular standard.2. It should be recognised that a number of these alternative solutions are better implemented at the time of building (rather than at the time of subdivision). Accordingly, the inclusion of a provision that recognises the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process is also sought.
S203.001	Tom and Loretta Richardson	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA5	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Change NDMA 05 (addition of a new development mapped area at Dalziel Road) by:1. Adding a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the greenfield areas where the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the current zone density expectations. This would maintain the status quo until such time as a developer proposed a density of residential activity that exceeds the current zone allowance.2. Restructure the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for example, specify nominally sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).3. Rezone the submission land to a residential zone that provides for a greater development density than the current zone, which might then justify the application of an NDMA overlay and/or a greater degree of infrastructure control. Then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S203.002	Tom and Loretta Richardson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policies 9.2.1.1.X and 9.2.1.1A relating to land use or subdivision activities within or outside the wastewater serviced areas or amend the provisions to require Council to provide adequate network infrastructure following a notice of development intent from the landowner, and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.003	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.3.7.AA to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for stormwater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S203.004	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.X which requires development in a new development mapped area to be connected to an integrated communal on-site stormwater management system.
S203.005	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-3	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Change F2-3 (Rules for residential stormwater management other than in large greenfield) provisions 9.2.1.Z, 9.2.1.z.b, and 9.6 so that the assessment of effects of stormwater is limited to a nominated distance from the point of development discharge and to clarify 9.2.1.Z.b to ensure that it does not always trigger the need for an assessment (as all stormwater flows end up in a river, lake, harbour or ocean and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.006	Tom and Loretta Richardson	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Retain Policy 9.2.1.BB provided that the assumption that the new development mapped areas have been correctly assessed by Council in respect of wastewater requirements.
S203.007	Tom and Loretta Richardson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA to introduce a 'developer contributions clawback' arrangement to ensure that the first developer isn't subject to a large proportion of the infrastructure costs and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S203.008	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2 and F2-3 (Rule 9.9.X)	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.X (special information requirements for stormwater management plans) as follows:1. Amend 9.9.X.1 to reflect recommended changes to policy 9.2.1.Y;2. Amend 9.9.X.2 to remove clause (a), restrict clause (b) to only certain categories of NDMA's, remove clause (d) and (e).3. Amend 9.9.X.3 to only apply to those New Development Mapped Areas that comprise greenfield sites and which have well understood stormwater constraints AND:Amend clause (a) to read: be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer, surveyor or other land development professional chartered engineer or other suitably qualified personAmend clause (c) to provide the ability for the developer to proceed with a stormwater solution on his/her land only, in the event that other owners do not agree to an overall NDMA solution, OR provide the ability for Council to compulsorily acquire land for infrastructure from other landowners and implement a cost-sharing agreement between the NDMA landowners using specially designed development contribution charges (allowing clawback of infrastructure costs by Council).4.Amend 9.9.X.3.d as follows:Clauses (i) and (ii) should be amended to require the calculation of pre-development flows at a 10% AEP for the critical storm duration of the development site (i.e not the critical storm duration of the broader catchment). The critical storm duration of the development site will be equal to the time of concentration (ToC) across the development site. Where the stormwater management plan relates to a greenfields NDMA site, then the critical storm duration of the broader catchment should also be assessed.Amend clause (iii) as follows: "...for the purposes of this requirement 'critical storm duration' means the duration of rainfall event likely to cause the highest peak flows or water levels"5. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.e as follows: "assess the difference between pre-development flows and post-development flows, taking into account the maximum impermeable surfaces permitted in the underlying zone or reasonable alternative if justification is provided and any proposed roading or accessways for the subdivision area (or in a new development mapped area, for the entire NDMA)"6. Amend clause 9.9.X.3.i and 9.9.X.3.k by providing examples of methods for stormwater quality treatment and clarify the expected degree of success.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.009	Tom and Loretta Richardson	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Rule 9.9.Y as follows:1. Rule 9.9.Y.1 should only refer to those New Development Mapped Areas that do not have existing residential connection rights (at the density currently allowed).2. Amend 9.9.Y.2 as follows: "The wastewater management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer or other land development professional chartered engineer and meet the following..."3. Amend 9.9.Y.3 to allow alternative options if the written approval of all landowners cannot be obtained i.e with onsite solutions or for the council to acquire land for infrastructure.and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S203.010	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-Alt-2	Add a change	Add Alternative F2-Alt2 (Performance standard for on-site stormwater detention) to consider alternative methods of stormwater management such as installing detention tanks for stormwater detention onsite. This should be required on all but the new proposed greenfield development sites.
S203.011	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S203.015	Tom and Loretta Richardson	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Policy 9.2.1.Y or amend to only require on-site stormwater management systems where:1. the area of development is within a new greenfield sites and;2. where Council's modelling demonstrates that development of the site is likely to lead to unacceptable adverse effects downstream.All other greenfield sites should have either no stormwater infrastructure requirements or be required to meet a performance standard related to a standardised 'roof detention tank per site'.
S203.016	Tom and Loretta Richardson	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F1-2	Reject the change	Remove Policy 9.2.1.4, Policy 9.2.1.4A and any such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission such as Rules 9.5.3, 9.6.2, 9.7.4.
S203.018	Tom and Loretta Richardson	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S203.020	Tom and Loretta Richardson	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S203.021	Tom and Loretta Richardson	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	Accept the change	Retain Policy 9.2.1.3 as notified.
S203.022	Tom and Loretta Richardson	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-1	Add a change	Amend rules 9.3.7.X, 9.3.7.Y and 9.3.7.Z to include options for suitable alternative servicing arrangements for telecommunications, electricity, water supply, and wastewater and include a provision that enables the use of a consent notice to require installation of service connections as part of the building process, rather than requiring these to be installed at the time of subdivision.
S256.003	Tony Steven McFadgen (Ocean Park Trust)	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-6 & F2-2 (Policy 2.2.5.2)	Reject the change	Reinstate Policy 2.2.5.2, which encourages on-site wastewater disposal.
S28.003	Transpower New Zealand Limited	10. Minor 3 waters changes	F1-3	If the change is not rejected, amend	Amend Policy 9.2.1.3 to read as follows: Require subdivision activities to ensure future land use and development activities: X. have access to National Grid the electricity network and telecommunications network and do not compromise the ability to gain access to the National Grid for the purpose of its operation, maintenance and development; andY. in areas where there is water or wastewater... (as notified)Z. unless for either (X) or (Y), allowing development... (as notified) Make a consequential amendment to Rule 9.5.3 Assessment of performance standard contraventions (12 Service Connections).
S195.001	Valerie Joyce Dempster	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA10	Reject the change	Remove Change NDMA10 (addition of a new development mapped area at Taieri Road).
S290.007	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete.
S290.008	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA14	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove Change NDMA14 (addition of a new development mapped area at St Albans Street North) from 34 Ettrick Street, or if not removed, amend the provisions as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the NDMA/infrastructure control provisions while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the Residential Transition zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped areas/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing-use-rights associated with the land and re-design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site); OR3. Rezone the land to the intended Residential Transition Zone and then re-design the stormwater management plan provisions to result in a workable arrangement.
S290.009	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	13. Assessment of sites for rezoning to residential	Intensified residential land subject to NDMA's or new infrastructure controls – IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2	If the change is not rejected, amend	Remove new development mapped areas or greater infrastructure controls from intensified residential land (IN01-13 and RTZ1, RTZ2), or if not removed amend as follows:1. Insert a provision that exempts any development and/or subdivision within the land from the requirements of the New Development mapped area while the density of the development and/or subdivision is consistent with the existing zone density expectations; OR2. Restructure the New Development mapped area/infrastructure control provisions into a form that recognises that there are existing use rights associated with the land and design the new controls in such a manner as to minimise development cost increases (for instance, specify nominally-sized rooftop water detention tanks on each developed site).
S290.010	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	Miscellaneous	Infrastructure controls	Reject the change	Remove the infrastructure controls from all new development and subdivision activities, except where the infrastructure relates to new greenfields land (and until such time as Council's knowledge in respect of the area of constraint is complete).
S290.012	Victoria Jane and Pera Paul Manahera Eden	8. Stormwater package	F2-5	Reject the change	Remove Rule 15.4.X (Impermeable surfaces permitted baseline).
S235.006	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change	Retain the changes proposed for Rule 15.3.4.1 Development Activity Status Table - Performance standards that apply to all development activities.
S235.012	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA3	Accept the change	Retain Change NDMA03 (apply a new development mapped area at Patmos Avenue, Pine Hill) provided other points in this submission are adopted.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S235.013	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	4. Changes for subdivision of large greenfield areas	NDMA8	Accept the change	Retain Change NDMA08 (addition of a new development mapped area at Pine Hill) provided other points in this submission are adopted regarding increased consideration of state highways.
S228.008	Wendy Campbell	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online;AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S228.009	Wendy Campbell	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S228.010	Wendy Campbell	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.

Appendix B

All Submissions in First Name Order

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Reporting Topic	Change ID	Support/Oppose	Decision Requested
S238.002	Willowcroft Limited	7. General changes to 3 waters provisions	F2-6	Accept the change with amendments	Amend the new development mapped area policies as follows:1. Amend Policy 9.2.1.AA as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development where necessary'; AND2. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to and provide capacity for, future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)'; AND3. Amend Rule 9.6.2.X.a.iii as follows: 'Only allow subdivision in a new development mapped area where any new public or private 3-waters infrastructure is designed to connect to, and provide capacity for future urban development within the subject new development mapped area on adjoining or nearby sites that are zoned for urban development, where necessary (Policy 9.2.1.AA)' and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.003	Willowcroft Limited	9. Wastewater package	F3-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 2.7.1.2.Y (provisions that relate to new development mapped area) as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure.and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
S238.004	Willowcroft Limited	8. Stormwater package	F2-2	Accept the change with amendments	Amend Policy 9.2.1.Y and other stormwater related policies for sites within a new development mapped area as follows:1. Provide a claw-back mechanism whereby when the developer of infrastructure in a new development mapped area with multiple owners vests that infrastructure in Dunedin City Council, Dunedin City Council pays that developer for the infrastructure (less than the developer's pro rata share) and Dunedin City Council claws-back the cost of that infrastructure via development contributions as the other land within that New Development Mapped Area comes online; AND2. Provide a mechanism whereby the Dunedin City Council can compulsorily acquire easements in New Development Mapped Areas for new infrastructure; AND3. Amend Rule 9.9.X.3.c as follows: for a new development mapped area (NDMA), address the whole NDMA area, and be submitted along with the written approval of all owners of land within the new development mapped area unless they are the applicant/s '4. Remove the requirement for infrastructure to be installed prior to subdivision consent (Policy 9.2.1.Y requires installation of a communal stormwater management system prior to development).5. Amend Rule 9.5.3.Z.a as follows: 'Effectiveness and efficiency of stormwater management and effects of stormwater from future development within the subject new development mapped area'and such further, alternative, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.