## In the Environment Court of New Zealand Christchurch Registry

## I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-214

Under

the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

In the matter of

appeals under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the RMA in relation to the proposed Second Generation Dunedin City

District Plan (2GP)

Between

Parata

**Appellant** 

And

**Dunedin City Council** 

Respondent

## Affidavit of Dr Anna Louise Johnson

10 September 2019

Respondent's solicitors:

Michael Garbett | Rachel Brooking
Anderson Lloyd
Level 10, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016
Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054
DX Box YX10107 Dunedin
p + 64 3 477 3973 | f + 64 3 477 3184
michael.garbett@al.nz | rachel.brooking@al.nz



- I, **Dr Anna Louise Johnson** of Dunedin, City Development Manager, hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm:
- 1 I am the City Development Manager at Dunedin City Council (DCC).
- I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014. This evidence has been prepared in accordance with it and I agree to comply with it. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.
- I hold the qualifications of Bachelor of Science, Political Science and Environmental Studies received from the University of Oregon, Postgraduate Certification (Ecology) received from Otago University and PhD received from the Otago University (Thesis title: Public Involvement in Environmental Impact Assessment: An examination of public involvement in the resource consents process of the Resource Management Act 1991).
- I have over 17 years' experience in the areas of RMA planning, growth planning, community engagement, and planning research, including 10 years as City Development Manager at Dunedin City Council.
- I have been asked to review the affidavit prepared by Emma Christmas in relation to the appeal by Anthony Parata.
- I record that I agree with her assessment of the relationship between, and impact on, the proposed amendments and the 2GP's strategic directions objectives and policies. In particular, that the proposed amendments are intended to more effectively achieve the Plan's objectives, including strategic direction Objective 2.7.1; and that the provisions in section 6, 9 and 17 as proposed to be amended still reflect the approach outlined in strategic direction Policy 2.7.1.3. These amendments do not, therefore, require any change to the Plan's strategic directions.

Affirmed at Dunedin )

By Dr Anna Louise Johnson )

this 10th day of September 2019 )

before me:

H2 & MICEON - SOURDE MERCHOUGH

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand