Georgia Cassidy

From: Rob Enright <rob@publiclaw9.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 3 December 2019 8:19 AM

To: Derek McLachlan

Cc: Michael Garbett; craigwerner.ww@gmail.com; Jackie.StJohn@oceanagold.com;

philip@aukaha.co.nz; Alastair Logan; Phil Page; Simon Peirce; kreilly@fedfarm.org.nz; stopincsoc@gmail.com; 2GPCorrespondence@dcc.govt.nz; 2gpappeals@dcc.govt.nz; Di

Lucas

Subject: Re: ENV-2018-CHC-285 - The Preservation Coalition Trust v Dunedin City Council

morena, in reply to the University's memorandum:

(1) I have set out below verbatim the PCT reply prepared by Trustees to the Bathgate affidavit.

(2) As previously indicated, PCT does not propose to prepare a coastal environment map, because there is a jurisdictional argument about scope. Council has proposed a staged approach to caucusing the extent of coastal environment. The first stage is spatially identified by the Council's marked-up caucusing statement.

M.Bathgate 11.11.19 Affidavit. PCT Response. 02.12.19

Para, 32

The affidavit neglects informing Court that weeks prior, PCT provided the D.Lucas Aug. 2019 report on RR2 assessment, and the clarifying mapping of RR1 above St. Leonards to "refine the extent of relief". Error of omission repeated in Appendix A, pg. 10.

Para. 33

PCT disputes the DCC characterisation of the PCT's ONL proposal Attach, Map 2 in terms of two 2GP SNLs, and dividing this single issue into separate DCC points 75 and 82. PCT Map2 ONL boundaries do not exactly match these SNLs and therefore we dispute this characterisation. This erroneous characterisation is repeated in table Appendix A, pg. 9. Para, 39

This entry again ignores the D. Lucas RR2 report.

Appendix A. Table.

Pg. 10

PCT disputes that appeal point 91 has been agreed to be limited to wording in policies 2.6.1.3-5, re-drafted as 2.6.1.3.& 4 by DCC and Galloway Cook 274 representatives planning consultant E. Peters.. (PCT excluded).

Pg. 12

PCT disagrees with appeal pt. 341 being retained in Group 3. Also, other Bathgate affidavit suggestions that other Group 3 points merit inclusion in Group 1 is supported. PCT supports a single hearing on all appeal points.

Rob Enright

Barrister

m: +64 21 276 5787

Magdalene Chambers

Tāmaki Makaurau & Wānaka

Auckland offices:

Generator, Level 1, 28 Customs St, Auckland

This email may be confidential and subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient please advise us of your receipt and immediately delete.

On Mon, Dec 2, 2019 at 5:01 PM Derek McLachlan < Derek. McLachlan@gallawaycookallan.co.nz > wrote:

Dear Chrissie,

Please see **attached**, for filing and service, the Memorandum of Counsel for University of Otago in relation to conferencing.

Kind regards

Derek McLachlan

Solicitor

Mobile 027 631 6176 | DDI 03 974 6913 | derek.mclachlan@gallawaycookallan.co.nz



GALLAWAY COOK ALLAN LAWYERS

Level 2, 123 Vogel Street | P O Box 143, Dunedin 9054, NZ | Ph 03 477 7312 | Fax: 03 477 5564

www.gallawaycookallan.co.nz



From: Rebecca Foster [mailto:rebecca.foster@al.nz] On Behalf Of Michael Garbett

Sent: Monday, 2 December 2019 4:34 PM

To: Christine.McKee@justice.govt.nz; rob@publiclaw9.com; craigwerner.ww@gmail.com;

<u>Jackie.StJohn@oceanagold.com</u>; <u>philip@aukaha.co.nz</u>; Alastair Logan; Derek McLachlan; Phil Page; Simon Peirce;

kreilly@fedfarm.org.nz; stopincsoc@gmail.com

Cc: <u>2GPCorrespondence@dcc.govt.nz</u>; <u>2gpappeals@dcc.govt.nz</u>

Subject: RE: ENV-2018-CHC-285 - The Preservation Coalition Trust v Dunedin City Council

Attached for refiling and service is the report from Counsel for Dunedin City Council relating to conferencing with a change made to the dates in paragraph 6(c), which was incorrect in the version previously filed.

Kind regards,

Michael Garbett

Partner

Anderson Lloyd

d +64 3 467 7173 m +64 27 668 9752 f +64 3 477 3184 Level 10, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016 Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand

e <u>michael.garbett@al.nz</u> | al.nz

This email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this email in error then please: do not disclose the contents to anyone; notify the sender by return email; and delete this email from your system. Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.
From: rebecca.foster@al.nz
Sent: Monday, 2 December 2019 3:27 PM
To: Christine.McKee@justice.govt.nz; rob@publiclaw9.com; craigwerner.ww@gmail.com;
Jackie.StJohn@oceanagold.com; philip@aukaha.co.nz; alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz;
derek.mclachlan@gallawaycookallan.co.nz; Phil.Page@gallawaycookallan.co.nz;
simon.peirce@gallawaycookallan.co.nz; kreilly@fedfarm.org.nz; stopincsoc@gmail.com
Cc: 2GPCorrespondence@dcc.govt.nz; 2gpappeals@dcc.govt.nz Subject: ENIV 2019, CHC 295. The Presentation Coalition Trust v Dunedin City Council
Subject: ENV-2018-CHC-285 - The Preservation Coalition Trust v Dunedin City Council
Good afternoon,
Attached for filing and service is a report from Counsel for Dunedin City Council relating to conferencing.
Kind regards,
New <u>Anti-Money Laundering (AML) legislation</u> came into effect as of July 1st 2018 and will apply to all law firms. This requires us to obtain certain personal information from you before proceeding with any work on your behalf. Read more <u>here</u> .
This email and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged. Gallaway Cook Allan accepts no responsibility for changes made to this email or to any attachments after transmission from its offices. If you are not the intended recipient please tell us immediately and then delete this email. Thank you