

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-214

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)
In the matter of	appeals under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the RMA in relation to the proposed Second Generation Dunedin City District Plan (2GP)
Between	Parata Appellant
And	Dunedin City Council Respondent

Supplementary affidavit of Emma Christmas relating to Parata consultation memorandum

Affirmed 22nd November 2019

Respondent's solicitors:

Michael Garbett | Rachel Brooking
Anderson Lloyd
Level 10, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016
Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054
DX Box YX10107 Dunedin
p + 64 3 477 3973 | f + 64 3 477 3184
michael.garbett@al.nz | rachel.brooking@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

I, **Emma Christmas** of Dunedin, Policy Planner, hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm:

- 1 I am Policy Planner at Dunedin City Council (**DCC**).
- 2 I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014. This evidence has been prepared in accordance with it and I agree to comply with it. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.
- 3 I have been employed by Dunedin City Council as a policy planner for six years. During this time I have primarily worked on drafting the 2GP, assessing submissions, preparing and presenting section 42A reports and working on the appeals. Prior to this, I was self-employed as a planner for 10 years, working mainly on consent applications. Prior to that I was Team Leader Consents at Environment Canterbury for five years. I am a certified independent hearings commissioner and a full member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
- 4 I make this supplementary affidavit in response to the Minute of the Environment Court dated 15 October 2019 in relation to the appeal by Anthony Parata (**ENV-2018-CHC-214**).
- 5 Paragraph [9] of the Minute states:

Finally, the City Council will need to clarify whether there are any appeals on the "infrastructure constraint mapped areas" referred to in assessment guidance 9.5.3.3 and the implications of the changes sought to those appeals, if any.
- 6 I confirm that there are no appeals on the mapped Infrastructure Constraint Mapped Areas referred to in assessment guidance 9.5.3.3.
- 7 The only appeal that might result in a change to the Infrastructure Constraint Mapped Area mapping is that by Woolworths New Zealand Limited (**ENV-2018-CHC-255**) to change the zoning of 55 Gordon Road from General Residential 2 to Principal Centre. That change, if agreed, would result in the removal of the Infrastructure Constraint Mapped Area from that site. This would have no implications for the amendments agreed to by the parties in relation to the Parata appeal.
- 8 There is also an appeal by Blueskin Projects Limited and others to rezone 15 Church Street, Mosgiel, from General Residential 1 to Inner City Residential (**ENV-2018-CHC-276**). Without presuming to predetermine the outcome of the appeal, it is possible that the ultimate outcome will be that the site is zoned General Residential 2 rather than Inner City Residential, as this is within the scope of the appeal. If so, it is likely that an infrastructure constraint mapped area would be

applied to the site. While there could be the creation of an extended Infrastructure Constraint Mapped Area the appeal itself is not on or about this mapping method.

9 There are no appeals on assessment guidance 9.5.3.3.

Affirmed at Dunedin)

By **Emma Christmas**)

this 22nd day of November 2019)

before me:)

Emma Christmas
.....

.....
A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

Maurice Raymond Turketo
Solicitor
Dunedin