In the Environment Court of New Zealand Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-280

Under

the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

In the matter of

appeals under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the RMA in relation to the proposed Second Generation Dunedin City

District Plan (2GP)

Between

Barry Smaill

Appellant

And

Dunedin City Council

Respondent

Affidavit of Dr Anna Louise Johnson

Affirmed

Respondent's solicitors:

Michael Garbett | Rachel Brooking
Anderson Lloyd
Level 10, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016
Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054
DX Box YX10107 Dunedin
p + 64 3 477 3973 | f + 64 3 477 3184
michael.garbett@al.nz | rachel.brooking@al.nz



- I, **Dr Anna Louise Johnson** of Dunedin, City Development Manager, hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm:
- 1 I am the City Development Manager at the Dunedin City Council (DCC).
- I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014. This evidence has been prepared in accordance with it and I agree to comply with it. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.
- I hold the qualifications of Bachelor of Science, political science and environmental studies received from the University of Oregon, Postgraduate Certification (Ecology) received from Otago University and PhD received from the Otago University (Thesis title: Public Involvement in Environmental Impact Assessment: An examination of public involvement in the resource consents process of the Resource Management Act 1991).
- I have over 17 years' experience in the areas of RMA planning, growth planning, community engagement, and planning research, including 10 years as City Development Manager at Dunedin City Council.
- I have been asked to review the affidavit prepared by Emma Christmas and specifically consider the alignment with and impact of the amendments on the strategic directions' objectives and policies (section 2 objectives and policies).

Strategic directions

- I agree that the relevant strategic directions objectives and policies are those identified in Ms Christmas' affidavit, that is Objectives 2.6.2, 2.4.1 and 2.7.1, and Policies 2.4.1.5 and 2.7.1.1. In my opinion, Ms Christmas has correctly identified and assessed the options (status quo and agreed change to address appeal) against these strategic directions.
- I also concur that no amendments to the 2GP strategic directions are required as a result of the agreed change to resolve this appeal and the change does not impact the current horizontal or vertical alignment of the provisions.

2GP Style Guide and Drafting Protocol for Strategic Directions

- I have reviewed the proposed amendments to the 2GP included in the **attached** consent memorandum.
- I consider that the proposed amendments have been drafted to be in keeping with the 2GP drafting protocol contained in the 2GP Style Guide April 2019 incorporating change made through 2GP decisions

X Am

https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/ data/assets/pdf file/0007/715867/2GP-Style-Guide.pdf (discussed in my affidavit of 16 April 2019).

Affirmed at Dunedin)	
By Dr Anna Louise Johnson)	
this 28 day of February 2020)	1.10
before me:)	WALL

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

Ashleigh Nicole Mitchell-Craig Solicitor Dunedin