

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-240

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)
In the matter of	appeals under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the RMA in relation to the proposed Second Generation Dunedin City District Plan (2GP)
Between	Kati Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou Appellant
And	Dunedin City Council Respondent

Affidavit of Dr Anna Louise Johnson

Affirmed

Concerning: Appeals by Kati Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki
and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou

Group: 2

Topic: Manawhenua

Appeal point DCC Reference number 54

Respondent's solicitors:

Michael Garbett | Georgia Cassidy

Anderson Lloyd

Level 10, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016

Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054

DX Box YX10107 Dunedin

p + 64 3 477 3973 | f + 64 3 477 3184

michael.garbett@al.nz | georgia.cassidy@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

I, **Dr Anna Louise Johnson** of Dunedin, City Development Manager, hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm:

- 1 I am the City Development Manager at the Dunedin City Council (**DCC**).
- 2 I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014. This evidence has been prepared in accordance with it and I agree to comply with it. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.
- 3 I hold the qualifications of Bachelor of Science, political science and environmental studies received from the University of Oregon, Postgraduate Certification (Ecology) received from Otago University and PhD received from the Otago University (Thesis title: Public Involvement in Environmental Impact Assessment: An examination of public involvement in the resource consents process of the Resource Management Act 1991).
- 4 I have over 18 years' experience in the areas of RMA planning, growth planning, community engagement, and planning research, including 11 years as City Development Manager at Dunedin City Council.
- 5 I have been asked to review the changes included in the consent memorandum for Manawhenua dated 27 August 2020 and the accompanying affidavit prepared by Ms Emma Christmas. My evidence relates to the following:
 - (a) How the changes agreed align with and impact the strategic directions' objectives and policies (section 2 objectives and policies). In doing so I also consider any impact of the changes on the vertical and horizontal alignment of provisions in the Plan; and
 - (b) Whether the changes are drafted in accordance with the 2GP Style Guide.

Strategic directions

- 6 I agree that the most relevant strategic directions objectives and policies against which to assess the changes are those identified in Ms Christmas' affidavit, that is Objective 2.5.1 Kaitiakitaka and Policies 2.5.1.1 and 2.5.1.2 which sit under this objective, and Objective 2.5.3.
- 7 The amendments made are minor in nature. In my view no amendments to the 2GP strategic directions are required as a result of the agreed changes to resolve this appeal and the changes do not impact on the current horizontal or vertical alignment of the provisions.

Style Guide



- 8 I have reviewed the proposed amendments to the 2GP included in the **attached** consent memorandum:
- (a) Kati Huirapa Rūnaka Ki Puketeraki and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou ENV-2018-CHC-240 dated 27 August 2020.
- 9 I consider that the proposed amendments have been drafted to be in keeping with the 2GP drafting protocol contained in the *2GP Style Guide April 2019 – incorporating change made through 2GP decisions* https://www.dunedin.govt.nz/data/assets/pdf_file/0007/715867/2GP-Style-Guide.pdf (discussed in my affidavit of 16 April 2019).

Affirmed at Dunedin)

By **Dr Anna Louise Johnson**)

this 25 November 2020)

before me:)



Albert Peter Alloo
Solicitor
Dunedin

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand