

From: Grace Ockwell
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Kristy Rusher](#); [Sandy Graham](#); [Jendi Paterson](#)
Subject: RE: LGOIMA Request - Re: Architects for Design of Mosgiel Pool
Date: Thursday, 8 October 2015 02:48:17 p.m.
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.jpg](#)

Good afternoon Elizabeth,

Thank you for your email of 16 September 2015 requesting various information about the appointment of Warren & Mahoney architects for the development of concept design drawings for the different pool options in Mosgiel.

Your request has been considered under the provisions of LGOIMA and the following response is provided.

I have repeated your questions to give context to our response.

Question 1

Can DCC please acknowledge that the architect firm Warren & Mahoney have in fact been commissioned/engaged to supply work and services?

- DCC Response:

We confirm Warren & Mahoney has been contracted until the end of October 2015 to develop concept design drawings for different pool options in Mosgiel which will enable capital and operating cost estimates to be developed and for Council to consider a preferred option in October.

Question 2

Who recommended the firm to DCC and/or the Taieri Community Facilities Trust (TCFT)?

- DCC Response:

Submissions were received during an open tender advertised on Tenderlink and were then evaluated by a panel of five people including three Dunedin City Council staff and two Taieri Community Facility Trust members. Warren & Mahoney was the successful submission.

- Question 3

Was an open tendering process followed for their appointment/engagement, if not why not?

- DCC Response:

An open tendering process was followed. Proposals were requested during the period 30 June – 29 July 2015.

- Question 4

Who or what entity commissioned the firm?

- DCC Response:

The Dunedin City Council commissioned the firm.

Question 5

Is public money via Dunedin City Council (money subscribed by Dunedin ratepayers) paying for the firm's work and services?

- DCC Response:

Yes, it is ratepayer funded. Funding was allocated under the Long Term Plan (LTP) this year. Please find below an extract from the minutes of the LTP hearing:

13a **MOSGIEL AQUATIC FACILITY – FURTHER INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS**

Following extensive discussion, it was moved (Wilson/Staynes):

"That the Council agree to:

- 1 support in principle the development of a new aquatic facility complex for Dunedin in Mosgiel.**
- 2. fund up to \$300,000 from the 2015 under-spend to progress geotechnical investigations and designation of a site, development of design and capital and operating budgets, liaison and communication of progress.**
- 3 approves an interest free loan of \$50,000 to the Taieri Community Facilities Trust (TCFT) for operational costs to be repaid from early fundraising received once Council confirmed a decision to proceed.**
- 4 acknowledge the substantial progress that TCFT had made in the development of the proposal and thank them for their contribution to complete the first stage of the proposal.**
- 5 request that staff and TCFT work on the development of a new MoU to be presented to Community and Environment Committee at a later date for ratification."**

The meeting adjourned at 11.50 am and reconvened at 12.00 pm.

A request was made to take the items separately.

Resolution 1 was **put and carried** on a division, 11:2.

For: Councillors Benson-Pope, Calvert, Hall, Hawkins, Lord, Noone, Peat, Staynes, Thomson, Wilson and the Mayor

Against: Councillors MacTavish, Vandervis

Resolution 2 was **put and carried** on a division, 12:1.

For: Councillors Benson-Pope, Calvert, Hall, Hawkins, Lord, MacTavish, Noone, Peat, Staynes, Thomson, Wilson and the Mayor

Against: Councillor Vandervis

Resolution 3 was **put and carried** on a division, 12:1.

For: Councillors Benson-Pope, Calvert, Hall, Hawkins, Lord, MacTavish, Noone, Peat, Staynes, Thomson, Wilson and the Mayor

Against: Councillor Vandervis

Resolution 4 was **put and carried** on a division, 13:0 .

For: Councillors Benson-Pope, Calvert, Hall, Hawkins, Lord, MacTavish, Noone, Peat, Staynes, Thomson, Vandervis, Wilson and the Mayor

Resolution 5 was **put and carried** on a division, 13:0.

For: Councillors Benson-Pope, Calvert, Hall, Hawkins, Lord, MacTavish,
Noone, Peat, Staynes, Thomson, Vandervis, Wilson and the Mayor

Question 6

On what basis were Warren & Mahoney commissioned/engaged as architects for the proposed Mosgiel pool?

- DCC Response

Please refer to our response to questions 1 and 3.

Question 7

Can DCC please supply copy of the project brief/contract brief and any further terms and conditions that saw to procurement of the firm's work and services?

- DCC Response

Please refer to the answer to question 4.

Question 8

In dollar terms, what is the contract/arrangement with Warren & Mahoney worth?

- DCC Response

The contract is worth \$54,450.00 exc GST.

Question 9

Who (name?) is project managing and or supervising the contract/arrangement with Warren & Mahoney on behalf of Dunedin ratepayers?

- DCC Response

The Parks and Recreation Planning Manager Jendi Paterson is managing the project and supervising the contract with Warren & Mahoney.

Question 10

Have any other consultants/consulting firms been engaged for the pool project to date (at cost to Dunedin ratepayers)? Please itemise these entities/individuals (names), services and costs. ?

DCC Response

Quantity surveyors Barnes, Beagley and Doherr have been engaged to produce capital costs for the options being presented to Council in October \$10,500.

Watershed Limited have provided specific aquatic professionals services and have prepared the Request for Proposal at a cost of \$38,290.

Mitchell Partnerships have provided planning advice at a cost of \$ 5300.

Tonkin Taylor have undertaken geotechnical work on three different sites at a cost of \$8850.

Yours sincerely

Grace Ockwell

Governance Support Officer

Civic and Legal

Dunedin City Council

50 The Octagon, Dunedin; P O Box 5045, Moray Place, Dunedin 9058, New Zealand

Telephone: 03 477 4000

Email: grace.ockwell@dcc.govt.nz



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Elizabeth Kerr [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 16 September 2015 1:08 p.m.
To: DCC LGOIMA Information Request
Cc: Elizabeth Kerr; Sandy Graham; Grace Ockwell; Sue Bidrose
Subject: LGOIMA Request - Re: Architects for Design of Mosgiel Pool

Dear All

I formally request official information from Dunedin City Council on the 'appointment' of Warren & Mahoney (architects) for the design of Mosgiel Pool, as reported in **National Business Review** on Friday (11.9.15) - article by Chris Hutching, titled 'Warren & Mahoney clinches three big sports contracts'.

<http://www.nbr.co.nz/article/warren-mahoney-clinches-three-big-sports-contracts-ch-178407>

My questions are:

1. Can DCC please acknowledge that the architect firm Warren & Mahoney have in fact been commissioned/engaged to supply work and services?
2. Who recommended the firm to DCC and/or the Taieri Community Facilities Trust (TCFT)?
3. Was an open tendering process followed for their appointment/engagement, if not why not?
4. Who or what entity commissioned the firm?
5. Is public money via Dunedin City Council (money subscribed by Dunedin ratepayers) paying for the firm's work and services?
6. On what basis were Warren & Mahoney commissioned/engaged as architects for the proposed Mosgiel pool?
7. Can DCC please supply copy of the project brief/contract brief and any further terms and conditions that saw to procurement of the firm's work and services?
8. In dollar terms, what is the contract/arrangement with Warren & Mahoney worth?
9. Who (name?) is project managing and or supervising the contract/arrangement with Warren & Mahoney on behalf of Dunedin ratepayers?
10. Have any other consultants/consulting firms been engaged for the pool project to date (at cost to Dunedin ratepayers)? Please itemise these entities/individuals (names), services and costs.

I look forward to your replies in electronic format.

I ask that this LGOIMA request is promptly receipted by a named council officer, by email.

Kind regards

Elizabeth Kerr

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]